Dated: June 2, 1997.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III. [FR Doc. 97–15604 Filed 6–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a) (3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 97-038. Applicant: Florida State University, NHMFL, 1800 E. Dirac Drive, Tallahassee, FL 32310. Instrument: EPR W-Band System, Model ELEXSYS. Manufacturer: Bruker Instruments, Germany. Intended Use: The article is intended to be used for studies of the electron paramagnetic resonance spectra related to the environment of unpaired electrons in biological samples (proteins, fat, nucleic acid or whole cells). In addition, the instrument will be used for training graduate students for research in the courses BSC (biological science) 5392 "Graduate Tutorial" and BSC 5905 "Dissertation Research." Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: May 13, 1997.

Docket Number: 97–040. Applicant: University of Wisconsin, 750 University Avenue, A. W. Peterson Building, Madison, WI 53706. Instrument: Ti:Sapphire Laser, Model MBR–110. Manufacturer: Microlase Optical Systems, United Kingdom. Intended Use: The article is intended to be used for studies of electron gas-phase atoms and gas-phase molecules with emphasis on rare gas atoms and alkali atoms. Experiments will be conducted to obtain electron impact cross sections with the goals of studying the efficiency of

energy transfer in the various inelastic processes and understanding the relevant electron-atom and electronmolecule interactions. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* May 20, 1997.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 97–15603 Filed 6–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Stevens Institute of Technology, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Docket Number: 96–103R. Applicant: Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ 07030. Instrument: Stopped-Flow/Scanning Spectrometer, Model SX.18MV. Manufacturer: Applied Photophysics Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 17783, April 11, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides a photodiode array detector to separate a single pulse of white light into discrete wavelengths of 300 to 700 nm for study of rapid kinetic chemical reactions. Advice received from: National Institutes of Health, May 19, 1997.

Docket Number: 97–019. Applicant: The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218. Instrument: Fiber-Electrode Micromanipulator. Manufacturer: Thomas Recording Sci. Res., Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 13600, March 21, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides delivery and placement of up to 7 microelectrodes of diameters to 25 µm with state-of-the-art electrical signal processing across the 7 channels for study of neuronal brain functioning. Advice received from: National Institutes of Health, May 19, 1997.

Docket Number: 97–024. Applicant: Stanford University/University of California, Berkeley, Stanford, CA 94305. Instrument: Electron Energy Analyzer, Model Scienta 200. Manufacturer: Scienta Instrument AB, Sweden. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 17783, April 11, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides highest energy resolution of 5.0 meV with resolving power to 1500. Advice received from: National Institute of Standards and Technology and Brookhaven National Laboratory, May 27, 1997.

Docket Number: 97–025. Applicant: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139. Instrument: Fish Tank System. Manufacturer: Klaus-Jurgen Schwarz, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 17783, April 11, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides an optimal design for genetic analysis of early development in the zebra fish. Advice received from: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and a private aquatic toxicology laboratory, May 23, 1997.

The National Institutes of Health, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Brookhaven National Laboratory, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and a private aquatic toxicology laboratory advise that (1) the capabilities of each of the foreign instruments described above are pertinent to each applicant's intended purpose and (2) they know of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the intended use of each instrument.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign instruments.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 97–15601 Filed 6–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Colorado; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and

Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 97–029. Applicant: University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309. Instrument: Color Center Laser. Manufacturer: GWU Lasertechnik, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 17783, April 11, 1997.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) a tuning range of 1450 to 1750 nm, (2) minimum power output of 50 mW and (3) a maximum linewidth of 10 GHz. These capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes and we know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Frank W. Creel.

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 97–15602 Filed 6–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

Calcutta FerrousKajaria Iron CastingsCarnation Enterprise Pvt. Ltd.Kejriwal Iron & SteeCommex CorporationNandikeshwari IronCrescent Foundry Co. Pvt. Ltd.Orissa Metal IndustrDelta EnterprisesR.B. Agarwalla & CoDinesh BrothersR.B. Agarwalla & CoUma Iron & SteelVictory Castings Ltd

Delta Enterprises, Orissa Metal Industries, R.B. Agarwalla & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Shree Uma Foundries and Uma Iron & Steel did not export the subject merchandise during the period of review ("POR"). Therefore, these companies have not been assigned an individual company rate for this administrative review. This review covers the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994, and nineteen programs.

Since the publication of the preliminary results on December 6, 1996, we invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. On January 6, 1997, case briefs were submitted by the Engineering Export Promotion Council of India (EEPC) and the exporters of certain iron-metal castings to the United States (respondents) during the review period and the Municipal Castings Fair Trade Council and its members (petitioners). On January 13, 1997, rebuttal briefs were submitted by the EEPC, respondents and petitioners.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-063]

Certain Iron-Metal Castings From India; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On December 6, 1996, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary results of administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain iron-metal castings from India for the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994 (61 FR 64669). The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. For information on

the net subsidy for each reviewed company, and for all non-reviewed companies, see the *Final Results of Review* section of this notice. We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties as detailed in the *Final Results of Review* section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 13, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Cassel or Lorenza Olivas, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Pursuant to 19 C.F.R. 355.22(a), this review covers only those producers or exporters of the subject merchandise for which a review was specifically requested. The producers/exporters of the subject merchandise for which this review was requested are:

Kajaria Iron Castings Pvt. Ltd	RSI Limited.
Kejriwal Iron & Steel Works	Seramapore Industries Pvt. Ltd.
Nandikeshwari Iron Foundry Pvt. Ltd	Shree Rama Enterprise.
Orissa Metal Industries	Shree Uma Foundries.
R.B. Agarwalla & Company Pvt. Ltd	Siko Exports.
R.B. Agarwalla & Co	Super Iron Foundry.
Victory Castings Ltd	•

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA") effective January 1, 1995 ("the Act"). The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with § 751(a) of the Act.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the administrative review are shipments of Indian manhole covers and frames, clean-out covers and frames, and catch basin grates and frames. These articles are commonly called municipal or public works castings and are used for access or drainage for public utility, water, and sanitary systems. During the review period, such merchandise was classifiable under the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule* ("HTS") item numbers 7325.10.0010 and 7325.10.0050. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes.

The written description remains dispositive.

Verification

As provided in § 782(i) of the Act, we verified information submitted by the Government of India and certain producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. We followed standard verification procedures, including meeting with government and company officials and examination of relevant accounting and financial records and other original source documents. Our verification results are outlined in the public versions of the verification reports, which are on file in the Central Records Unit (Room B–099 of the Main Commerce Building).

Analysis of Programs

Based upon the responses to our questionnaire, the results of verification, and written comments from the interested parties we determine the following: