- (2) In the case of a disabled Federal law enforcement officer approved for PSOB benefits under subpart A of this part, applicants for assistance under this subpart must submit birth or marriage certificates or other proof of relationship consistent with §§ 32.12 (spouse) and 32.13 (child), if such evidence had not been submitted with respect to the PSOB claim.
- (c) The application shall describe the program of education at an eligible educational institution, and the educational expenses for which assistance is sought. A request for assistance may be for prospective assistance, for retroactive benefits pursuant to § 32.35 (if applicable), or both
- (d)(1) A request for prospective assistance must be accompanied by a certified copy of the official letter of acceptance from the eligible educational institution (on official letterhead) to the dependent, accepting the applicant into an educational program.
- (2) The applicant also shall submit to the Bureau, when it is available, the schedule of classes in which the applicant is enrolled, and which must be consistent with the educational, professional, or vocational objectives stated in the application.
- (e) An applicant may be represented in any proceeding before the Bureau by an attorney or other person authorized to act on behalf of the applicant pursuant to §§ 32.19 and 32.22.

#### § 32.35 Retroactive benefits.

- (a) Each dependent of a Federal law enforcement officer killed in the line of duty on or after May 1, 1992, shall be eligible for assistance, on the same basis and subject to the limitations of this subpart, for each month in which the dependent had pursued a program of education at an eligible educational institution.
- (b) To be eligible for retroactive benefits, the applicant must submit a certified copy of transcripts from the educational institution covering the relevant time period. Absent compelling justification, no application will be accepted more than five years from the last date the applicant pursued such program of education.
- (c) Subject to applicable limitations, retroactive benefits shall be in addition to prospective assistance provided under this subpart. A dependent eligible for retroactive benefits may choose to waive such assistance and apply only for prospective assistance under the provisions of this subpart.

# § 32.36 Action on applications for assistance.

(a) After examining the application for prospective or retroactive assistance under the provisions and limitations of this subpart, and any additional relevant information, the Bureau shall notify the dependent in writing of the approval or disapproval of the application.

(b) If the application is denied, in whole or part, the Bureau shall explain the reasons for the denial. A copy of the decision, together with information as to the right to an appeal, shall be mailed to the applicant's last known address.

#### § 32.37 Determination of benefits.

- (a)(1) Financial assistance under this subpart shall consist of direct payments to an eligible dependent and shall be computed on the basis set forth in 38 U.S.C. 3532.
- (2) The dependent's status as a fulltime, three-quarter-time, half-time, or less-than-half-time student will be determined in accordance with the requirements of, and must be certified by, the eligible educational institution.
- (b) In applying the limitations under this subpart with respect to prospective assistance, the Bureau shall consider any retroactive benefits provided to the dependent pursuant to § 32.35.
- (c) Benefits payable under this subpart shall be in addition to any other benefit that may be due from any other source, except that, if the FLEDA assistance in combination with other benefits would exceed the total approved costs for the applicant's program of education, the assistance under this subpart will be reduced by the amount of such excess.

## § 32.38 Denial of benefits.

- (a) No benefit shall be paid under this subpart if the Bureau determines that the dependent is not eligible for, is no longer eligible for, or is not entitled to the assistance for which application is made. Without limitation, this will include circumstances in which—
- (1) The benefits would exceed the applicable durational limits;
- (2) A dependent child has exceeded the age limit for benefits;
- (3) The dependent has failed to maintain satisfactory progress in the selected program of education as defined in § 32.32(i);
- (4) The dependent is in default on any student loan obtained through Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, unless the assistance under this subpart is used for repayment of the defaulted loans and the applicant provides evidence of this fact to the Bureau in the form of an approved repayment plan; or

- (5) The dependent is subject to a denial of federal benefits under 21 U.S.C. 862.
- (b) The Bureau shall deny benefits under this subpart if—
- (1) The educational institution attended by the dependent fails to meet a requirement for eligibility described in § 32.32(h);
- (2) The dependent's enrollment in or pursuit of the selected program of education would fail to meet the criteria established in § 32.32(g); or
- (3) The dependent already is qualified by previous education or training for the educational, professional or vocational objective for which the program of education is offered.

