body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a ''significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 97

Air Traffic Control, Airports, Navigation (Air).

Issued in Washington, DC on May 16, 1997.

#### Thomas E. Stuckey,

Acting Director, Flight Standards Service.

#### **Adoption of the Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 97) is amended by establishing, amending, suspending, or revoking Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, effective at 0901 UTC on the dates specified, as follows:

# PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

1. The authority citation for part 97 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 40103, 40113, 40120, 44701; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.49(b)(2).

2. Part 97 is amended to read as follows:

### §§ 97.23, 97.25, 97.27, 97.29, 97.31, 97.33 [Amended]

By amending: § 97.23 VOR, VOR/DME, VOR or TACAN, and VOR/DME or TACAN; § 97.25 LOC, LOC/DME, LDA, LDA/DME, SDF, SDF/DME; § 97.27 NDB, NDB/DME; § 97.29 ILS, ILS/DME, ISMLS, MLS, MLS/DME, MLS/RNAV; § 97.31 RADAR SIAPs; § 97.33 RNAV SIAPs; and § 97.35 COPTER SIAPs, identified as follows:

\*\*\* Effective Upon Publication

Navigation (Air).		revised to read as follows:			
FDC date	State	City	Airport	FDC No.	SIAP
05/01/97 05/01/97 05/01/97 05/01/97 05/01/97	IA IA IA IA	Ames	Ames Muni	7/2494 7/2495 7/2496 7/2497 7.2598	VOR RWY 31, AMDT 9 NDB, or GPS RWY 1, AMDT 1 GPS RWY 31, AMDT 1 NDB RWY 31, AMDT 10 NDB or GPS RWY 13, AMDT
05/01/97	NH	Nashua	Boire Field		4 VOR/DME RNAV RWY 32, AMDT 6
05/02/97	IL	Chicago/Aurora	Aurora Muni	7/2553	VOR or GPS RWY 36, AMDT
05/02/97 05/05/97 05/05/97	MI TX TX	Detroit	Willio Run Moore County Moore County	7/2541 7/2593 7/2594	ILS RWY 23L AMDT 7A NDB or GPS RWY 1, AMDT 3 VOR/DME RNAV RWY 19, AMDT 3A
05/05/97 05/06/97 05/07/97 05/08/97	WI OR AK IL IL	Kenosha Newport Anchorage Chicago Chicago	Kenosha Regional Newport Muni Anchorage Intl Chicago Midway Chicago Midway	7/2595 7/2610 7/2627 7/2645 7/2646	VOR or GPS RWY 14 ORIG-A ILS RWY 16, ORIG NDB RWY 6R AMDT 6A ILS RWY 31C, AMDT 5B NDB or GPS RWY 31C, AMDT
05/12/97	IA	Keokuk	Keokuk Muni	7/2705	14 NDB_or GPS RWY 14, AMDT
05/12/97	IA	Keokuk	Keokuk Muni	7/2706	11B NDB or GPS RWY 26, ORIG-
05/12/97	KS	Topeka	Forbes Field	7/2704	B NDB or GPS RWY 31, AMDT 7A
05/13/97	KS	Topeka	Forbes Field	7/2725	ILS RWY 31, AMDT 8A

FR. Doc. 97–14093 filed 5–28–97; 8:45 am]

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# Federal Aviation Administration 14 CFR Part 97

[Docket No. 28914; Amdt. No. 1799]

RIN 2120-AA65

Standard Instrument Approach Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment establishes. amends, suspends, or revokes Standard **Instrument Approach Procedures** (SIAPs) for operations at certain airports. These regulatory actions are needed because of the adoption of new or revised criteria, or because of changes occurring in the National Airspace System, such as the commissioning of new navigational facilities, addition of new obstacles, or changes in air traffic requirements. These changes are designed to provide safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace and to promote safe flight operations under instrument flight rules at the affected airports.

**DATES:** An effective date for each SIAP is specified in the amendatory provisions.

Incorporated by reference-approved by the Director of the Federal Register on December 31, 1980, and reapproved as of January 1, 1982.

**ADDRESSES:** Availability of matters incorporated by reference in the amendment is as follows:

For Examination: 1. FAA Rules Docket, FAA Headquarters Building, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591;

- 2. The FAA Regional Office of the region in which the affected airport is located; or
- 3. The flight Inspection Area Office which originated the SIAP.

