Honorable Margaret Roukema, U.S.
House of Representatives, 2244
Rayburn House Office Bldg.,
Washington, D.C. 20515–3005
Honorable Tom Ridge, State Capitol,
Harrisburg, PA 17120
Honorable Christine Whitman, State
House, Trenton, NJ 08625

[FR Doc. 97–18435 Filed 7–11–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **National Park Service**

Notice of Inventory Completion for Native American Human Remains and Associated Funerary Objects From Connecticut in the Possession of the Yale Peabody Museum of Natural History, New Haven, CT

**AGENCY: National Park Service** 

**ACTION:** Notice

Notice is hereby given in accordance with provisions of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 25 U.S.C. 3003 (d), of the completion of an inventory of human remains and associated funerary objects from Connecticut in the possession of the Yale Peabody Museum of Natural History, New Haven, CT.

A detailed assessment of the human remains was made by Yale Peabody Museum of Natural History professional staff in consultation with representatives of the Mohegan Tribe.

In 1913, human remains representing two individuals were excavated on the property of Ulmer Leather Company, Norwich, CT and donated to the Yale Peabody Museum by Henry Ulmer. No known individuals were identified. The nine associated funerary objects include an incomplete copper vessel, two incomplete copper spoons, four trade metal spoons, a trade clay pipe with a broken stem, and a stone pestle.

In 1973, human remains representing one individual recovered from Norwich, CT were donated to the Yale Peabody Museum by Mr. Max Miller of Norwich, CT. No known individual was identified. The one associated funerary object is a bronze vessel.

Morphological evidence indicates these human remains are Native American based on dentition. Based on the types of associated funerary objects, these human remains most likely date from the proto-historic into the early historic period. Historic documents indicate that the Mohegan occupied Norwich into the historic period.

Based on the above mentioned information, officials of the Yale

Peabody Museum of Natural History have determined that, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.2 (d)(1), the human remains listed above represent the physical remains of three individuals of Native American ancestry. Officials of the Peabody Museum of Natural History have also determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (3)(A), the ten objects listed above are reasonably believed to have been placed with or near individual human remains at the time of death or later as part of the death rite or ceremony. Lastly, officials of the Peabody Museum of Natural History have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (2), there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between these Native American human remains and associated funerary objects and the Mohegan Tribe.

This notice has been sent to officials of the Mohegan Tribe. Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with these human remains and associated funerary objects should contact Dr. Richard Burger, Director, Yale Peabody Museum of Natural History, 170 Whitney Avenue, P.O. Box 208118, New Haven, CT 06520-8118; telephone: (203) 432-3752, before August 13, 1997. Repatriation of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the Mohegan Tribe may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

Dated: July 8, 1997.

#### Francis P. McManamon,

Departmental Consulting Archeologist, Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program.

[FR Doc. 97–18431 – Filed 7–11–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

# JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

#### Meeting of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure

**AGENCY:** Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure will meet for a two-day symposium. The symposium will be on Discovery Issues in Litigation. It will be open to public observation but not participation.

**DATES:** September 4–5, 1997. **TIME:** 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Boston College Law School, Stuart House, Room 411, 885 Centre Street, Newton, Massachusetts.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544, telephone (202) 273–1820.

Dated: July 8, 1997.

#### John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules Committee Support Office. [FR Doc. 97–18341 Filed 7–11–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 2210–01–M

# JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

#### Meeting of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure

**AGENCY:** Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee on Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure will hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation and will be held each day from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

**DATES:** September 11–12, 1997.

ADDRESSES: The Williamsburg Lodge, Room B, Main Floor, 310 South England Street, Williamsburg, Virginia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544, telephone (202) 273–1820.

Dated: July 8, 1997.

#### John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules Committee Support Office. [FR Doc. 97–18342 Filed 7–11–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 2210–01–M

# JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

#### Meeting of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure

**AGENCY:** Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure will hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation and will be held each day from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

**DATES:** September 29–30, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Homewood Suites Hotel, 400 Griffin Street, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 273–1820.

