

those procedural regulations with appropriate adjustments to reflect the new law.

Any person may, by July 15, 1997, file written objections to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. Objections and hearing requests must be filed with the Hearing Clerk, at the address given above (40 CFR 178.20). A copy of the objections and/or hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk should be submitted to the OPP docket for this rulemaking. The objections submitted must specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections (40 CFR 178.25). Each objection must be accompanied by the fee prescribed by 40 CFR 180.33(i). If a hearing is requested, the objections must include a statement of the factual issue(s) on which a hearing is requested, the requestor's contentions on such issues, and a summary of any evidence relied upon by the objector (40 CFR 178.27). A request for a hearing will be granted if the Administrator determines that the material submitted shows the following: There is a genuine and substantial issue of fact; there is a reasonable possibility that available evidence identified by the requestor would, if established, resolve one or more of such issues in favor of the requestor, taking into account uncontested claims or facts to the contrary; and resolution of the factual issue(s) in the manner sought by the requestor would be adequate to justify the action requested (40 CFR 178.32). Information submitted in connection with an objection or hearing request may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as CBI. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the information that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice.

## VII. Public Docket

The official record for this rulemaking, as well as the public version, has been established for this rulemaking under docket number [OPP-300487] (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The official rulemaking record is located at the

Virginia address in "ADDRESSES" at the beginning of this document.

Electronic comments can be sent directly to EPA at:  
opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov

Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Comment and data will also be accepted on disks in Wordperfect 5.1 file format or ASCII file format. All comments and data in electronic form must be identified by the docket number [OPP-300487]. Electronic comments on this proposed rule may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries.

## VIII. Regulatory Assessment Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, Oct. 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and since this action does not impose any information collection requirements subject to approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, it is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty, or contain any "unfunded mandates" as described in Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4), or require prior consultation as specified by Executive Order 12875 (58 FR 58093, October 28, 1993), or special considerations as required by Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

Because tolerances established on the basis of a petition under section 408(d) of FFDCA do not require issuance of a proposed rule, the regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 604(a), do not apply. Prior to the recent amendment of the FFDCA, EPA had treated such rulemakings as subject to the RFA; however, the amendments to the FFDCA clarify that no proposal is required for such rulemakings and hence that the RFA is inapplicable.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A), EPA submitted a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of the rule in today's **Federal Register**. This rule is not a major rule as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection,  
Administrative practice and procedure,  
Agricultural commodities, Pesticides

and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements

Dated: May 6, 1997

**Daniel M. Barolo,**

*Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

Therefore, 40 CFR Part 180 is amended as follows:

## PART 180—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 346a and 371.

2. By amending § 180.467 to alphabetically add the food commodities: almond hulls; almond nutmeat; peaches; and plums (fresh prunes) to the table as follows:

### § 180.467 Carbon disulfide; tolerances for residues.

Commodity	Parts per million
Almond hulls .....	0.1
Almond nutmeat .....	0.1
* * *	*
Peaches .....	0.1
Plums (fresh prunes) .....	0.1

[FR Doc. 97-12915 Filed 5-15-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 180

[OPP-300491; FRL-5718-2]

RIN 2070-AB78

### Clopyralid; Pesticide Tolerance for Emergency Exemptions

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes a time-limited tolerance for residues of the herbicide clopyralid in or on the food commodity canola in connection with EPA's granting emergency exemptions under section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act authorizing use of the pesticide on canola in Idaho, Montana, Minnesota, North Dakota and Washington. The tolerance will expire and is revoked on July 31, 1998.

**DATES:** This regulation becomes effective May 16, 1997. Objections and requests for hearings must be received by EPA on or before July 15, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Written objections and hearing requests, identified by the docket control number, [OPP-300491],

must be submitted to: Hearing Clerk (1900), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Fees accompanying objections and hearing requests shall be labeled "Tolerance Petition Fees" and forwarded to: EPA Headquarters Accounting Operations Branch, OPP (Tolerance Fees), P.O. Box 360277M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251. A copy of any objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk identified by the docket control number, [OPP-300491], must be submitted to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch, Information Resources and Services Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, bring a copy of objections and hearing requests to Rm. 1132, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA.

