Approval and Implementation, GA, *Due:* October 20, 1997, *Contact:* Joshua Lott Ext. 178 (301) 713–3117. EIS No. 970349, Final EIS, UAF, OH, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (WPAFB) Demolition of Multiple Historic Facilities, Implementation, Greene, Montgomery and Clark Counties, OH, *Due:* October 06, 1997, *Contact:* Thomas J. Perdue (937) 257–5535

Amended Notices

EIS No. 970286, Draft EIS, USA, MD, PA, Fort Ritchie Disposal and Reuse for BRAC of 638 Acres, Implementation, Frederick and Washington Counties, MD and Adams and Franklin Counties, PA, *Due:* September 26, 1997, *Contact:* Clifford Kidd (410) 962–3100. Published FR 08–01–97—Review Period Extended.

EIS No. 970305, Final EIS, AFS, ID, White Pine Creek Timber Sale, Implementation, Clearwater National Forest, Palouse Ranger District, Benewah and Latah Counties, ID, *Due:* September 25, 1997, *Contact:* Suzanne Lay (208) 875–1131. Published FR 08–15–97—Review Period Extended and Title Change.

EIS No. 970311, Final EIS, FTA, NY, Wassaic Extension Project, Expand Metro-North, Funding and Right-of-Way, Dutchess and Litchfield Counties, NY, *Due:* September 19, 1997, *Contact:* Anthony G. Carr (212) 264–8973. Published FR 08–15–97—Review Period extended.

Dated: September 2, 1997.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97-23625 Filed 9-4-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5484-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared August 4, 1997 through August 8, 1997 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 04, 1997 (62 FR 16154).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-FHW-G40145-00 Rating LO, US 71 Highway Improvement Project, between Texarkana, (US71) Arkansas and DeQueen, Funding, Right-of-Way Approval and COE Section 404 Permit, Little River, Miller and Sevier Counties, AR and Bowie County, TX.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the conceptual relocation project. For the most part, EPA finds the DEIS to be comprehensive, thorough, and to adequately address the impacts associated with the alternative considered. The preferred action must be identified with appropriate mitigation in the Final Statement.

ERP No. D-FTA-K40223-CA Rating LO, Mission Valley East Corridor Transit Improvement Project, between I-15 in Mission Valley and the East County community of La Mesa, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit, Metropolitan Transit Development Board (MTDB) and Light Rail Transit (LRT), San Diego County, CA.

Summary: EPA lacked objections to the FTA's proposed Mission Valley East Transit Improvement project.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–FHW–E40345–NC, NC–16, Upgrading and Relocating Project, Construction, Lucia to North of NC–150, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and NPDES Permit, Gaston, Lincoln and Catawba Counties, NC.

Summary: EPA generally concurred with the corridor selected, but continues to question providing greater highway capacity in a water supply watershed.

ERP No. F-FHW-G40140-TX, Grand Parkway Segment (TX-99) Improvements Project, from TX-225 to I-10, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and Right-of-Way Grant, Harris and Chambers Counties, TX.

Summary: the Final EIS has adequately responded to EPA's comments offered on the DEIS and therefore EPA has no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative as now described in the Final EIS.

Dated: September 2, 1997.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97–23626 Filed 9–4–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5889-8]

Effluent Guidelines Task Force Open Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Effluent Guidelines Task Force, an EPA advisory committee, will hold a meeting to discuss the Agency's Effluent Guidelines Program. The meeting is open to the public.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Wednesday, September 10, 1997 from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., and Thursday, September 11, 1997 from 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place at the Madison Hotel, 15th & M Streets, NW, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Randolph, Office of Water (4303), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone (202) 260–5373; fax (202) 260–7185.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), the Environmental Protection Agency gives notice of a meeting of the Effluent Guidelines Task Force (EGTF). The EGTF is a subcommittee of the National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology (NACEPT), the external policy advisory board to the Administrator of EPA.

The EGTF was established in July of 1992 to advise EPA on the Effluent Guidelines Program, which develops regulations for dischargers of industrial wastewater pursuant to Title III of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.). The Task Force consists of members appointed by EPA from industry, citizen groups, state and local government, the academic and scientific communities, and EPA regional offices. The Task Force was created to offer advice to the Administrator on the long-term strategy for the effluent guidelines program, and particularly to provide recommendations on a process for expediting the promulgation of effluent guidelines. The Task Force generally does not discuss specific effluent guideline regulations currently under development.

The meeting is open to the public, and limited seating for the public is available on a first-come, first-served basis. The public may submit written comments to the Task Force regarding improvements to the Effluent Guidelines program. Comments should be sent to Beverly Randolph at the

above address. Comments submitted by September 8, 1997 will be considered by the Task Force at or subsequent to the

Dated: September 3,1997.