Dated: July 8, 1997.

#### John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules Committee Support Office. [FR Doc. 97–18343 Filed 7–11–97; 8:45 am]

# JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

#### Meeting of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure

**AGENCY:** Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure will hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation.

**DATES:** October 6–7, 1997. **TIME:** 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Stein Eriksen Lodge, 7700

Stein Way, Park City, Utah.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544, telephone (202) 273–1820.

Dated: July 8, 1997.

#### John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules Committee Support Office. [FR Doc. 97–18344 Filed 7–11–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 2210–01–M

# JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

#### Meeting of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Criminal Procedure

**AGENCY:** Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Criminal Procedure.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee on Rules of Criminal Procedure will hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation and will be held each day from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

DATE: October 13-14, 1997.

ADDRESS: Monterey Plaza Hotel, 400 Cannery Row, Monterey, California. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John

K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544, telephone (202) 273–1820.

Dated: July 8, 1997.

#### John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules Committee Support Office. [FR Doc. 97–18345 Filed 7–11–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 2210–01–M

# JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

#### Meeting of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Evidence

**AGENCY:** Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Evidence.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee on Rules of Evidence will hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation and will be held each day from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

**DATE:** October 20-21, 1997.

ADDRESS: Charleston Place Hotel, 130 Market Street, Charleston, South Carolina.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544, telephone (202) 273–1820.

Dated: July 8, 1997.

#### John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules Committee Support Office. [FR Doc. 97–18346 Filed 7–11–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 2210–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Antitrust Division**

# Proposed Modified Final Judgment and Memorandum in Support of Modification

Notice is hereby given that a Motion to Modify, a Memorandum in Support of Modification, a proposed Modified Final Judgment and a Stipulation, and have been filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America* v. *MCI Communications Corporation and BT Forty-Eight Company ("NewCo")*, Civ. No. 94–1317 (TFH).

As set forth in the plaintiff's uncontested Motion and Memorandum

In Support of Modification, a number of factual and legal events have occurred since the entry of the existing Final Judgment, including British Telecommunications plc's ("BT") plan, announced last fall, to purchase the remaining 80% of MCI Communications Corporation ("MCI") for \$21 billion.

The existing final judgment, which stems from a 1994 acquisition by BT of 20% of MCI's stock, contains provisions designed to remedy allegations in the Complaint filed contemporaneously therewith, that BT would successfully act on its incentives to use its market power in the United Kingdom to discriminate in favor of MCI and/or BT's joint-venture with MCI, at the expense of other U.S. telecommunications carriers in the market for international telecommunications services between the U.S. and the U.K. and the global network services market. The proposed Modified Final Judgment retains and, in some cases, strengthens these protections in order to take into account the full integration of BT and MCI, as well as changed market conditions since the existing Final Judgment was entered. Specifically, the proposed Modified Final Judgment increases the amount of information that the merged entity, who is named as a party to the modified decree, is required to report in order to facilitate the detection of specific instances of discrimination and to provide evidence that could be used in support of complaints to the relevant U.S. and U.K. regulatory agencies. The proposed Modified Final Judgment also revises the confidentiality provisions of the existing decree in order to reduce the risk that confidential, competitively sensitive information that BT obtains in the course of its relationships with other U.S. telecommunications providers are not disclosed to MCI through the corporate parent or as a result of any subsequent corporate reorganization. The proposed Modified Final Judgment also extends the time period of the existing decree and enhances the Department's ability to monitor and enforce compliance with the decree by giving the Department access to the merged entity's documents and personnel, wherever located.

Public comment on the proposed Modified Final Judgment should be directed to Donald Russell, Chief, Telecommunications Task Force, Room 8104, U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 555–4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Such comments and the Department's responses thereto will be filed with the Court. In its filing, the Department indicated that it would follow its standard 60-day comment period. On