for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC. 20037, (202) 857–3800.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission. John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 97–3727 Filed 2–13–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Status Reviews for the Alexander Archipelago Wolf and Queen Charlotte Goshawk

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of status reviews; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) provides notice that the comment period on the rangewide status reviews for the Queen Charlotte goshawk (*Accipiter gentilis laingi*) and the Alexander Archipelago wolf (*Canis lupus ligoni*) is reopened. The Service solicits any information, data, comments, and suggestions from the public, other government agencies, the scientific community, industry, or other interested parties concerning the status of these species. The notice of the status reviews was published on December 5, 1996 (61 FR 64496), and the extension

of comment period was published December 31, 1996 (61 FR 69065).

DATES: The comment period, previously scheduled to close February 5, 1997, is extended and will now close on March 5, 1997. Any comments received by the closing date will be considered in the findings.

ADDRESSES: Comments and materials should be sent to Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services, 3000 Vintage Blvd., Suite 201, Juneau, Alaska 99801–7100.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John Lindell at the above address (907/586–7240).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Background

The Service will issue separate findings on petitions to list the Queen Charlotte goshawk and the Alexander Archipelago wolf under the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Queen Charlotte Goshawk

The Queen Charlotte goshawk occurs in forested areas throughout coastal mainland and insular areas of British Columbia, Canada, and southeastern Alaska. On May 9, 1994, the Service received a petition to list the Queen Charlotte goshawk as endangered under the Act, from Mr. Peter Galvin of the Greater Gila Biodiversity Project, Silver City, New Mexico, and nine copetitioners including, the Southwest Center for Biological Diversity, the Biodiversity Legal Foundation, Greater Ecosystem Alliance, Save the West, Save America's Forests, Native Forest Network, Native Forest Council, Eric Holle, and Don Muller. On August 26, 1994, the Service announced a 90 day finding (59 FR 44124) that the petition presented substantial information indicating that the requested action may be warranted, and opened a public comment period until November 25, 1994. The Service extended the public comment period until February 28, 1995, through two subsequent Federal Register notices on January 4, 1995 (60 FR 425), and February 24, 1995 (60 FR 10344). The Service issued its 12-month finding on June 29, 1995 (60 FR 33784), indicating that listing the Queen Charlotte goshawk under the Act was not warranted.

On July 16, 1995, the petitioners filed a 60-day notice of intent to sue the Service over its 12-month finding, and on November 17, 1995, they filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia challenging the not warranted finding made by the Service. As a result of the court proceedings the

Service is reevaluating the status of the Queen Charlotte goshawk. The Service is requesting any information, data, comments, and suggestions from the public, other government agencies, the scientific community, industry, or other interested parties concerning the status of this species.

Alexander Archipelago Wolf

The Alexander Archipelago wolf occurs in forested areas of insular and mainland southeast Alaska, from Dixon Entrance (US/Canada border) to Yakutat Bay, including all large islands of the Alexander Archipelago except Admiralty, Baranof, and Chichagof islands. On December 17, 1993, the Service received a petition to list the Alexander Archipelago wolf as threatened under the Act, from the Biodiversity Legal Foundation, Eric Holle and Martin J. Berghoffen. A 90day finding was made by the Service that the petition presented substantial information indicating that the requested action may be warranted. The 90-day finding was announced (59 FR 26476) and a status review was initiated on May 20, 1994. The public comment period was open between May 20, and October 1, 1994 (59 FR 26476 and 59 FR 44122). The Service announced its finding that listing the Alexander Archipelago wolf was not warranted on February 23, 1995 (60 FR 10056).

The petitioners issued a 60-day notice of intent to sue over the Service's not warranted finding on November 13, 1995. On February 7, 1996, they filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia challenging the not-warranted finding made by the Service. As a result of the court proceedings the Service is reevaluating the status of the Alexander Archipelago wolf. The Service is requesting any information, data, comments, and suggestions from the public, other government agencies, the scientific community, industry, or other interested parties concerning the status of this species.

Author

This primary author of this notice is Teresa Woods, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Alaska Region, 1011 E. Tudor Road, Anchorage, Alaska 99503.

Authority

The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531–1544).

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and threatened species, Export, Import, Reporting and

recordkeeping requirements, Transportation.

Dated: February 4, 1997.

David B. Allen,

Regional Director, Region 7, Fish and Wildlife

Service.

[FR Doc. 97-3464 Filed 2-13-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 229

[Docket No. 970129015-7015-01; I.D. 010397A]

RIN 0648-AI84

Taking of Marine Mammals Incidental to Commercial Fishing Operations; Pacific Offshore Cetacean Take Reduction Plan Regulations

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS proposes a plan to reduce the bycatch and mortality of several marine mammal stocks that occur incidental to fishing for swordfish and thresher shark with drift gillnet gear offshore California and Oregon. The draft plan was submitted by the Pacific Offshore Cetacean Take Reduction Team (PCTRT) pursuant to the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA). NMFS seeks comment on the draft Pacific Offshore Cetacean Take Reduction Plan (PCTRP), a NMFS proposed change to the draft plan, and proposed regulations to implement the plan.

