Administrator and the State of Nevada now reads at Section III: STATE CONTROL, Paragraph 2. b. Spacing of Signs, as follows: "Outside of incorporated villages and cities, no structure may be located adjacent to or within 500 feet of an interchange, intersection at grade, or safety rest area. Said 500 feet to be measured along the Interstate or freeway from the beginning or ending of pavement widening at the exit from or entrance to the maintraveled way."

The amended agreement would read as follows: "Outside of urbanized area boundaries, as defined by 23 U.S.C. 101(a), no structure may be located adjacent to or within 500 feet of an interchange, intersection at grade, or safety rest area. Said 500 feet to be measured along the Interstate or freeway from the beginning or ending of pavement widening at the exit from or entrance to the main-traveled way."

**Authority:** 23 U.S.C. 315; 49 CFR 1.48. Issued on: November 19, 1997.

## Kenneth R. Wykle,

Federal Highway Administrator.
[FR Doc. 97–31244 Filed 11–26–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-97-3137; Notice 1]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1974 Alfa Romeo GTV Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 1974 Alfa Romeo GTV passenger cars are eligible for importation.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that a 1974 Alfa Romeo GTV that was not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards is eligible for importation into the United States because (1) it is substantially similar to a vehicle that was originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that was certified by its manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) it is capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

**DATES:** The closing date for comments on the petition is December 29, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.]

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366– 5306).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Champagne Imports, Inc. of Lansdale, Pennsylvania ("Champagne") (Registered Importer 90–009) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 1974 Alfa Romeo GTV passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicle which Champagne believes is substantially similar is the 1974 Alfa Romeo GTV that was manufactured for importation into, and sale in, the United States and certified by its manufacturer as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared the non-U.S. certified 1974 Alfa Romeo GTV to its U.S. certified counterpart, and found the two vehicles to be substantially similar with respect

to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Champagne submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that the non-U.S. certified 1974 Alfa Romeo GTV, as originally manufactured, conforms to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as its U.S. certified counterpart, or is capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that the non-U.S. certified 1974 Alfa Romeo GTV is identical to its U.S. certified counterpart with respect to compliance with Standards Nos. 102 Transmission Shift Lever Sequence \* \* \*., 103 Defrosting and Defogging Systems, 104 Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems, 105 Hydraulic Brake Systems, 106 Brake Hoses, 109 New Pneumatic Tires, 113 Hood Latch Systems, 116 Brake Fluid, 124 Accelerator Control Systems, 201 Occupant Protection in Interior Impact, 202 Head Restraints, 203 Impact Protection for the Driver From the Steering Control System, 204 Steering Control Rearward Displacement, 205 Glazing Materials, 206 Door Locks and Door Retention Components, 207 Seating Systems, 209 Seat Belt Assemblies, 210 Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages, 212 Windshield Retention, 216 Roof Crush Resistance, 219 Windshield Zone Intrusion, and 302 Flammability of Interior Materials.

Petitioner also contends that the vehicle is capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays:* (a) substitution of a lens marked "Brake" for a lens with a noncomplying symbol on the brake failure indicator lamp; (b) installation of a seat belt warning lamp that displays the appropriate symbol; (c) recalibration of the speedometer/odometer from kilometers to miles per hour.

Standard No. 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment: (a) installation of U.S.-model headlamp assemblies; (b) installation of U.S.-model front and rear sidemarker/reflector assemblies; (c) installation of U.S.-model taillamp assemblies.

Standard No. 110 *Tire Selection and Rims:* installation of a tire information placard.

Standard No. 111 *Rearview Mirror:* replacement of the passenger side rearview mirror with a U.S.-model component.

Standard No. 114 *Theft Protection:* installation of a warning buzzer microswitch in the steering lock assembly and a warning buzzer.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: (a) installation of a U.S.model seat belt in the driver's position, or a belt webbing actuated microswitch inside the driver's seat belt retractor; (b) installation of an ignition switch actuated seat belt warning lamp and buzzer. The petitioner states that the vehicle is equipped with combination lap and shoulder restraints that adjust by means of an automatic retractor and release by means of a single push button at both front designated seating positions, and with combination lap and shoulder restraints that release by means of a single push button at both rear designated seating positions.

Standard No. 214 Side Impact Protection: installation of reinforcing beams.

Standard No. 301 *Fuel System Integrity:* installation of a rollover valve in the fuel tank vent line between the fuel tank and the evaporative emissions collection canister.

Additionally, the petitioner states that the bumpers on the non-U.S. certified 1974 Alfa Romeo GTV must be reinforced or replaced with U.S.-model components to comply with the Bumper Standard found in 49 CFR Part 581.

The petitioner also states that a vehicle identification number plate must be affixed to the vehicle to meet the requirements of 49 CFR Part 565.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on November 21, 1997.

#### Marilynne Jacobs,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance. [FR Doc. 97–31172 Filed 11–26–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-97-3150]

# General Motors Corporation; Denial of Application for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

General Motors Corporation (GM) determined that certain of its 1996 J/L/N model cars fail to comply with the requirements of 49 CFR 571.101, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 101, "Controls and Displays," and filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR Part 573 "Defect and Noncompliance Information Reports." GM also applied to be exempted from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301—"Motor Vehicle Safety" on the basis that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

Notice of receipt of the application was published on March 7, 1997, and an opportunity afforded for comment (62 FR 10618). This document denies the application.

The report submitted by GM states that the company has built cars in which some interior lights may come on while the car is moving, for a period that may last as long as half an hour. The only way the driver can turn them off is to remove the fuse because the light switch will not extinguish them. This is a noncompliance with S5.3.5 of FMVSS No. 101, which requires that sources of illumination forward of a transverse vertical plane 4.35 inches rearward of the manikin "H" point, with the driver's seat in its rearmost driving position, that are not used for controls and displays, are not a telltale, and are capable of being illuminated while a vehicle is in motion, have either (1) light intensity which is manually or automatically adjustable to provide at least two levels of brightness, (2) a

single intensity that is barely discernible to a driver who has adapted to dark ambient roadway conditions, or (3) a means of being turned off.

GM's description of the noncompliance follows

"Vehicles involved: Certain of these 1996 makes and models (with estimated number of cars): Chevrolet Cavalier and Pontiac Sunfire (J cars) coupes and convertibles from start of production to January 16, 1996 (115,351 cars); Pontiac Grand Am, Oldsmobile Achieva, and Buick Skylark (N cars) from start of production to October 31, 1995 (74,902 cars); and Chevrolet Corsica and Chevrolet Beretta (L cars) from start of production to November 13, 1995 (61,738 cars).

Noncompliance: "These vehicles are equipped with interior lights that illuminate when a door is opened or when the driver activates a switch. Power to the lights is turned on and off by a control module, rather than by direct action of the door or light switches. One of the parts in the control module is a field effect transistor (FET).

Because of manufacturing variances in the FETs, the condition of the FET in some modules, in combination with the programming of the module, can cause a situation where the module will not turn on the lights when the door is opened. Five minutes later, there is a fifty percent chance that the lights will turn on. If that does not happen, there is an increasing chance at ten, fifteen, twenty, twenty-five, and thirty minutes that the lights will turn on. If the lights are turned on at one of those five minute increments, they will then remain on for up to thirty minutes, unless the fuse is removed to cut power to the module. Moving the light switch or ignition to "off" will not cause the module to turn off the lights.

In August 1995, GM found a 1996 N car in which the interior lights failed to turn on when a door was opened. In September, GM determined the cause of the problem and its supplier of FETs began inspecting 10% of them. In October, GM started its own screening of all incoming FETs. In January 1996, GM learned of and began investigating the potential for the lights to come on and stay on.

Even in the affected cars, this condition is intermittent. The incidence is higher during cold weather and in vehicles with interior light configurations that place a higher load on the circuit.

This table identifies the lights in these vehicles that are forward of a transverse vertical plane 4.35 inches rearward of the mannequin "H" point with the driver's seat in its rearmost driving position:

Chassis	Body type and options	Dome lamp	Map lights in rearview mir-ror	Footwell lamps
J	Coupe	Х	X	
N Base trim	Convertible		X	