#### § 32.39 Appeals.

An applicant may, within 30 days after notification of denial, submit a written appeal request to the Bureau. Appeals will be handled consistent with § 32.24 and the appendix to this part, except that such appeals shall not be handled by oral hearing but will be conducted through a record review by an administrative hearing officer. Provisions in § 32.24 and the appendix to this part relating to oral hearings shall not be applicable to appeals under this subpart.

# § 32.40 Repayment.

In the event that the recipient of financial assistance under this subpart fails to maintain satisfactory progress, as defined in § 32.32(i), or otherwise become ineligible for assistance (other than as a result of age or the expiration of the time limit for assistance), the dependent is liable for repayment of funds awarded for prospective assistance. The Director of the Bureau may waive all or part of such repayment, based on a consideration of the circumstances and the hardship that would result from such repayment.

Dated: July 10, 1997.

# Richard H. Ward,

Deputy Director, Bureau of Justice Assistance. [FR Doc. 97–18584 Filed 7–14–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–18–P

# PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

# 29 CFR Part 4044

Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Valuing Benefits

**AGENCY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation's Regulation on Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans prescribes interest assumptions for valuing benefits under terminating single-employer plans. This final rule amends the regulation to adopt interest assumptions for plans with valuation dates in August 1997.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 1, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Harold J. Ashner, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202–326–4024 (202–326–4179 for TTY and TDD).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The PBGC's Regulation on Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans (29 CFR part 4044) prescribes actuarial assumptions for valuing plan benefits of terminating single-employer plans covered by title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

Among the actuarial assumptions prescribed in part 4044 are interest assumptions. These interest assumptions are intended to reflect current conditions in the financial and annuity markets.

Two sets of interest assumptions are prescribed, one set for the valuation of benefits to be paid as annuities and one set for the valuation of benefits to be paid as lump sums. This amendment adds to appendix B to part 4044 the

annuity and lump sum interest assumptions for valuing benefits in plans with valuation dates during August 1997.

For annuity benefits, the interest assumptions will be 6.10 percent for the first 25 years following the valuation date and 5.00 percent thereafter. The annuity interest assumptions represent a decrease (from those in effect for July 1997) of 0.20 percent for the first 25 years following the valuation date and are otherwise unchanged. For benefits to be paid as lump sums, the interest assumptions to be used by the PBGC will be 4.75 percent for the period during which a benefit is in pay status and 4.00 percent during any years preceding the benefit's placement in pay status. The lump sum interest assumptions represent a decrease (from those in effect for July 1997) of 0.50 percent for the period during which a benefit is in pay status and for the seven years directly preceding that period; they are otherwise unchanged.

The PBGC has determined that notice and public comment on this amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest. This finding is based on the need to determine and issue new interest assumptions promptly so that the assumptions can reflect, as accurately as possible, current market conditions.

Because of the need to provide immediate guidance for the valuation of

benefits in plans with valuation dates during August 1997, the PBGC finds that good cause exists for making the assumptions set forth in this amendment effective less than 30 days after publication.

The PBGC has determined that this action is not a "significant regulatory action" under the criteria set forth in Executive Order 12866.

Because no general notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this amendment, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 does not apply. *See* 5 U.S.C. 601(2).

# List of Subjects in 29 CFR Part 4044

Pension insurance, Pensions.

In consideration of the foregoing, 29 CFR part 4044 is amended as follows:

# PART 4044—ALLOCATION OF ASSETS IN SINGLE-EMPLOYER PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 4044 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 29 U.S.C. 1301(a), 1302(b)(3), 1341, 1344, 1362.

2. In appendix B, a new entry is added to Table I, and Rate Set 46 is added to Table II, as set forth below. The introductory text of each table is republished for the convenience of the reader and remains unchanged.

# Appendix B to Part 4044—Interest Rates Used to Value Annuities and Lump Sums

# TABLE I.—ANNUITY VALUATIONS

[This table sets forth, for each indicated calendar month, the interest rates (denoted by  $i_1, i_2, \ldots$ , and referred to generally as  $i_i$ ) assumed to be in effect between specified anniversaries of a valuation date that occurs within that calendar month; those anniversaries are specified in the columns adjacent to the rates. The last listed rate is assumed to be in effect after the last listed anniversary date.]