A copy of objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Copies of objections and hearing requests must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Copies of objections and hearing requests will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect 5.1 file format or ASCII file format. All copies of objections and hearing requests in electronic form must be identified by the docket number [OPP-300491]. No Confidential Business Information (CBI) should be submitted through e-mail. Electronic copies of objections and hearing requests on this rule may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** By mail: Libby Pemberton, Registration Division (7505W), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location, telephone number, and e-mail address: Sixth Floor, Crystal Station #1, 2800 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA (703) 308-8326, e-mail: pemberton.libby@epamail.epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA, on its own initiative, pursuant to section 408(e) and (l)(6) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), 21 U.S.C. 346a(e) and (l)(6), is establishing a tolerance for residues of the herbicide clopyralid, in or on canola at 3 parts per million (ppm). This tolerance will expire and be revoked by EPA on July 31, 1998. After July 31, 1998, EPA will publish a document in the **Federal Register** to remove the revoked

tolerance from the Code of Federal Regulations.

### **I. Background and Statutory Authority**

The Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA) (Pub. L. 104-170) was signed into law August 3, 1996. FQPA amends both the FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 301 *et seq.*, and the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.* Among other things, FQPA amends FFDCA to bring all EPA pesticide tolerance-setting activities under section 408 with a new safety standard and new procedures. These activities are described below and discussed in greater detail in the final rule establishing the time-limited tolerance associated with the emergency exemption for use of propiconazole on sorghum (61 FR 58135, November 13, 1996) (FRL-5572-9).

New Section 408(b)(2)(A)(i) of the FFDCA allows EPA to establish a tolerance (the legal limit for a pesticide chemical residue in or on a food) only if EPA determines that the tolerance is "safe." Section 408(b)(2)(A)(ii) defines "safe" to mean that "there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information." This includes exposure through drinking water and in residential settings, but does not include occupational exposure. Section 408(b)(2)(C) requires EPA to give special consideration to exposure of infants and children to the pesticide chemical residue in establishing a tolerance and to "ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue...."

Section 18 of FIFRA authorizes EPA to exempt any Federal or State agency from any provision of FIFRA, if EPA determines that "emergency conditions exist which require such exemption." This provision was not amended by FQPA. EPA has established regulations governing such emergency exemptions in 40 CFR part 166. Section 408(l)(6) of the FFDCA requires EPA to establish a time-limited tolerance or exemption from the requirement for a tolerance for pesticide chemical residues in food that will result from the use of a pesticide under an emergency exemption granted by EPA under section 18 of FIFRA. Such tolerances can be established without providing notice or period for public comment.

Because decisions on section 18-related tolerances must proceed before EPA reaches closure on several policy

issues relating to interpretation and implementation of the FQPA, EPA does not intend for its actions on such tolerance to set binding precedents for the application of section 408 and the new safety standard to other tolerances and exemptions.

### **II. Emergency Exemption for Clopyralid on Canola and FFDCA Tolerances**

EPA has authorized under FIFRA section 18 the use of clopyralid on canola for control of perennial sowthistle and/or Canada thistle. Biological and economic assessments indicate that an urgent, non-routine situation exists for the canola crop in the states of North Dakota, Minnesota, Montana, Idaho and Washington, and that losses near 100% will occur where thistle stands are thick. Perennial sowthistle and Canadian thistle are particularly severe in cool, moist weather. After having reviewed the submissions, EPA concurs that emergency conditions exist for these states.

As part of its assessment of these emergency exemptions, EPA assessed the potential risks presented by residues of clopyralid in or on canola. In doing so, EPA considered the new safety standard in FFDCA section 408(b)(2), and EPA decided that the necessary tolerance under FFDCA section 408(l)(6) would be consistent with the new safety standard and with FIFRA section 18. This tolerance will permit the marketing of canola treated in accordance with the provisions of the section 18 emergency exemption. Consistent with the need to move quickly on the emergency exemption in order to address an urgent non-routine situation and to ensure that the resulting food is safe and lawful, EPA is issuing this tolerance without notice and opportunity for public comment under section 408(e), as provided in section 408(l)(6). Although this tolerance will expire and is revoked on July 31, 1998, under FFDCA section 408(l)(5), residues of the pesticide not in excess of the amounts specified in the tolerance remaining in or on canola after that date will not be unlawful, provided the pesticide is applied during the term of, and in accordance with all the conditions of, section 18 of FIFRA. EPA will take action to revoke this tolerance earlier if any experience with, scientific data on, or other relevant information on this pesticide indicate that the residues are not safe.

EPA has not made any decisions about whether clopyralid meets EPA's registration requirements for use on canola or whether a permanent tolerance for this use would be appropriate. This tolerance does not

serve as a basis for registration of clopyralid by a State for special local needs under FIFRA section 24(c). Nor does this tolerance serve as the basis for any State other than Idaho, Montana, Minnesota, North Dakota, and Washington to use this pesticide on this crop under section 18 of FIFRA without following all provisions of section 18 as identified in 40 CFR part 166. For additional information regarding the emergency exemption for clopyralid, contact the Agency's Registration Division at the address provided above.

### III. Risk Assessment and Statutory Findings

EPA performs a number of analyses to determine the risks from aggregate exposure to pesticide residues. First, EPA determines the toxicity of pesticides based primarily on toxicological studies using laboratory animals. These studies address many adverse health effects, including (but not limited to) reproductive effects, developmental toxicity, toxicity to the nervous system, and carcinogenicity. For many of these studies, a dose response relationship can be determined, which provides a dose that causes adverse effects (threshold effects) and doses causing no observed effects (the "no-observed effect level" or "NOEL").

Once a study has been evaluated and the observed effects have been determined to be threshold effects, EPA generally divides the NOEL from the study with the lowest NOEL by an uncertainty factor (usually 100 or more) to determine the Reference Dose (RfD). The RfD is a level at or below which daily aggregate exposure over a lifetime will not pose appreciable risks to human health. An uncertainty factor (sometimes called a "safety factor") of 100 is commonly used since it is assumed that people may be up to 10 times more sensitive to pesticides than the test animals, and that one person or subgroup of the population (such as infants and children) could be up to 10 times more sensitive to a pesticide than another. In addition, EPA assesses the potential risks to infants and children based on the weight of the evidence of the toxicology studies and determines whether an additional uncertainty factor is warranted. Thus, an aggregate daily exposure to a pesticide residue at or below the RfD (expressed as 100% or less of the RfD) is generally considered acceptable by EPA.

Lifetime feeding studies in two species of laboratory animals are conducted to screen pesticides for cancer effects. When evidence of increased cancer is noted in these

studies, the Agency conducts a weight of the evidence review of all relevant toxicological data including short term and mutagenicity studies and structure activity relationship. Once a pesticide has been classified as a potential human carcinogen, different types of risk assessments (e.g., linear low dose extrapolations or margin of exposure calculation based on the appropriate NOEL) will be carried out based on the nature of the carcinogenic response and the Agency's knowledge of its mode of action.

In examining aggregate exposure, FFDCA section 408 requires that EPA take into account available and reliable information concerning exposure from the pesticide residue in the food in question, residues in other foods for which there are tolerances, residues in groundwater or surface water that is consumed as drinking water, and other non-occupational exposures through pesticide use in gardens, lawns, or buildings (residential and other indoor uses). Dietary exposure to residues of a pesticide in a food commodity are estimated by multiplying the average daily consumption of the food forms of that commodity by the tolerance level or the anticipated pesticide residue level. The Theoretical Maximum Residue Contribution (TMRC) is an estimate of the level of residues consumed daily if each food item contained pesticide residues equal to the tolerance. The TMRC is a "worst case" estimate since it is based on the assumptions that food contains pesticide residues at the tolerance level and that 100% of the crop is treated by pesticides that have established tolerances. If the TMRC exceeds the RfD or poses a lifetime cancer risk that is greater than approximately one in a million, EPA attempts to derive a more accurate exposure estimate for the pesticide by evaluating additional types of information (anticipated residue data and/or percent of crop treated data) which show, generally, that pesticide residues in most foods when they are eaten are well below established tolerances.

