Clearance for access to TSCA CBI under this contract may continue until September 30, 2001.

RTI personnel will be required to sign nondisclosure agreements and will be briefed on appropriate security procedures before they are permitted access to TSCA CBI.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Access to confidential business information.

Dated: June 5, 1997.

Oscar Morales,

Acting Director, Information Management Division, Office of Pollution and Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 97–15558 Filed 6–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPTS-140259; FRL-5724-1]

Access to Confidential Business Information by Science Applications International Corporation

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has authorized its contractor, Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), of Reston, Virginia, access to information which has been submitted to EPA under sections 4, 5, 8, and 12 of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be confidential business information (CBI).

DATES: Access to the confidential data submitted to EPA will occur no sooner than June 27, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan Hazen, Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E-545, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 554–1404, TDD: (202) 554–0551; e-mail: TSCA-Hotline@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under contract number 69–W6–0069, contractor SAIC, of 11251 Roger Bacon Drive, Reston, VA, will assist the Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxic (OPPTS) in the development of a guidance document, update the Premanufacture Notification Instructions Manual and manage the Prenotice Communication Database to

include system enhancements.

In accordance with 40 CFR 2.306(j), EPA has determined that under EPA contract number 69–W6–0069, SAIC will require access to CBI submitted to EPA under sections 4, 5, 8 and 12 of TSCA to perform successfully the duties specified under the contract. SAIC personnel will be given access to information submitted to EPA under sections 4, 5, 8 and 12 of TSCA. Some of the information may be claimed or determined CBI.

EPA is issuing this notice to inform all submitters of information under sections 4, 5, 8 and 12 of TSCA that EPA may provide SAIC access to these CBI materials on a need-to-know basis only. All access to TSCA CBI under this contract will take place at EPA Headquarters.

Clearance for access to TSCA CBI under this contract may continue until September 30, 1999.

SAIC personnel will be required to sign nondisclosure agreements and will be briefed on appropriate security procedures before they are permitted access to TSCA CBI.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Access to confidential business information.

Dated: June 5, 1997.

Oscar Morales,

Acting Director, Information Management Division, Office of Pollution and Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 97-15559 Filed 6-12-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5481-4]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed June 02, 1997 Through June 06, 1997 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9

EIS No. 970209, Draft EIS, BLM, CA, NV, Rangeland Health Standards and Guidelines for Livestock Grazing on Public Rangelands in California and Northwestern Nevada, CA and NV, Due: August 31, 1997, Contact: Jim Morrison (916) 979–2830.

EIS No. 970210, Draft EIS, BLM, CA, Soledad Mountain Open Pit Leap Leach Gold Mine Project, Construction and Operation, Plan-of-Operations Approval, Mojave, Kern County, CA, Due: July 28, 1997, Contact: Ahmed Mohsen (760) 384– 5421.

EIS No. 970211, Draft Supplement, NOA, CA, Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary Management Plan, Updated Information, To Amend the Designation Document and Regulations to Allow Jade Collecting in the Sanctuary, San Mateo, Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties, CA, Due: July 28, 1997, Contact: Elizabeth Moore (301) 713–3141.

EIS No. 970212, Final EIS, AFS, PA, Electric Utility Rights-of-Way, A Substation, and A Radio Tower Site Vegetation Management Plan, Implementation, Allegheny National Forest, Warren, McKean, Forest and Elk Counties, PA, Due: July 14, 1997, Contact: Robert L. White (814) 723– 5150.

EIS No. 970213, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, North Lochsa Face Landscape and Watershed Assessment Project, Implementation, Clearwater National Forest, Lochsa Ranger District, Idaho County, ID, Due: July 28, 1997, Contact: George Harbaugh (208) 926– 4275.

EIS No. 970214, Draft EIS, FHW, CA, I—880/CA–92 Interchange Reconstruction, I–880 from Winton Avenue to Tennyson Road and CA–92 from Hesperian Boulevard to Santa Clara Street, Funding, City of Hayward, Alameda County, CA, Due: July 28, 1997, Contact: John Schultz (916) 498–5041.

EIS No. 970215, Final EIS, FRC, ND, SD, MN, MT, IA, IL, Northern Border Project, Natural Gas Transportation, Pipeline and Facilities Expansion and Construction, Permits and Right-of-Way Grant Issuance, ND, MT, SD, IA, MN and IL, Due: July 14, 1997, Contact: Paul McKee (202) 208–1088.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 960550, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Beaverhead Forest Plan Riparian Amendment, Implementation, Beaverhead—Deerlodge National Forest, Beaverhead, Madison, Silver Bow, Deer Lodge and Gallatin Counties, MT, Due: June 25, 1997, Contact: Peri Suenram (406) 683–3967. Published FR 01–24–97—Review Period Extended.