For valuation dates occurring in the month—			The values of i <sub>t</sub> are:						
			<b>i</b> t	for t=	<b>i</b> t	for t=	<i>i</i> <sub>t</sub>	for <i>t</i> =	
*	*	*	*		*	*		*	
August 1997			.0610	1–25	.0500	>25	N/A	N/A	

## TABLE II.—LUMP SUM VALUATIONS

[In using this table: (1) For benefits for which the participant or beneficiary is entitled to be in pay status on the valuation date, the immediate annuity rate shall apply; (2) For benefits for which the deferral period is y years (where y is an integer and  $0 < y \le n_1$ ), interest rate  $i_1$  shall apply from the valuation date for a period of y years, and thereafter the immediate annuity rate shall apply; (3) For benefits for which the deferral period is y years (where y is an integer and  $n_1 < y \le n_1 + n_2$ ), interest rate  $i_2$  shall apply from the valuation date for a period of  $y - n_1$  years, interest rate  $i_1$  shall apply for the following  $n_1$  years, and thereafter the immediate annuity rate shall apply; (4) For benefits for which the deferral period is y years (where y is an integer and  $y < n_1 + n_2$ ), interest rate  $i_3$  shall apply from the valuation date for a period of  $y - n_1 - n_2$  years, interest rate  $i_2$  shall apply for the following  $n_2$  years, interest rate  $i_3$  shall apply for the following  $n_1$  years, and thereafter the immediate annuity rate shall apply.]

Rate set	For plans with a valuation date		Immediate	Deferred annuities (percent)					
	On or after	Before	annuity rate (percent)	$i_1$	$i_2$	<i>i</i> <sub>3</sub>	$n_1$	$n_2$	
*	*		*	*	*		*	*	
46	08–1–97	09–1–97	4.75	4.00	4.00	4.00	7	8	

Issued in Washington, D.C., on this 10th day of July 1997.

#### John Seal,

Acting Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 97–18576 Filed 7–14–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7708–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### Department of the Navy

#### 32 CFR Part 706

Certifications and Exemptions Under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 Amendment

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DOD. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy is amending its certifications and exemptions under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) of the Navy has determined that USS ARLEIGH BURKE (DDG 51) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot comply fully with certain provisions of the 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special

functions as a naval ship. The intended effect of this rule is to warn mariners in waters where 72 COLREGS apply.

## EFFECTIVE DATE: June 23, 1997.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Captain R.R. Pixa, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Admiralty Counsel, Office of the Judge Advocate General, Navy Department, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332–2400, Telephone number: (703) 325–9744.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant** to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C. 1605, the Department of the Navy amends 32 CFR Part 706. This amendment provides notice that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) of the Navy, under authority delegated by the Secretary of the Navy, has certified that USS ARLEIGH BURKE (DDG 51) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot comply fully with the following specific provision of 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship: Annex I, paragraph 3(a), pertaining to the location of the forward masthead light in the forward quarter of the ship, the placement of the after masthead light, and the horizontal distance between the forward and after masthead lights. The Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) has also certified that the lights involved are located in closest possible compliance with the applicable 72 COLREGS requirements.

Moreover, it has been determined, in accordance with 32 CFR Parts 296 and 701, that publication of this amendment for public comment prior to adoption is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on technical findings that the placement of lights on this vessel in a manner differently from that prescribed herein will adversely affect the vessel's ability to perform its military functions.

### List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Vessels.

Accordingly, 32 CFR Part 706 is amended as follows:

# PART 706—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 32 CFR part 706 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1605.

2. Table Five of § 706.2 is amended by revising the entry for the USS ARLEIGH BURKE to read as follows:

§ 706.2 Certifications of the Secretary of the Navy under Executive Order 11964 and 33 U.S.C. 1605.

TABLE FIVE

	Vessel	No.	Masthead lights not over all other lights and ob- structions. Annex I, sec. 2(f)	Forward mast- head light not in forward quarter of ship. Annex I, sec. 3(a)	After masthead light less than ½ ship's length aft of forward mast- head light. Annex I, sec. 3(a)	Percentage horizontal separation at- tained
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
USS ARLEIGH BURKE		DDG 51	X	X	X	19.0
*	*	*	*	*	*	*