For Purchase: Individual SIAP copies may be obtained from:

- 1. FAA Public Inquiry Center (APA-200), FAA Headquarters Building, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; or
- 2. The FAA Regional Office of the region in which the affected airport is located.

By Subscription: Copies of all SIAPs, mailed once every 2 weeks, are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul J. Best, Flight Procedures Standards Branch (AFS–420), Technical Programs Division, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–8277.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This** amendment to part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 97) establishes, amends, suspends, or revokes Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs). The complete regulatory description of each SIAP is contained in official FAA form documents which are incorporated by reference in this amendment under 5 U.S.C. 552(a), 1 CFR part 51, and § 97.20 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR). The applicable FAA Forms are identified as FAA Forms 8260-3, 8260-4, and 8260-5. Material incorporated by reference are available for examination or purchase as stated above.

The large number of SIAPs, their complex nature, and the need for a special format make their verbatim publication in the Federal Register expensive and impractical. Further, airmen do not use the regulatory text of the SIAPs, but refer to their graphic depiction on charts printed by publishers of aeronautical materials. Thus, the advantages of incorporation by reference are realized and publication of the complete description of each SIAP contained in FAA form documents is unnecessary. The provisions of this amendment state the affected CFR (and FAR) sections, with the types and effective dates of the SIAPS. This amendment also identifies the airport, its location, the procedure identification and the amendment number.

#### The Rule

This amendment to part 97 is effective upon publication of each separate SIAP as contained in the transmittal. Some SIAP amendments may have been previously issued by the FAA in a National Flight Data Center (FDC) Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) as an emergency action of immediate flight

safety relating directly to published aeronautical charts. The circumstances which created the need for some SIAP amendments may require making them effective in less than 30 days. For the remaining SIAPs, an effective date at least 30 days after publication is provided.

Further, the SIAPs contained in this amendment are based on the criteria contained in the U.S. Standard for Terminal Instrument Approach Procedures (TERPS). In developing these SIAPs, the TERPS criteria were applied to the conditions existing or anticipated at the affected airports. Because of the close and immediate relationship between these SIAPs and safety in air commerce, I find that notice and public procedure before adopting these SIAPs are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and, where applicable, that good cause exists for making some SIAPs effective in less than 30 days.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a 'significant regulatory action' under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a 'significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 97

Air Traffic Control, Airports, Navigation (Air).

Issued in Washington, DC on May 16, 1997.

#### Thomas E. Stuckey,

Acting Director, Flight Standards Service.

#### **Adoption of the Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 97) is amended by establishing, amending, suspending, or revoking Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, effective at 0901 U.T.C. on the dates specified, as follows:

## PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

1. The authority citation for part 97 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120, 44701; and 14 CFR 11.49(b)(2).

2. Part 97 is amended to read as follows:

## §§ 97.23, 97.25, 97.27, 97.29, 97.31, 97.33 [Amended]

By amending § 97.23 VOR, VOR/DME, VOR or TACAN, and VOR/DME or TACAN; § 97.25 LOC, LOC/DME, LDA, LDA/DME, SDF, SDF/DME; § 97.27 NDB, NDB/DME; § 97.29 ILS, ILS/DME, ISMLS, MLS, MLS/DME, MLS/RNAV; § 97.31 RADAR SIAPs; § 97.33 RNAV SIAPs; and § 97.35 COPTER SIAPs; identified as follows:

#### \* \* \* Effective June 19, 1997

Deadhorse, AK, Deadhorse, VOR/DME or TACAN or GPS RWY 4, Amdt 3, Cancelled Gustavus, AK, Gustavus, VOR/DME or GPS– B, Amdt 3B, Cancelled

Crossett, AR, Z M Jack Stell Field, NDB RWY 23, Amdt 6

Mosby, MO, Clay County Regional, NDB, RWY 18, Orig

#### \* \* \* Effective July 17, 1997

Tampa, FL, Vandenberg, GPS RWY 18, Orig Elberton, GA, Elbert County-Patz Field, GPS RWY 28, Orig

Macon, GA, Middle Georgia Regional, RADAR-1, Amdt 14

Macon, GA, Middle Georgia Regional, GPS RWY 5, Orig

Storm Lake, IÅ, Storm Lake Muni, GPS, RWY 35, Orig

Sullivan, IN, Sullivan County, GPS, RWY 36, Orig

Belleville, KS, Belleville Muni, VOR/DME–A, Amdt 3

Covington, KY, Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Intl, NDB OR GPS RWY 18R, Amdt 16, Cancelled

Bar Harbor, ME, Hancock County-Bar Harbor, ILS RWY 22, Amdt 4

Grayling, MI, Grayling AAF, VOR RWY 14, Amdt 1

Grayling, MI, Grayling AAF, NDB RWY 14, Amdt 7

Troy, MI, Oakland/Troy, GPS RWY 9, Orig Nebraska City, NE, Nebraska City Muni, GPS RWY 33, Orig

Valentine, NE, Miller Field, NDB RWY 32, Amdt 7

Valentine, NE, Miller Field, GPS RWY 32, Orig

Raton, NM, Raton Municipal/Crews Field, VOR/DME RWY 2, Amdt 6

Raton, NM, Raton Municipal/Crews Field, NDB RWY 2, Amdt 4

Raton, NM, Raton Municipal/Crews Field, GPS RWY 2, Orig

Hornell, NY, Hornell Muni, VOR/DME–A, Amdt 4

Hornell, NY, Hornell Muni, GPS RWY 36, Orig

Olean, NY, Cattaraugus County-Olean, LOC

RWY 22, Amdt 5 Olean, NY, Cattaraugus County-Olean, GPS

RWY 4, Orig Olean, NY, Cattaraugus County-Olean, GPS

Olean, NY, Cattaraugus County-Olean, GPS RWY 22, Orig

Olean, NY, Cattaraugus County-Olean, RNAV OR GPS RWY 22, Amdt 4A, Cancelled Schenectady, NY, Schenectady County, NDB RWY 22, Amdt 15

Schenectady, NY, Schenectady County, NDB RWY 28, Amdt 10

Schenectady, NY, Schenectady County, GPS RWY 22, Orig

Schenectady, NY, Schenectady County, GPS RWY 28, Orig

Delaware, OH, Delaware Muni, GPS RWY 10, Orig

Delaware, OH, Delaware Muni, GPS RWY 28, Orig

Duncan, OK, Halliburton Field, GPS RWY 35, Orig

San Juan, PR, Luis Monoz Marin Intl, GPS RWY 10, Orig

San Juan, PR, Luis Monoz Marin Intl, RNAV RWY 10, Amdt 7A, Cancelled

Houston, TX, Ellington Field, VOR/DME OR TACAN RWY 4, Amdt 3, Cancelled Houston, TX, Ellington Field, VOR OR TACAN RWY 22, Amdt 2, Cancelled

Houston, TX, Ellington Field, VOR/DME OR TACAN RWY 17R, Amdt 3, Cancelled Houston, TX, Ellington Field, VOR/DME OR TACAN RWY 35L, Amdt 3, Cancelled Everett, WA, Snohomish County (Paine Fld), GPS RWY 16R, Orig

Everett, WA, Snohomish County (Paine Fld), GPS RWY 34L, Orig

**Note:** The FAA published two Procedures in Docket No. 28907, Amdt. No. 1797 to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (62 FR 25113, dated May 8, 1997) under § 97.23 effective 22 May 1997, which are hereby amended as follows:

Change Effective Date to June 19, 1997 for the following procedures:

St. Louis, MO, Arrowhead, VOR OR GPS RWY 2, Amdt 5, Cancelled

St. Louis, MO, Arrowhead, VOR OR GPS-B, Amdt 3, Cancelled

**Note:** The FAA published the following Permanent FDC Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) in Docket No. 28908, Amdt. No. 1798 to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (62 FR 25112, dated Thursday, May 8, 1997) under § 97.23 effective upon publication, which is hereby rescinded:

FDČ Notam 7/2247 dated April 21, 1997— Chandler, AZ, Chandler Muni VOR GPS RWY 4L, Amdt 5A

[FR Doc. 97–14092 Filed 5–28–97; 8:45 am]

#### FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

### 16 CFR Part 423

Concerning Trade Regulation Rule on Care Labeling of Textile Wearing Apparel and Certain Piece Goods; Conditional Exemption From Terminology Section of the Care Labeling Rule

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Final rule, with conditional exemption.

