

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**SAAB Aircraft AB:** Docket 97–NM–289–AD.

**Applicability:** Model SAAB 2000 series airplanes, serial numbers –004 through –040 inclusive, certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent hazardous amounts of flame, fuel, and vapor from entering the passenger compartment due to unsealed openings in the firezone bulkhead, which could result in an uncontrollable fire outside the auxiliary power unit (APU) firezone compartment, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 400 flight hours or 2 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, apply sealant to the APU firezone bulkhead, in accordance with Saab Service Bulletin 2000–53–024, dated December 2, 1996.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Swedish airworthiness directive SAD No. 1–105, dated December 4, 1996.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 11, 1997.

**Gilbert L. Thompson,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 97–32997 Filed 12–17–97; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–U**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97–NM–290–AD]

**RIN 2120–AA64**

#### **Airworthiness Directives; Fokker Model F28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Fokker Model F28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 series airplanes. This proposal would require replacement of certain hinges on the forward, center, and aft cargo doors with improved hinges. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the cargo door hinges caused by stress corrosion or fatigue cracks, which could result in decompression of the airplane, and possible in-flight separation of the cargo door.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by January 20, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–NM–290–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Fokker Service B.V., Technical Support Department, P. O. Box 75047, 1117 ZN Schiphol Airport, the Netherlands. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington, 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2110; fax (425) 227–1149.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 97–NM–290–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

### Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–NM–290–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

### Discussion

The Rijksluchtvaartdienst (RLD), which is the airworthiness authority for the Netherlands, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Fokker Model F28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 series airplanes. The RLD advises that it has received reports of fracturing of the cargo door hinges due to stress corrosion. Approximately one-half of the lugs of the fuselage-mounted hinge were cracked on one airplane. In addition, the RLD received one report of fatigue cracks in the cargo door hinge on a test article. These conditions, if not corrected, could result in failure of the cargo door hinges, which could result in decompression of the airplane, and possible in-flight separation of the cargo door.

### Explanation of Relevant Service Information

Fokker has issued Service Bulletin F28/52-110, dated April 7, 1993, which describes procedures for replacement of the hinges on the forward, center, and aft cargo doors with improved hinges made of a material that is less sensitive to stress corrosion. Accomplishment of the replacement is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition. The RLD classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued Dutch airworthiness directive 93-055 (A), dated April 23, 1993, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in the Netherlands.

### FAA's Conclusions

These airplane models are manufactured in the Netherlands and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the RLD has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the RLD, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

### Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously, except as described below.

### Differences Between the Proposal and the Related Service Bulletin

Operators should note that this AD proposes to require replacement of the hinges on the forward, center, and aft cargo doors within 12 months. The Fokker service bulletin described previously recommends that the replacement be accomplished within four years from the date of issuance of the service bulletin. However, the FAA has determined that, due to the safety implications and consequences associated with such cracking, a shorter compliance time of 12 months is necessary.

### Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 37 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

It would take approximately 62 work hours per airplane to replace the forward cargo door hinge, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$5,740 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of this replacement proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$350,020, or \$9,460 per airplane.

It would take approximately 62 work hours per airplane to replace the center cargo door hinge, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$5,650 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of this replacement proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$346,690, or \$9,370 per airplane.

It would take approximately 46 work hours per airplane to replace the aft cargo door hinge, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$6,470 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of this replacement proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$341,510, or \$9,230 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

### Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this

action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**Fokker:** Docket 97-NM-290-AD.

*Applicability:* Model F28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 series airplanes; serial numbers 11003 through 11241 inclusive, 11991, and 11992; certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the cargo door hinges caused by stress corrosion and/or fatigue cracks, which could result in decompression of the airplane, and possible in-flight separation of the cargo door; accomplish the following:

(a) Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, replace the hinges on the forward, center, and aft belly cargo doors with improved hinges in accordance with Part 1, Part 2, and Part 3, as applicable, of the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin F28/52-110, dated April 7, 1993.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA,

Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Dutch airworthiness directive 93-055 (A), dated April 23, 1993.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 11, 1997.