EIS No. 970125, Draft EIS, FHW, MD, InterCounty Connector (ICC)
Transportation Improvements, between I–270 Corridor near Rockville/Gaithersburg, Montgomery County and I–95 Corridor near Laurel in Prince George's County, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and Right-of-Way Permit, Montgomery and Prince George's County, MD, Due: July 19,

1997, Contact: Renee Sigel (410) 962–4440. Published FR 06–13–97—Review Period extended.

Dated: June 10, 1997.

William D. Dickerson.

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97–15621 Filed 6–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5481-5]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared May 26, 1997 Through May 30, 1997 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 04, 1997 (62 FR 16154).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-DOA-K36119-HI Rating EC2, Waimea-Paauilo Watershed Project, To Alleviate the Agricultural Water Shortage, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention, COE Section 404 Permit. Hawaii County, HI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding the lack of an adequate discussion of purpose and need. EPA requested that the final document fully describe the purpose and need and evaluate an additional alternative which includes the Kauahi reservoir and irrigation distribution system, but omits the stockwater distribution system.

ERP No. D-DOE-L36109-00 Rating LO, Watershed Management Program Standards and Guidelines, Implementation, ID, NV, MT, OR, WA and WY.

Summary: Our abbreviated review has revealed no EPA concerns on this project.

ERP No. D-DOI-J28019-UT Rating EO2, Upalco Unit/Uinta Basin Replacement Project, Water Supply Management, Approvals and Permits Issuance, Duchesne and Uintah Counties, UT.

SUMMARY: EPA expressed environmental objection over the lack of

an effective portrayal of the cumulative impacts to the aquatic resources, especially in light of past significant impacts to the aquatic systems of the project. EPA recommended that the Final EIS more clearly acknowledge the impacts of additional depletions on water quality. EPA also expressed environmental concern with the lack of detail concerning impact documentation and mitigation for the proposed wetland impacts and recommended that the Final EIS better document how and where the mitigation will occur.

ERP No. D–DOI–J39026–UT Rating EO2, Uintah Unit Replacement Project, Implementation, Central Utah Water Conservancy District, Approval of Several Permits, Duchesne and Uintah Counties, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objection over the lack of an effective portraval of the cumulative impacts to the aquatic resources, especially in light of past significant impacts to the aquatic systems of the project. EPA recommended that the Final EIS more clearly acknowledge the impacts of additional depletions on water quality. EPA also expressed environmental concern with the lack of detail concerning impact documentation and mitigation for the proposed wetland impacts and recommended that the Final EIS better document how and where the mitigation will occur.

ERP No. D-UAF-K11080-CA Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—McClellan Air Force Base (AFB) Disposal and Reuse Including Rezoning of the Main Base, Implementation, Federal Permits, Licenses or Entitlements, Sacramento County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the project description and water and biological resources. In particular groundwater overdraft.

ERP No. D-USN-C11013-NY Rating EC2, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant Calverton Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Towns of Riverhead and Brookhaven on Long Island, Suffolk County, NY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns related to wetlands, ground water, site contamination and remediation, endangered and threatened species, cultural resources and environmental justice.

ERP No. DS-NAS-A12040-00 Rating EC2, Cassini Spacecraft Exploration Mission to Explore the Planet Saturn and its Moons, Implementation.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that the

radiological does estimates were presented in the document without sufficient information regarding key underlying assumptions used to make those estimates.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-COE-C35011-00 Newark Bay Confined Disposal Facility (NBCDF), Construction, Dredged Material Disposal Site, NY and NJ.

Summary: Although the Final EIS addressed many of the concerns expressed by EPA during the review of the Draft EIS, EPA remains concerned that the preferred alternative may not represent the least environmentally damaging practicable alternative. In addition, EPA expressed concern over the proposed cap monitoring program and requested that formal coordination with applicable federal and state agencies occur prior to issuance of a permit to address those concerns.

ERP No. F-FTA-G40143-TX North Central Corridor Light Rail Transit (LRT) Extension, Transportation Improvements, Funding, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Dallas and Collin Counties, TX.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-NOA-C90016-NJ Mullica River-Great Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve Establishment, Site Designation and Plan Implemention, Ocean, Atlantic and Burlington Counties, NJ.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the implementation of the proposed project. Based upon our review of the FEIS, our concerns have been adequately addressed.

ERP No. F–SCS–K36115–HI Upcountry Maui Watershed, Implementation, To Address Agricultural Water Shortage, COE Section 404 Permit, Makawao District, Island of Maui, Maui County, HI.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: June 10, 1997.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97–15622 Filed 6–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P