Fair Atlantic Salmon Trade (FAST), requested that we conduct an administrative review of Nordic Group A/L (Nordic), an exporter of subject merchandise, for the period April 1, 1996, through March 31, 1997. We published a notice of "Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Review" on May 21, 1997 (62 FR 27720). On May 27, 1997, Nordic reported that it made no shipments of the subject merchandise during the period of review (POR). On August 5, 1997, the Department conducted a no-shipment data query regarding Nordic using the U.S. Customs Service database. The purpose of this query was to determine whether the U.S. Customs Service suspended liquidation of entry summaries of this merchandise during the POR. Because the U.S. Customs Service did not identify any suspended entry summaries of subject merchandise exported by Nordic during the POR, we have determined that no entries into the Customs territory of the United States occurred during the POR. Therefore, the Department is terminating this review. The cash deposit rate for Nordic will continue to be zero percent, the rate established in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding (62 FR 1430, January 10, 1997, a new shipper review).

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1))

and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: November 18, 1997.

Holly Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–30959 Filed 11–24–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-489-501]

Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative

Review: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube From Turkey AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration,

Department of Commerce. EFFECTIVE DATE: November 25, 1997. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles Riggle or Dennis McClure,
Office of Antidumping/Countervailing
Duty Enforcement, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–0650 or (202) 482–3530, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Background

On October 2, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel pipe and tube from Turkey (62 FR 51629). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 1993, through April 30, 1994.

On October 16, 1997, the Borusan Group (Borusan) filed two timely clerical error allegations. On October 21, 1997, Allied Tube & Conduit and Wheatland Tube Company (petitioners) replied to the allegations made by Borusan, pursuant to 19 C.F.R. 353.28. On October 24, 1997, Borusan filed unsolicited and untimely comments in response to the petitioners' October 21, 1997, submission. Pursuant to 19 C.F.R. 353.31, we did not consider Borusan's October 24, 1997, submission in our analysis, since it was submitted after the October 16, 1997, deadline for submitting comments on ministerial error allegations.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain welded carbon steel pipe and tube products with an outside diameter of 0.375 inch or more but not over 16 inches, of any wall thickness. These products are currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 7306.30.10.00, 7306.30.50.25, 7306.30.50.32, 7306.30.50.40, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.85, and 7306.30.50.90. These products, commonly referred to in the industry as standard pipe and tube, are produced to various American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) specifications,

most notably A–120, A–53, or A–135. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Allegations of Clerical Errors

Allegation 1: Inclusion of Inventory Carrying Costs in Constructed Value (CV)

Borusan alleges that because Borusan's sales to the United States were purchase price (PP) sales the Department should not have included inventory carrying costs in the calculation of CV.

The petitioners argue that Borusan's allegation is methodological, not ministerial, in nature. The petitioners cite to Policy Bulletin 94.2, "Treatment of Inventory Carrying Costs in Constructed Value" (March 25, 1994).

DOC Position: We do not find this issue to be ministerial in nature, because it is a substantive argument for a new methodology. Kerr-McGee Chemical Corp. v. United States, No. 97–2, Slip Op. at 20 (CIT January 8, 1997). Accordingly, we have not considered this issue because it is outside the scope of permissible corrections under 19 C.F.R. 353.28(d). Id. For more discussion, see Memorandum from the Team to Richard W. Moreland, dated November 18, 1997 (Analysis Memorandum).

Allegation 2: Calculation of Weighted-Average Packing Costs

Borusan alleges that the Department should have used production quantities, instead of sales quantities, to weight-average the indexed packing costs used in calculating cost of production and foreign market value.

The petitioners respond that the Department's use of sales quantities does not appear to be a ministerial error, noting that the use of sales quantity is reasonable because packing costs are largely related to the shipment, not production, of merchandise.

DOC Position: In the final results of the 1994-95 administrative review of certain welded carbon steel pipe and tube from Turkey, we indexed all costs, including packing expenses, using production quantities instead of sales quantities. See SAS programs used in the Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube from Turkey, 62 FR 27013 (May 16, 1997). Therefore, in accordance with 19 C.F.R. 353.28(c), we are amending the final results of this administrative review to correct this ministerial error. For more discussion, see Analysis Memorandum.

Amended Final Results of Review

Upon correction of the ministerial error regarding packing costs, we have determined that the following margin for Borusan exists for the period indicated below:

Manufacturer/ exporter	Time period	Margin percent
Borusan	5/1/93-4/30/94	3.97

For Borusan, the cash deposit rate will continue to be 2.57 percent, the rate effective since May 16, 1997, which was published in the Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube from Turkey, 62 FR 27013 (May 16, 1997).

For merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation or a previous review, the cash deposit will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the manufacturer or exporter received a company-specific rate. If the exporter is not a firm covered in this or a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the manufacturer of the merchandise. If neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be 14.74 percent, the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice is a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also reminds parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.28.

Dated: November 19, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–30958 Filed 11–24–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 111097B]

North Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Addendum to previously published agenda.

SUMMARY: The following items have been added to the previously published agenda for the meeting of the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) December 9–14, 1997.

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held at the Anchorage Hilton Hotel, 500 W. Third Avenue, Anchorage, AK 99501.

Council address: North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 99501–2252.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Council staff, telephone: 907–271–2809. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. The Council has added a discussion of topics relating to the recently-approved License Limitation Program for Alaska groundfish and crab fisheries, including discussion of the treatment of vessels which were foreign-owned on June 17, 1995, and the timing of a trawl closure in the Eastern Gulf of Alaska and reallocation of sablefish bycatch to the individual fishing quota fishery. The Council may initiate analysis of amendments to the program and/or give direction to staff as appropriate.

2. The Council will also receive a report on an experimental fishing project involving mesh panel openings.

Although other issues not contained in this agenda may come before this Council for discussion, in accordance with the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, those issues may not be the subject of formal Council action during the meeting. Council action will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in the agenda listed in this notice.

Special Accommodations

These meetings are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Helen Allen, 907–271–2809, at least 5 working days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: November 18, 1997.

Gary C. Matlock, Ph.D.,

Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 97–30874 Filed 11–24–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton, Wool, Man-Made Fiber, Silk Blend and Other Vegetable Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in the People's Republic of China

November 20, 1997.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs increasing limits.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 26, 1997. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet Heinzen, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482–4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port or call (202) 927–5850. For information on embargoes and quota re-openings, call (202) 482–3715.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended.

The current limits for certain categories are being increased for carryforward.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the CORRELATION: Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see **Federal Register** notice 61 FR 66263, published on December 17, 1996). Also see 62 FR 6950, published on February 14, 1997.

Troy H. Cribb,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

November 20, 1997.

Commissioner of Customs,

Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive