existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determinations as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

#### **Unfunded Mandates**

This rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 920

Intergovernmetnal relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: January 24, 1997.

Ronald C. Recker,

Acting Regional Director, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 97-2332 Filed 1-29-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

#### 30 CFR Part 938

#### [PA-117-FOR]

#### Pennsylvania Regulatory Program

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; public comment period and opportunity for public hearing.

**SUMMARY:** OSM is announcing the receipt of a proposed amendment to the Pennsylvania permanent regulatory program (hereinafter referred to as the Pennsylvania program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The proposed amendment (Administrative Record Number PA 843.00) revises the Pennsylvania program to incorporate changes made to Chapter 86 (relating to areas unsuitable for mining) by the Pennsylvania Environmental Quality Board. The proposed amendment is intended to clarify ambiguous language contained in Subchapter D concerning the designation of areas as unsuitable for mining, and to correct typographical errors.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by 4 p.m., e.s.t. March 3, 1997. If requested, a public hearing on the proposed amendment will be held on February 24, 1997. Requests to speak at the hearing must be received by 4:00 p.m., e.s.t. on February 14, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and requests to speak at the hearing should be mailed or hand delivered to Robert

J. Biggi, Director, Harrisburg Field Office at the address shown below.

Copies of the Pennsylvania program, the proposed amendment, a listing of any scheduled public hearings, and all written comments received in response to this document will be available for public review at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Each requestor may receive one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting OSM's Harrisburg Field Office. Any disabled individual who has need for a special accommodation to attend a public hearing should contact the individual listed under for further information CONTACT.

Robert J. Biggi, Director, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Harrisburg Field Office, Harrisburg Transportation Center, Third Floor, Suite 3C, 4th and Market Streets, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101, Telephone: (717) 782–4036.

Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Mining and Reclamation, Room 209 Executive House, 2nd and Chestnut Streets, P.O. Box 8461, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105–8461, Telephone: (717) 787–5103.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert J. Biggi, Director, Harrisburg Field Office, (717) 782–4036.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background on the Pennsylvania Program

On July 31, 1982, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Pennsylvania program. Background information on the Pennsylvania program including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and a detailed explanation of the conditions of approval of the Pennsylvania program can be found in the July 30, 1982, Federal Register (47 FR 33050). Subsequent actions concerning the conditions of approval and program amendments are identified at 30 CFR 938.11, 938.12, 938.15 and 938.16.

#### II. Discussion of Amendment

By letter dated December 19, 1996 (Administrative Record Number PA 843.00), Pennsylvania submitted amendments to the regulations in the Pennsylvania program concerning designating areas unsuitable for coal surface mining. The amendments are intended to clarify ambiguous language contained in Subchapter D concerning the designation of areas as unsuitable

for mining, and to correct typographical errors.

The proposed amendments are as follows:

At § 86.101, in the definition of "fragile lands" two citations of the State Surface Mining Conservation and Reclamation Act are being amended.

At § 86.101, in the definition of "surface mining activities" the term that is being defined, "surface mining activities" is being changed to read "surface mining operations."

In various places, the term "surface mining activities" and "surface mining activity" are being amended to read "surface mining operations" and "surface mining operation," respectively.

In various places the word "surface" is being added to clarify that the term "surface mining operations" is intended.

In various places the word "activities" is being replaced by the phrase "surface mining operations."

At § 86.121(a) the citation for the State Surface Mining Conservation and Reclamation Act is being amended.

At § 86.130 (a) and (b), the word "all or certain types of" are being added to clarify that § 86.130 pertains to areas designated as unsuitable for all or certain types of surface mining operations.

Various other typographical, grammatical, style, and organizational name changes are being made throughout the amendment.

#### III. Public Comment Procedures

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), OSM is now seeking comment on whether the amendments proposed by Pennsylvania satisfy the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If the amendments are deemed adequate, they will become part of the Pennsylvania program.

#### Written Comments

Written comments should be specific, pertain only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking, and include explanations in support of the commenter's recommendations. Comments received after the time indicated under DATES or at locations other than the Harrisburg Field Office will not necessarily be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the administrative record.

#### Public Hearing

Persons wishing to speak at the public hearing should contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT by 4 p.m., e.s.t. on February 14, 1997.

Filing of a written statement at the time of the hearing is requested as it will greatly assist the transcriber. Submission of written statements in advance of the hearing will allow OSM officials to prepare adequate responses and appropriate questions.

The public hearing will continue on the specified date until all persons scheduled to speak have been heard. Persons in the audience who have not been scheduled to speak, and wish to do so, will be heard following those who have been scheduled. The hearing will end after all persons scheduled to speak and persons present in the audience who wish to speak have been heard.

#### Public Meeting

If only one person requests an opportunity to speak at a hearing, a public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. Persons wishing to meet with OSM representatives to discuss the proposed amendment may request a meeting by contacting the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. All such meetings will be open to the public and, if possible, notices of meetings will be posted at the locations listed under ADDRESSES. A written summary of each meeting will be made a part of the Administrative Record.

#### IV. Procedural Determinations

#### Executive Order 12866

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

#### Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

#### National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon corresponding Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the corresponding Federal regulations.

#### **Unfunded Mandates**

This rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

#### List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 938

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: January 15, 1997.

Ronald C. Recker,

Acting Regional Director, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.

 $[FR\ Doc.\ 97\text{--}2330\ Filed\ 1\text{--}29\text{--}97;\ 8\text{:}45\ am]$ 

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[MA014-7195b; FRL-5682-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Massachusetts: Enhanced Motor Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Program

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed interim rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing a conditional interim approval and in the alternative, disapproval of a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts on March 27, 1996. This submittal is a supplement to the original enhanced inspection and maintenance submittal by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts on December 23, 1994. This revision establishes and requires the implementation of a statewide enhanced inspection and maintenance (I/M) program. EPA is proposing a conditional approval because the Commonwealth's SIP revision is deficient with respect to several requirements of the CAA and/or EPA's I/M program regulatory requirements. DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 3, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Susan E. Studlien, Deputy Director, Office of Ecosystem Protection (CAA), United States Environmental Protection Agency, JFK Federal Building, Boston, MA 02203. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection by appointment during normal business hours at the U.S. EPA, One Congress Street, Boston MA 02203.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter X. Hagerty, (617) 565–3571.

#### I. Background

A. Impact of the National Highway System Designation Act on the Design and Implementation of Enhanced Inspection and Maintenance Programs Under the Clean Air Act

The National Highway System Designation Act of 1995 (NHSDA) establishes two key changes to the enhanced I/M rule requirements previously developed by EPA. Under the NHSDA, EPA cannot require states to adopt or implement centralized, test-only IM240 enhanced vehicle inspection and maintenance programs as a means of compliance with section 182, 184 or 187 of the CAA. Also under