DATES: Comments on the draft plan, NMFS' proposed change to the plan, and the proposed rule to implement the plan must be received by March 31, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Chief, Marine Mammal Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910–3226. Copies of the draft PCTRP and Environmental Assessment (EA) are available upon request from Irma Lagomarsino, Southwest Region, NMFS, 501 W. Ocean Blvd., Suite 4200, Long Beach, CA 90802–4213, or from Victoria Cornish, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910–3226.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irma Lagomarsino, NMFS, 310–980–4016 or Victoria Cornish, NMFS, 301–713–2322.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The California/Oregon drift gillnet (CA/OR DGN) fishery for thresher shark and swordfish is classified as a Category I fishery under section 118 of the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA; 16 U.S.C. 1361 et seq.). The CA/OR DGN fishery is a pelagic fishery with the majority of the fishing effort occurring within 200 miles (320 kilometers) offshore of California and Oregon. Between May 1 and August 14, drift gillnets may not be used to take swordfish or thresher shark in ocean waters within 75 miles (120 kilometers) of the California coastline. From August 15 to January 31, swordfish may be taken within 75 miles (120 kilometers) of the California mainland, although additional area restrictions also apply within this area.

The CA/OR DGN fishery has a historical incidental bycatch of several strategic marine mammal stocks including: Several beaked whale species, short-finned pilot whales, pygmy sperm whales, sperm whales, and humpback whales (Barlow et al., 1995). A strategic stock is a stock: (1) For which the level of direct humancaused mortality exceeds the potential biological removal (PBR) level; (2) which is declining and is likely to be listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) in the foreseeable future; or (3) which is listed as a threatened or endangered species under the ESA. The incidental bycatch of strategic stocks in the CA/OR DGN fishery exceeds the PBR levels established for these stocks (Barlow et al., 1995).

Section 118 of the MMPA requires NMFS to develop and implement a take reduction plan to assist in the recovery or to prevent the depletion of each strategic stock that interacts with a Category I or II fishery. Category I or II fisheries are fisheries that have frequent or occasional incidental mortality and serious injury of marine mammals, respectively. The immediate goal of a take reduction plan is to reduce, within 6 months of its implementation, the mortality and serious injury of strategic stocks incidentally taken in the course of commercial fishing operations to below the PBR levels established for such stocks. Since the CA/OR DGN fishery is a Category I fishery that interacts with several strategic stocks, NMFS established the PCTRT on February 15, 1996 (61 FR 5385) to prepare a draft take reduction plan. The PCTRT included representatives of NMFS, the California Department of

Fish and Game (CDFG), the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission, environmental organizations, academic and scientific organizations, and participants in the CA/OR DGN fishery. In selecting these team members, NMFS sought an equitable balance among representatives of resource user and non-user interests.

The PCTRT was tasked with developing a consensus plan for reducing incidental mortality and serious injury of strategic marine mammal stocks in the CA/OR DGN fishery. The PCTRT met five times between February and June 1996 and submitted a consensus draft plan to NMFS on August 15, 1996 (PCTRP, 1996). The PCTRP includes: (1) A review of the current information on the status of the affected strategic marine mammal stocks; (2) a description of the CA/OR DGN fishery; (3) an analysis of data from NMFS' CA/OR DGN fishery observer program from 1990–1995; (4) primary strategies to reduce takes of strategic marine mammal stocks; (5) contingency measures that would reduce fishing effort; and (6) other recommendations regarding voluntary measures to reduce takes, enhancing the effectiveness of the observer program, research on oceanographic/ environmental variables, and other potential strategies considered and rejected by the team.

The PCTRT recommended that four primary strategies be implemented to reduce bycatch of strategic marine mammal stocks in the CA/OR DGN fishery. The PCTRT recommended that three of these strategies be administered on a mandatory basis (strategies #1, #2, and #4) and that one be administered on a voluntary basis (strategy #3). This action proposes regulations to implement three of these primary strategies. These include the establishment of a depth of fishing requirement (strategy #1), the use of acoustic deterrent devices (pingers) (strategy #2), and mandatory skipper workshops (strategy #4). The PCTRT recommended that one other primary strategy be implemented by NMFS, yet not through Federal regulation. This would be for NMFS to encourage CDFG not to reissue lapsed permits, and to encourage the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) to continue issuing the same number of permits (strategy #3).

The proposed requirements would govern fishing by all drift gillnet vessels that operate out of California or Oregon.