Museum Services Act. It moves the administration of library programs from the Department of Education to the Institute of Museum and Library Services.

DATES: The action is effective September 30, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mamie Bittner, mbittner@ims.fed.us, Director of Legislative and Public Affairs, 1100 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20506.

Dated: January 24, 1997.

Diane B. Frankel,

Director.

[FR Doc. 97-2668 Filed 2-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7036-01-M

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME: 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, February 11, 1997.

PLACE: The Board Room, 5th Floor, 490 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20594.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED:

6804 Aviation Accident Report: Continental Airlines Flight 1943, Douglas DC-9-32, Wheels-Up Landing at Houston, Texas, February 19, 1996.

NEWS MEDIA CONTACT: Telephone: (202) 314–6100.

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT: Bea Hardesty, (202) 314–6065.

Dated: January 31, 1997.

Bea Hardesty,

Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 97-2850 Filed 1-31-97; 1:11 pm]

BILLING CODE 7533-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-286]

Power Authority of the State of New York (Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3); Amendment to Exemption

Ι

The Power Authority of the State of New York (the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License No. DPR-64, which authorizes operation of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3 (IP3). The license provides that the licensee is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

The facility consists of a pressurizedwater reactor at the licensee's site located in Westchester County, New York

II

By letter dated October 1, 1996, as supplemented December 5, 1996, the licensee requested an amendment to the Technical Specifications (TSs) and an amendment to an existing exemption issued on February 19, 1993. The TS and existing exemption allow the licensee to conduct Type C containment isolation valve leak tests (Type C tests or LLRTs) at intervals up to 30 months as opposed to the 2-year interval specified by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Paragraph III.D.3. The requested amendments to the TS and to this exemption would allow a one-time extension of 4½ months to the Type C test interval.

The TS and the existing exemption allow the licensee to operate with a 24-month fuel cycle. Due to a lengthy outage period, the current fuel cycle has been extended by several months. The amendments to the TS and to the exemption would allow the licensee to complete the current fuel cycle without another outage. The next refueling outage is scheduled to begin in April 1997.

III

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50 when (1) the exemptions are authorized by law, will not present any undue risk to public health and safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security and (2) when special circumstances are present. Special circumstances are present whenever, according to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), "Application of the regulation in the particular circumstance would not serve the underlying purpose of the rule or is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule. * * *'

The underlying purpose of the requirement to perform Type C tests at intervals not to exceed 2 years is to ensure that any potential leakage pathways through the containment boundary are identified within a time span that is short enough to detect significant degradation and long enough to allow the tests to be conducted during scheduled refueling outages. This interval was originally published in Appendix J when refueling cycles were conducted at approximately annual intervals and has not been changed to reflect 2-year operating

cycles; therefore, the staff issued Generic Letter 91-04, "Changes in Technical Specification Surveillance Intervals to Accommodate a 24-Month Fuel Cycle." This generic letter provides guidance to licensees on how to prepare requests for TS amendments and exemptions that are needed to accommodate a 24-month cycle. Enclosure 3 to Generic Letter 91-04 noted that two issues should be addressed when justifying the extended Type C test interval: (1) a possible reduction in the combined leakage limit for Type B and Type C leakage tests, and (2) the basis for concluding that the containment leakage rate would be maintained within the acceptable limits with an extended test interval. The licensee's letters of July 17, 1992, and December 23, 1992, in which it applied for the existing exemption, addressed both of these issues. The licensee's letter of December 5, 1996, addressed both issues in light of the 41/2 month extension.

The first issue is a reduction in the combined containment penetration and isolation valve leakage rate limit for Type B and Type C tests that increases the margin to the maximum allowable leakage rate. The maximum allowable leakage rate, which is referred to as La, is specified in the facility's TS. The acceptance criterion for Type B and C tests is that the combined leakage rate shall be less than 0.60 La. This constitutes a margin of 0.40 La (40 percent of La). Enclosure 3 to Generic Letter 91–04 states that in order to justify an exemption to the Appendix J requirements and extend Type C test intervals up to 30 months, licensees should either (1) use leakage test data to demonstrate that the margin of 0.40 L_a will not be reduced as a result of the test interval increase or (2) propose an acceptance criterion limit of less than 0.60 L_a as a TS change. The licensee has proposed an acceptance criterion limit of 0.50 La for IP3. This constitutes a 25 percent increase in margin (40 percent to 50 percent). The staff has reviewed the proposed reduction in the combined leakage rate limit to 0. 50 La and finds it is consistent with the recommendations of Enclosure 3 to Generic Letter 91-04 and is, therefore, acceptable. A one-time extension of the test interval by 4½ months does not change the staff's determination in this

The second issue is the basis for concluding that containment leakage will be maintained within acceptable limits with an extended test interval. At the time of issuance of the existing amendment, ten LLRTs had been performed during the lifetime of IP3.

The as-found results of the first two tests (1978 and 1979) did not meet the acceptable leakage limit due to excessive leakage from one valve in 1978 and from four valves in 1979. The as-found results of the next six tests were below the acceptable leakage limit. The as-found results of the 1989 and 1990 tests did not meet the acceptable leakage limit due to excessive leakage from three valves in 1989 and from one valve in 1990. For each of the tests that did not meet the leakage limits, repairs to the noted valves were conducted, and the as-left values were well below acceptable leakage limits. The licensee reviewed the results of these ten LLRTs and concluded that the failures, except for one valve which was replaced in 1990, were random and non-recurring. The licensee concluded that these failures were not indicative of a poor performance trend. The staff reviewed the LLRT data provided by the licensee as well as the methodology used by the licensee to extrapolate LLRT data to a 30-month test interval and the staff concluded that there is reasonable assurance that the containment leakage rate would be maintained within acceptable limits with an LLRT interval increase to 30 months.

Since the request for the exemption allowing a 30-month LLRT test interval, two more tests have been conducted. In the first such test, conducted in 1992, the leakage for all valves was less than the minimum detectable for the test rig in use. In the second such test, conducted in 1994, the total leakage was 88 percent of the allowable value. The test rig used in the 1994 LLRT allowed the licensee to identify the valves that contributed most to total leakage. Maintenance was performed on these valves and the as-left leakage was less than 40 percent of the allowable limit. Based on its review of all of the LLRT data, the staff has concluded that there is reasonable assurance that the containment leak rate will remain within acceptable limits if the LLRT interval is extended by 4½ months; therefore, the application of the regulation in the particular circumstances is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule.

IV

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, that (1) the exemption described in Section III are authorized by law, will not endanger life or property, and are otherwise in the public interest and (2) special circumstances exist pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii). Therefore, the Commission hereby grants the following

amendment to the exemption dated February 19, 1993: The Power Authority of the State of New York is exempt from the requirement of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Paragraph III.D.3, in that the current interval between Type C tests may be extended beyond 30 months for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3. The Type C tests must be conducted during an outage beginning no later than May 31, 1997. This amendment applies to the current test interval only.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this exemption will have no significant impact on the quality of the human environment (62 FR 3538).

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Frank J. Miraglia,

Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of January 1997.

[FR Doc. 97–2688 Filed 2–3–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket No. 30-02764-MLA; ASLBP No. 97-722-01-MLA]

University of Cincinnati; Designation of Presiding Officer

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission dated December 29, 1972, published in the Federal Register, 37 F.R. 28710 (1972), and Sections 2.105, 2.700, 2.702, 2.714, 2.714a, 2.717 and 2.1207 of the Commission's Regulations, a single member of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel is hereby designated to rule on petitions for leave to intervene and/or requests for hearing and, if necessary, to serve as the Presiding Officer to conduct an informal adjudicatory hearing in the following proceeding.

University of Cincinnati (Denial of License Amendment)

The hearing, if granted, will be conducted pursuant to 10 C.F.R. Subpart L of the Commission's Regulations, "Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudications in Materials and Operator Licensing Proceedings." This proceeding concerns a denial by NRC Staff of a request by the University of Cincinnati for a license amendment and a hearing petition pursuant to 10 C.F.R. Section 2.1205(b).

The Presiding Officer in this proceeding is Administrative Judge G. Paul Bollwerk III. Pursuant to the provisions of 10 C.F.R. 2.722, Administrative Judge Jerry R. Kline has

been appointed to assist the Presiding Officer in taking evidence and in preparing a suitable record for review.

All correspondence, documents and other materials shall be filed with Judge Bollwerk and Judge Kline in accordance with C.F.R. 2.701. Their addresses are: Administrative Judge G. Paul Bollwerk III, Presiding Officer, Atomic Safety

and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555

Administrative Judge Jerry R. Kline, Special Assistant, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of January 1997.

B. Paul Cotter, Jr.,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 97–2690 Filed 2–3–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301]

Wisconsin Electric Power Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–24 and DPR–27 issued to Wisconsin Electric Power Company (the licensee), for operation of the Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, located in Manitowoc County, Wisconsin.

The proposed amendments would change Technical Specification requirements related to the low temperature overpressure protection (LTOP) system. Specifically, the reactor coolant system (RCS) temperature below which LTOP is required to be enabled and the temperature below which one high pressure safety injection pump is required to be rendered inoperable would be changed from less than 275 degrees Fahrenheit to less than 355 degrees Fahrenheit. Additionally, the restriction of "less than the minimum pressurization temperature for the inservice pressure test as defined in Figure 15.3.1–1" would be deleted and the specific temperature limit of less than 355 degrees Fahrenheit would be specified. The setpoint for the pressurizer power-operated relief valves (PORVs) would be changed from less than or equal to 425 pounds per square inch gage (psig) to less than or equal to 440 psig to allow for instrument