**Gilbert L. Thompson,**

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 97-32996 Filed 12-17-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

## PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

### 29 CFR Part 4022

RIN 1212-AA87

### PBGC Recoupment and Reimbursement of Benefit Overpayments and Underpayments

**AGENCY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation proposes to amend its regulation governing recoupment of benefit overpayments in trustee plans to stop the reduction of monthly benefits under its actuarial recoupment method once the amount of the benefit overpayment is repaid. The amendment also makes other related changes.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before January 20, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to the Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005-4026, or delivered to Suite 340 at the above address. Comments also may be sent by Internet e-mail to [reg.comments@pbgc.gov](mailto:reg.comments@pbgc.gov). Comments will be available for inspection at the PBGC's Communications and Public Affairs Department in Suite 240 at the above address during normal business hours.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Harold J. Ashner, Assistant General

Counsel, or James L. Beller, Attorney, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Office of the General Counsel, Suite 340, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005-4026, 202-326-4024. For TTY/TDD users, call the Federal relay service toll free at 1-800-877-8339 and ask to be connected to 202-325-4024.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Some participants and beneficiaries in PBGC-trusted plans receive benefit payments in excess of their entitlements under Title IV of ERISA after plan termination and before the PBGC determines their benefit entitlements. Under the PBGC's current recoupment regulation, unless a participant or beneficiary elects to repay a benefit overpayment in a single payment, the overpayment is recouped through a permanent actuarial reduction in future benefit payments.

When overpayments are made, recipients are generally unaware that they are receiving amounts in excess of their entitlements. In effect, overpayments are unsolicited loans. Many participants and beneficiaries are unable to afford to repay the overpayment in a single payment and thus cannot avoid permanent actuarial reductions. Participant and beneficiary inquiries reflect their difficulty understanding why the PBGC would continue to reduce their monthly benefit beyond the time the PBGC has fully recouped the amount of the overpayment.

The PBGC proposes to revise the regulation to provide that recoupment will cease when the amount of the overpayment is repaid. This will help to minimize hardship to participants and beneficiaries as well as to cut down the number of participant and beneficiary inquiries about recoupment, thereby reducing burden both on them and the PBGC. The amendment also gives the PBGC flexibility to waive recoupment of *de minimis* amounts and to accept repayment ahead of the recoupment schedule, and modifies the rules governing calculation of net overpayments and underpayments.

### E.O. 12866 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act

The PBGC has determined that this proposed rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under the criteria set forth in Executive Order 12866.

This rule affects only individuals. Therefore, the PBGC certifies that, if adopted, the amendment will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, as provided in section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, sections 603 and 604 do not apply.

### List of Subjects in 29 CFR Part 4022

Pension insurance, Pensions.

For the reasons set forth above, the PBGC proposes to amend 29 CFR Part 4022, subpart E as follows:

### PART 4022—BENEFITS PAYABLE IN TERMINATED SINGLE EMPLOYER PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 4022 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 29 U.S.C. 1302, 1322, 1322b, 1341(c)(3)(D) and 1344.

2. In § 4022.81, paragraph (a) is amended by removing the last two sentences, adding a new phrase, and paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) in their place, and revising paragraphs (c) and (d)(2) to read as follows:

#### § 4022.81 General rules.

(a) *Recoupment of benefit overpayments.* \* \* \*

Notwithstanding the previous sentence, the PBGC may, in its discretion—

(1) Decide not to recoup net overpayments that it determines to be *de minimis*; and

(2) Recover overpayments by methods other than recouping in accordance with the rules in this subpart. The PBGC will not normally do so unless net benefits paid after the termination date exceed those to which a participant or beneficiary is entitled under the terms of the plan before any reductions under subpart D.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) *Payments subject to recoupment or reimbursement.* The PBGC shall recoup net overpayments made on or after the latest of the proposed termination date, the termination date, or, if no notice of intent to terminate was issued, the date on which proceedings to terminate the plan are instituted pursuant to section 4042 of ERISA, and shall reimburse net underpayments made on or after the termination date.

(d) *Interest.* \* \* \*

(2) *Receipt of both overpayments and underpayments.* If both benefit overpayments and benefit underpayments are made with respect to a participant, the PBGC shall compare the net overpayment or underpayment calculated without interest to the net overpayment or underpayment calculated with interest. (The interest calculation shall be made by charging or crediting interest from the first day of the month after the date of payment to the first day of the month in which recoupment begins.) Of these two net amounts, the PBGC shall use the one more favorable to the participant or