Tudor T. Davies,

Director, Office of Science and Technology. [FR Doc. 97-23737 Filed 9-4-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-00500; FRL-5741-7]

Miller Reporting Company, Inc.; Transfer of Data

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This is a notice to certain persons who have submitted information to EPA in connection with pesticide information requirements imposed under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA). Miller Reporting Company, Inc. (MRC) has been awarded a contract to perform work for the EPA Office of Administrative Law Judges and Office of Pesticide Programs, and will be provided access to certain information submitted to EPA under FIFRA and FFDCA. Some of this information may have been claimed to be confidential business information (CBI) by submitters. This information will be transferred to MRC consistent with the requirements of 40 CFR 2.307(h)(3) and 40 CFR 2.308(i)(2), and will enable MRC to fulfill the obligations of the contract. DATES: MRC will be given access to this

information no sooner than September 10, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: BeWanda Alexander, Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location, telephone number, and e-mail address: Rm. 700N, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA, (703) 305-5259, e-mail: alexander.bewanda@epamail.epa.gov. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under Contract No. 7W-4428-NASA, MRC

will provide stenographic support services to EPA's Office of Administrative Law Judges required to cover the hearing in regards to E.I. duPont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Docket No. FIFRA 95-H-02. This contract involves no subcontractors.

The Office of Administrative Law Judges and Office of Pesticide Programs have jointly determined that the contract herein involves work that is being conducted in connection with FIFRA, in that pesticide chemicals will be the subject of certain evaluations to be made under this contract. These evaluations may be used in subsequent regulatory decisions under FIFRA. Some of this information may be entitled to confidential treatment. The information has been submitted to EPA under sections 3, 4, 6, and 7 of FIFRA and under sections 408 and 409 of FFDCA.

In accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR 2.307(h)(3), the contract with MRC prohibits use of the information for any purpose not specified in the contract; prohibits disclosure of the information to a third party without prior written approval from the Agency; and requires that each official and employee of the contractor sign an agreement to protect the information from unauthorized release and to handle it in accordance with the FIFRA Information Security Manual. In addition, MRC is required to submit for EPA approval a security plan under which any CBI will be secured and protected against unauthorized release or compromise. No information will be provided to this contractor until the above requirements have been fully satisfied. Records of information provided to this contractor will be maintained by the Project Officer for this contract in the EPA Office of Administrative Law Judges. All information supplied to MRC by EPA for use in connection with this contract will be returned to EPA when MRC has completed its work.

Dated: August 22, 1997.

Daniel M. Barolo,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 97-23627 Filed 9-4-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5888-1]

Privacy Act of 1974; Republication of **Existing Systems of Records**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of republication of existing systems of records.

SUMMARY: Under the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, 5 U.S.C. 552a, EPA previously published notices for two systems of records maintained by EPA's Office of Inspector General (OIG): the

EPA-4 system of records called "OIG Criminal Investigative Index and Files— EPA/OIG" and the EPA-5 system of records called "OIG Personnel Security Files— EPA/OIG." The most recent notice for these systems of records was published in the **Federal Register** at 58 FR 29821 (May 24, 1993). Under 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4), EPA is revising the EPA-4 system notice to add three new routine uses and to update information about the categories of individuals covered by the system, the categories of records in the system, the authority for maintenance of the system, the way records are retrieved from the system, the record source categories, the system location, and the system manager's address. EPA is revising the EPA-5 system notice to add one new routine use and to update information about the categories of records in the system, the authority for maintenance of the system, the system location, and the system manager's address.

DATES: This notice will be effective without further notice on October 15, 1997, unless EPA receives written comments that would result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons may submit written comments to John C. Jones, Assistant Inspector General for Management, Office of Inspector General (2441), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John C. Jones, (202) 260-4912.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For the EPA-4 system of records, EPA is revising the notice to add three new routine uses: Routine use "u" allows the disclosure of records to officers and employees of other Federal agencies for the purpose of conducting quality assessments of the investigative operations of the OIG; routine use "v" allows the disclosure of records to the public when the matter has become public knowledge, or when the Inspector General determines that such disclosure is necessary to preserve confidence in the integrity of the OIG investigative process or is necessary to demonstrate the accountability of EPA officers, employees, or individuals covered by this system, unless it is determined that disclosure of the specific information in the context of a particular case would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy; and routine use "w" allows the disclosure of records to the news media and public when there exists a legitimate public interest (e.g., to provide information on events in the criminal process, such as indictments),