documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day. Reference files TN159–01–9704, TN174–01–9726, and TN175–01–9725. The Region 4 office may have additional background documents not available at the other locations.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303. William Denman 404/562– 9030.

Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, Division of Air Pollution Control, 9th Floor L & C Annex, 401 Church Street, Nashville, Tennessee 37243–1531.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Denman at 404/562-9030.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 3, 1997.

Michael V. Peyton,

Acting Regional Administrator.
[FR Doc. 97–19085 Filed 7–18–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[VA040-5017 & VA009-5017; FRL-5846-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Virginia: Approval of Group III SIP and Coke Oven Rules for Particulate Matter

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve two State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the Commonwealth of Virginia. Approval of

Virginia's Group III SIP would establish an ambient air quality standard for particulate matter smaller than 10 micrometers in diameter (PM–10); provide regulatory definitions for "particulate matter," "particulate matter emissions" "PM10," "PM10 emissions,"

and "total suspended particulate matter" (TSP); and modify rules regarding air pollution episodes to include PM-10 as well as TSP action levels. Approval of the coke oven provisions would provide for limits on mass emissions, opacity, and fugitive dust from nonrecovery coke works.

In the final rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by August 20, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Makeba A. Morris, Chief, Technical Assessment Section, Mailcode 3AT22, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; and the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, 629 East Main Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas A. Casey, (215) 566–2194, at the EPA Region III address above (Mailcode 3AT22) or via e-mail at casey.thomas@epamail.epa.gov. While information may be requested via e-mail, comments must be submitted in writing to the EPA Region III address above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action entitled, "Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Virginia: Approval of Group III SIP and Coke Oven Rules for Particulate Matter," which is located in the Rules and

Regulations Section of this **Federal Register.**

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Particulate matter.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

Dated: June 16, 1997. W. Michael McCabe,

Regional Administrator, Region III. [FR Doc. 97–19097 Filed 7–18–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[PA078-4042b; FRL-5858-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Approval of Source-Specific RACT for R.R. Donnelley & Sons Company— East Plant

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for the purpose of establishing reasonably available control technology (RACT) for R. R. Donnelley & Sons Company—East Plant. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule and the technical support document. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. DATES: Comments must be received in writing by August 20, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Ozone/CO & Mobile Sources Section, Mailcode 3AT21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building,

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107.
Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; and Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Quality, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey M. Boylan, (215) 566–2094, at the EPA Region III office or via e-mail at

boylan.jeffrey@epamail.epa.gov.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this Federal Register.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. Dated: June 30, 1997.

Thomas Voltaggio,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III. [FR Doc. 97–19096 Filed 7–18–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[FL 72-1-9720b: FRL-5858-3]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plan, Florida: Approval of Revisions to the Florida SIP

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On September 25, 1996, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) submitted revisions to the Florida State Implementation Plan (SIP) to: revise the gasoline tanker truck leak testing procedures by adopting by reference federal test methods; change the requirements to submit test results to the FDEP rather than the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services; and update the gasoline tanker truck leak test form. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State of Florida's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial

revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: To be considered, comments on this proposed action must be received by August 20, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Mr. Gregory Crawford at the EPA Regional Office listed below.

Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399–2400.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Gregory Crawford, Regulatory Planning Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides, and Toxics Management Division, Region 4, Environmental Protection Agency, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303. The telephone number is 404/562–9042.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 25, 1997.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Regional Administrator.
[FR Doc. 97–19094 Filed 7–18–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 20

[CC Docket No. 94-102, DA 97-1502]

Compatibility of Wireless Services With Enhanced 911

AGENCY: Federal Communications

Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In the wireless Enhanced 911 (E911) rulemaking proceeding, the Commission seeks additional comment on the ex parte presentations filed by Wireless É911 Coalition, GTE Wireless and Ad Hoc Alliance for Public Access to 911 (Alliance) regarding certain technical issues pertaining to the provision of 911 emergency calling services. In light of ex parte presentations by the wireless carriers and equipment manufacturers, the staff of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau prepared a set of questions to help our understanding and evaluation of technical issues related to the E911 rules. In response to our inquiry, GTE Wireless filed its response on July 7, 1997, the Wireless E911 Coalition filed its response on July 10 and Alliance filed its response on July 11. Additional comment on these responses is sought to assist the Commission in determining whether to revise Section 20.18(b) of the Commission's Rules. The effect of revising Section 20.18(b) would be to bring the timely implementation of basic 911 services to wireless customers. **DATES:** Comments must be filed by July

DATES: Comments must be filed by July 28, 1997 and no reply comments will be accepted.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, NW., Room 222, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Won Kim, Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, (202) 418–1310.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. In wireless Enhanced 911 (E911) rulemaking proceeding, GTE Wireless filed *ex parte* presentation on July 7, the Wireless E911 Coalition filed its *ex parte* presentation on July 10, and Alliance filed its *ex parte* presentation on July 11, urging the Commission to revise Section 20.18(b) of the Commission's Rules. The full text of the GTE Wireless July 7 *ex parte* presentation, the Wireless E911 Coalition July 10 *ex parte* presentation, and Alliance July 11 *ex parte* presentation are available for inspection