SUMMARY: The Federal Trade Commission (the "Commission") has reviewed the public comments on an interim conditional exemption to its Trade Regulation Rule on Care Labeling of Textile Wearing Apparel and Certain Piece Goods ("the Care Labeling Rule" or "the Rule") and has decided to make the conditional exemption final. The conditional exemption will permit the use of the system of care symbols developed by the American Society for Testing and Materials ("ASTM") and designated as ASTM Standard D5489-96c Guide to Care Symbols for Care Instructions on Consumer Textile Products, in lieu of words on the permanently attached care label, as long as explanatory information is provided to consumers for the first 18-month period after the effective date of the conditional exemption.

**DATES:** This conditional exemption is effective July 1, 1997. The incorporation by reference of the ASTM standard is approved by the Director of the Federal Register effective July 1, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Copies of this notice can be obtained through the Public Reference Branch, Room 130, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C. 20580; (202) 326–2222; or through the Commission's homepage on the World Wide Web at http://www.ftc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Constance M. Vecellio or Edwin Rodriguez, Attorneys, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326–2966 or (202) 326–3147.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Introduction

On June 15, 1994, the Commission published a Federal Register notice ("FRN") requesting comment on various aspects of the Care Labeling Rule, including whether the rule should be modified to permit the use of symbols in lieu of words.1 On November 16, 1995, the Commission published a FRN, 60 FR 57552, announcing that the Commission had tentatively determined to adopt a proposed conditional exemption to the Care Labeling Rule to permit the use of the system of care symbols developed by ASTM and designated as ASTM Standard D5489 Guide to Care Symbols for Care Instructions on Consumer Textile Products, with one exception and

addition.<sup>2</sup> The notice sought additional comment on the specifics of the proposal.

In particular, the November 1995 FRN stated that the Commission had tentatively decided to allow the use of certain other modifications to the system that ASTM was considering when the FRN was published. The FRN described these possible changes, noted that they appeared to be useful, and proposed adopting the ASTM system with those changes. These changes were adopted by ASTM, and were reflected in the standard designated ASTM Standard D5489–96a.<sup>3</sup>

Certain additional minor changes to the ASTM symbol system were adopted by ASTM later in 1996 and are embodied in the final standard designated ASTM Standard D5489–96c. In a FRN published on February 6, 1997, 62 FR 5724, the Commission announced that it had decided to adopt the conditional exemption. Because some additional minor changes had been made since the publication of the 1995 FRN, however, the Commission decided to again allow comment on these minor changes. The comment period ended on March 10, 1997.

#### II. Background

The Care Labeling Rule was promulgated by the Commission on December 16, 1971, 36 FR 23883 (1971), and amended on May 20, 1983, 48 FR 22733 (1983). The Rule makes it an unfair or deceptive act or practice for manufacturers and importers of textile wearing apparel and certain piece goods to sell these items without attaching care labels stating "what regular care is needed for the ordinary use of the product." 16 CFR 423.6 (a) and (b). The Rule also requires that the manufacturer or importer possess, prior to sale, a reasonable basis for the care instructions. 16 CFR 423.6(c).

The "Terminology" section of the rule, 16 CFR 423.2(b), currently requires that care instructions be stated in "appropriate terms," although it also states that "any appropriate symbols may be used on care labels or care

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>59 FR 30733 (1994). This notice sought comment about the overall costs and benefits of the Rule and its overall regulatory and economic impact as part of the Commission's systematic review of all its current rules and guides. This notice also sought comment on certain other issues. With respect to the other issues, on December 28, 1995, the Commission published a notice, 60 FR 67102, seeking comment on other parts of the Rule and other proposed changes. The issues raised in the December 1995 notice will be addressed in a separate FRN at a later time.

 $<sup>^{2}\</sup>mbox{The}$  exception and addition are no longer necessary because ASTM has changed its standard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The version of ASTM Standard D5489 discussed in the November 1995 FRN was adopted by ASTM in 1993 and officially referred to as ASTM Standard D5489–93. When ASTM changes a standard, the suffix is changed to reflect the year of the revision. Thus, when Standard D5489 was revised in early 1996, it was referred to as ASTM Standard D5489–96a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The conditional exemption references a specific version of the standard. If ASTM changes the standard, the Commission will determine whether it should seek comment on changing the conditional exemption.