compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Small Airplane Directorate.

(d) The replacement required by this AD shall be done in accordance with Pilatus Service Bulletin No. 28–003, Revision 1, dated September 30, 1997. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Pilatus Aircraft Ltd., CH–6370 Stans, Switzerland. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Swiss AD HB 97–432A, dated October 3, 1997.

(e) This amendment (39–10192) becomes effective on December 1, 1997. Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on October 29, 1997.

## Mary Ellen A. Schutt,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 97–29236 Filed 11–5–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 52

[MI38-01-6734; FRL-5884-1]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Michigan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

# ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving requested State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Michigan for the purpose of transferring the authority of the Michigan Air Pollution Control Commission (Commission) to the Director of the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) and subsequently transferring the authority of the Director of MDNR to the Director of the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ). Nothing in this action should be construed as permitting, allowing, or establishing a precedent for any future request for revision to any SIP. The EPA shall consider each request for revision to the SIP in light of specific technical, economic, and environmental factors and in relation to relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.

**DATES:** This rule is effective: December 8, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Michigan SIP revision request and EPA's analysis are

available for inspection during normal business hours at the following location: EPA Region 5, Air and Radiation Division (AR–18J), 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laura Gerleman, Air Programs Branch, Permits and Grants Section (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353–5703.

Copies of the State of Michigan's final authorization revision application are available during normal business hours at the following addresses for inspection and copying: Library of Michigan, Government Documents Section, 717 West Allegan, Lansing, Michigan; Olson Library, Northern Michigan University, Harden Circle Drive, Marquette, Michigan; Detroit Public Library Main Branch, Sociology and Economics Department, 5201 Woodward Avenue, Detroit, Michigan. To arrange for access to the materials in Lansing, call (517) 373-9489 between 9 a.m. and 6 p.m. on Mondays through Saturdays and between 12 p.m. and 4 p.m. on Sundays (Eastern time); in Marquette, call (906) 227-2260 between 8 a.m. and 12 a.m. on Mondays through Thursdays, between 8 a.m. and 9 p.m. on Fridays, and between 10 a.m. and 6 p.m. on Sundays (Eastern time); in Detroit, call (313) 833-1440 between 9:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. on Tuesdays and Thursdays through Saturdays, and between 1 p.m. and 9 p.m. on Wednesdays (Eastern time).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### A. Executive Order 1991–31

On November 8, 1991, Governor John Engler of Michigan signed Executive Order 1991–31 which, inter alia, abolished the Commission and transferred the authority of the Commission to the Director of MDNR. The State of Michigan submitted to EPA under a December 13, 1994 cover letter, a SIP revision request containing the transfer of authority of the Commission to the Director of MDNR. The EPA deemed the submittal complete in a February 16, 1995 letter to Roland Harmes, Director, MDNR.

#### **B. Executive Order 1995–18**

On July 31, 1995, Governor Engler signed Executive Order 1995–18 which, *inter alia*, elevated eight program divisions and two program offices from within MDNR to the MDEQ, effective October 1, 1995. The authority given to the Director of MDNR in Executive Order 1991–31 was conferred upon the Director of MDEQ in Executive Order 1995–18, with the exception of administrative appeals decisions. The State of Michigan submitted Executive Order 1995–18 to EPA under a January 19, 1996 cover letter as a supplement to the December 13, 1994 SIP revision.

### C. Authority

On March 28, 1997, EPA proposed to approve Michigan's requested SIP revisions as reorganizations of Michigan's environmental agencies wherein the authorities of the Director of the Commission under the SIP have been conferred upon the Director of MDEQ by Executive Order. See 62 FR 14843. The EPA did not receive any public comment on the proposal. In this notice, EPA is taking final action to approve these transfers of authority for the State of Michigan.

The EPA notes that it is currently reviewing the Michigan Environmental Audit Privilege and Immunity Law, Public Act 132 of 1996, and its potential impact on Michigan's federally delegated and authorized programs, including programs under the Federal Clean Air Act (CAA). The EPA's approval only addresses the requested SIP revisions submitted by Michigan that result from Executive Order 1991-31 and Executive Order 1995-18. The EPA's approval of requested revisions to Michigan's SIP arising out of these two Executive Orders does not express any viewpoint on the question of whether there are legal deficiencies in Michigan's SIP resulting from Public Act 132 of 1996.

### **Administrative Requirements**

### A. Executive Order (E.O.) 12866

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this regulatory action from E.O. 12866 review.

### B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 600 *et seq.*, EPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. Alternatively, EPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000.

Delegation of the Governor's authority under the CAA does not impose any new requirements on small entities. EPA certifies that this delegation will not affect a substantial number of small entities.

### C. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under Section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

The EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

# D. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

Under section 801(a)(1)(A) as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, EPA submitted a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of the rule in this **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by section 804(2).

## E. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 5, 1998. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

# List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations.

# Dated: August 13, 1997.

# Michelle D. Jordan,

Acting Regional Administrator. Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

# PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7642(q).

### Subpart X—Michigan

2. Section 52.1170 is amended by adding paragraph (c)109 to read as follows:

# § 52.1170 Identification of plan.

\*

\* \* (c) \* \* \*

(109) On December 13, 1994 and January 19, 1996, Michigan submitted correspondence and Executive Orders 1991-31 and 1995-18 which indicated that the executive branch of government had been reorganized. As a result of the reorganization, delegation of the Governor's authority under the Clean Air Act was revised. The Environmental Protection Agency's approval of these Executive Orders is limited to those provisions affecting air pollution control. The Air Pollution Control Commission was abolished and its authority was initially transferred to the Director of the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (DNR). Subsequently, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources of Environmental Quality (DEQ) was created by elevating eight program divisions and two program offices previously located within the DNR. The authority then earlier vested to the Director of the Michigan DNR was then transferred to the Director of the Michigan DEQ with the exception of some administrative appeals decisions.

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) State of Michigan Executive Order 1991–31 Commission of Natural Resources, Department of Natural Resources, Michigan Department of Natural Resources Executive Reorganization. Introductory and concluding words of issuance and Title I: General; Part A: Sections 1, 2, 4 and 5, Part B. Title III: Environmental Protection; Part A: Sections 1 and 2, Part B. Title IV: Miscellaneous; Parts A and B, Part C: Sections 1, 2, 4, Part D. Signed by John Engler, Governor, November 8, 1991. Filed with the Secretary of State November 8, 1991. Effective January 7, 1992.

(B) State of Michigan Executive Order No. 1995–18 Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Michigan Department of Natural Resources Executive Reorganization. Introductory and concluding words of issuance. Paragraphs 1, 2, 3(a) and (g), 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18. Signed by John Engler, Governor, July 31, 1995. Filed with the Secretary of State on August 1, 1995. Effective September 30, 1995.

[FR Doc. 97–29395 Filed 11–5–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# 40 CFR Part 52

[PA 091-4050a; FRL-5918-2]

### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Enhanced Motor Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Program

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Direct final rule; correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: This action corrects an interim final rule, which was published on January 28, 1997, regarding EPA conditional approval of Pennsylvania's enhanced inspection and maintenance (I/M) program. This action pertains to the consequences in the event that the Pennsylvania enhanced I/M program failed to commence per the deadlines set forth in EPA's interim final rule. EPA is taking this action for the purposes of consistency with rulemaking actions EPA has since taken on other states' inspection and maintenance programs. EPA is correcting its January 28 final rule through a direct final rule, without prior proposal, because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comment from the public. A detailed description of the correction is set forth in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section, below. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on a parallel proposed rule, published elsewhere in this Federal Register. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.