Percent of crop treated estimates are derived from Federal and private market survey data. Typically, a range of estimates are supplied and the upper end of this range is assumed for the exposure assessment. By using this upper end estimate of percent of crop treated, the Agency is reasonably certain that exposure is not understated for any significant subpopulation group. Further, regional consumption information is taken into account through EPA's computer-based model for evaluating the exposure of

significant subpopulations including several regional groups, to pesticide residues. For this pesticide, the most highly exposed population subgroup (children 1 to 6 years old) was not regionally based.

### IV. Aggregate Risk Assessment and Determination of Safety

Consistent with section 408(b)(2)(D), EPA has reviewed the available scientific data and other relevant information in support of this action. EPA has sufficient data to assess the hazards of clopyralid and to make a determination on aggregate exposure, consistent with section 408(b)(2), for the time-limited tolerances for residues of clopyralid in or on canola at 3 ppm. EPA's assessment of the dietary exposures and risks associated with establishing this tolerance follows.

#### A. Toxicological Profile

EPA has evaluated the available toxicity data and considered its validity, completeness, and reliability as well as the relationship of the results of the studies to human risk. EPA has also considered available information concerning the variability of the sensitivities of major identifiable subgroups of consumers, including infants and children. The nature of the toxic effects caused by clopyralid are discussed below.

1. *Acute toxicity.* No toxicology studies were identified by the Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) which demonstrated the need for an acute dietary risk assessment.

2. *Short-term non-dietary inhalation and dermal toxicity.* Based on available data indicating that there was no evidence of toxicity by the dermal or inhalation routes, non-dietary exposure risks were not calculated.

3. *Chronic toxicity.* Based on the available chronic toxicity data, OPP has established the RfD for clopyralid at 0.5 milligrams(mg)/ kilogram(kg)/day. The RfD was established based on an NOEL of 50 mg/kg/day from a 2-year rat feeding study. Effects observed at the lowest effect level (LEL) were decreased mean body weights in females. An uncertainty factor of 100 was used.

4. *Carcinogenicity.* No evidence of carcinogenicity was seen in mice or in rats fed clopyralid for 24 months.

#### B. Exposures and Risks

In examining aggregate exposure, FQPA directs EPA to consider available information concerning exposures from the pesticide residue in food and other non-occupational exposures. The primary non-food sources of exposure the Agency looks at include drinking

water (whether from groundwater or surface water), and exposure through pesticide use in gardens, lawns, or buildings (residential and other indoor uses). In evaluating food exposures, EPA takes into account varying consumption patterns of major identifiable subgroups of consumers, including infants and children.

1. *From food and feed uses.*

Tolerances have been established (40 CFR 180.431) for residues of clopyralid (3,6-dichloro-2-pyridinecarboxylic acid) in or on a variety of food commodities, including meat, fat, and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, poultry, and sheep; and milk. Risk assessments were conducted by EPA to assess dietary exposures and risks from clopyralid as follows:

i. *Acute exposure and risk.* Acute dietary risk assessments are performed for a food-use pesticide if a toxicological study has indicated the possibility of an effect of concern occurring as a result of a one day or single exposure. The Agency has determined that this risk assessment was not required.

ii. *Chronic exposure and risk.* For the purpose of assessing chronic dietary exposure from clopyralid, EPA assumed tolerance level residues and 100% of crop treated for the proposed and existing food uses of clopyralid. These conservative assumptions result in overestimation of human dietary exposures.

2. *From drinking water.* Studies indicate clopyralid is persistent in the field, very soluble in water, does not hydrolyze, and is very mobile in soil. Therefore, clopyralid has the potential to leach to ground water and/or contaminate surface water through dissolved residues in runoff. There is no entry for clopyralid in the "Pesticides in Groundwater Data Base" (EPA 734-12-92-001, September 1992). There is no established Maximum Concentration Level (MCL) for residues of clopyralid in drinking water. No drinking water health advisory levels have been established for clopyralid.

i. *Acute exposure and risk.* The Agency has determined that this risk assessment was not required.

ii. *Chronic exposure and risk.* Because the Agency lacks sufficient water-related exposure data to complete a comprehensive drinking water risk assessment for many pesticides, EPA has commenced and nearly completed a process to identify a reasonable yet conservative bounding figure for the potential contribution of water related exposure to the aggregate risk posed by a pesticide. In developing the bounding figure, EPA estimated residue levels in water for a number of specific pesticides

using various data sources. The Agency then applied the estimated residue levels, in conjunction with appropriate toxicological endpoints (RfD's or acute dietary NOEL's) and assumptions about body weight and consumption, to calculate, for each pesticide, the increment of aggregate risk contributed by consumption of contaminated water. While EPA has not yet pinpointed the appropriate bounding figure for consumption of contaminated water, the ranges the Agency is continuing to examine are all below the level that would cause clopyralid to exceed the RfD if the tolerance being considered in this document was granted. The Agency has therefore concluded that the potential exposures associated with clopyralid in water, even at the higher levels the Agency is considering as a conservative upper bound, would not prevent the Agency from determining that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm if the tolerance is granted.

3. *From non-dietary exposure.* Clopyralid is registered by EPA for outdoor Christmas tree plantations, grasses grown for seed, fallow cropland, non-cropland and other non-food uses.

i. *Acute exposure and risk.* The Agency has determined that this risk assessment was not required.

ii. *Chronic exposure and risk.* The Agency has determined that a chronic non-dietary exposure does not exist for clopyralid.

iii. *Short- and intermediate term exposure and risk.* The Agency has determined there are no short- and intermediate endpoints of concern. Therefore, this risk assessment is not required for clopyralid.

4. *Cumulative exposure to substances with common mechanism of toxicity.* Section 408(b)(2)(D)(v) requires that, when considering whether to establish, modify, or revoke a tolerance, the Agency consider "available information" concerning the cumulative effects of a particular pesticide's residues and "other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity." The Agency believes that "available information" in this context might include not only toxicity, chemistry, and exposure data, but also scientific policies and methodologies for understanding common mechanisms of toxicity and conducting cumulative risk assessments. For most pesticides, although the Agency has some information in its files that may turn out to be helpful in eventually determining whether a pesticide shares a common mechanism of toxicity with any other substances, EPA does not at this time have the methodologies to resolve the complex scientific issues concerning

common mechanism of toxicity in a meaningful way. EPA has begun a pilot process to study this issue further through the examination of particular classes of pesticides. The Agency hopes that the results of this pilot process will increase the Agency's scientific understanding of this question such that EPA will be able to develop and apply scientific principles for better determining which chemicals have a common mechanism of toxicity and evaluating the cumulative effects of such chemicals. The Agency anticipates, however, that even as its understanding of the science of common mechanisms increases, decisions on specific classes of chemicals will be heavily dependent on chemical specific data, much of which may not be presently available.

Although at present the Agency does not know how to apply the information in its files concerning common mechanism issues to most risk assessments, there are pesticides as to which the common mechanism issues can be resolved. These pesticides include pesticides that are toxicologically dissimilar to existing chemical substances (in which case the Agency can conclude that it is unlikely that a pesticide shares a common mechanism of activity with other substances) and pesticides that produce a common toxic metabolite (in which case common mechanism of activity will be assumed).

EPA does not have, at this time, available data to determine whether clopyralid has a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances or how to include this pesticide in a cumulative risk assessment. Unlike other pesticides for which EPA has followed a cumulative risk approach based on a common mechanism of toxicity, clopyralid does not appear to produce a toxic metabolite produced by other substances. For the purposes of this tolerance action, therefore, EPA has not assumed that clopyralid has a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances.

C. *Aggregate Risks and Determination of Safety For U.S. Population*

1. *Acute risk.* There are no acute dietary endpoints of concern; therefore an acute aggregate risk assessment is not required for clopyralid.

2. *Chronic risk.* Using the conservative TMRC exposure assumptions described above, EPA has concluded that aggregate exposure to clopyralid from food will utilize 12% of the RfD for the U.S. population. The major identifiable subgroup with the highest aggregate exposure is children (1 to 6 years old), discussed below. EPA

generally has no concern for exposures below 100% of the RfD because the RfD represents the level at or below which daily aggregate exposure over a lifetime will not pose appreciable risks to human health. Despite the potential for exposure to clopyralid in drinking water, EPA does not expect the aggregate exposure to exceed 100% of the RfD. EPA concludes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to clopyralid residues.

3. *Short- and intermediate-term risk.* EPA has determined there are no short- and intermediate-endpoints of concern; therefore, this aggregate risk assessment is not required for clopyralid.

#### *D. Aggregate Cancer Risk for U.S. Population*

EPA has determined that there is no evidence of carcinogenicity in rats or mice for clopyralid; therefore, an aggregate cancer risk assessment is not required for clopyralid.

#### *E. Aggregate Risks and Determination of Safety for Infants and Children*

In assessing the potential for additional sensitivity of infants and children to residues of clopyralid, EPA considered data from developmental toxicity studies in the rat and rabbit and a two-generation reproduction study in the rat. The developmental toxicity studies are designed to evaluate adverse effects on the developing organism resulting from pesticide exposure during prenatal development to one or both parents. Reproduction studies provide information relating to effects from exposure to the pesticide on the reproductive capability of mating animals and data on systemic toxicity.

FFDCA section 408 provides that EPA shall apply an additional tenfold margin of safety for infants and children in the case of threshold effects to account for pre- and post-natal toxicity and the completeness of the database unless EPA determines that a different margin of safety will be safe for infants and children. Margins of safety are incorporated into EPA risk assessments either directly through use of a margin of exposure analysis or through using uncertainty (safety) factors in calculating a dose level that poses no appreciable risk to humans. EPA believes that reliable data support using the standard margin of exposure and uncertainty factor (usually 100 for combined inter- and intra-species variability) and not the additional tenfold margin of exposure/uncertainty factor when EPA has a complete data base under existing guidelines and when the severity of the effect in infants

or children or the potency or unusual toxic properties of a compound do not raise concerns regarding the adequacy of the standard margin of exposure/safety factor.

1. *Developmental toxicity studies.* The developmental toxicity NOELs of > 250 mg/kg/day (HDT) in both rats and rabbits demonstrate that there is no developmental (pre-natal) toxicity present for clopyralid. EPA further notes that the developmental NOELs are fivefold higher in both rats and rabbits, respectively, than the NOEL of 50 mg/kg/day from the 2-year feeding study in rats, which is the basis for the RfD.

2. *Reproductive toxicity study.* In the two-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats, the pup toxicity NOEL of 1,500 mg/kg/day (HDT) was greater than the parental (systemic) toxicity NOEL of 500 mg/kg/day.

3. *Pre- and post-natal sensitivity.* The above findings suggest that post-natal development in pups is not more sensitive and that infants and children may not be more sensitive to clopyralid than adult animals. The pup NOEL is thirtyfold higher than the RfD NOEL of 50 mg/kg/day.

4. *Acute risk.* The Agency has determined that this risk assessment was not required.

5. *Chronic risk.* EPA has concluded that the percent of the RfD that will be utilized by chronic dietary exposure to residues of clopyralid ranges from 11% for nursing infants (<1 year old) up to 14% for children 1 to 6 years old. However, this calculation assumes tolerance level residues for all commodities and is therefore an overestimate of dietary risk. Refinement of the dietary risk assessment by using anticipated residue data would reduce dietary exposure. The addition of potential exposure from clopyralid residues in drinking water is not expected to result in an exposure which would exceed the RfD.

6. *Short- or intermediate-term risk.* The Agency has determined there are no short- and intermediate endpoints of concern. Therefore, this risk assessment is not required for clopyralid.

#### **V. Other Considerations**

##### *A. Metabolism in Plants and Animals*

The metabolism of clopyralid in plants and animals is adequately understood for the purposes of this tolerance. The residue of concern is clopyralid (3,6-dichloro-2-pyridinecarboxylic acid).

##### *B. Analytical Enforcement Methodology*

Adequate methods for purposes of data collection and enforcement of

tolerances for clopyralid are available. A method for determining clopyralid residues is described in PAM, Vol. II.

#### *C. Magnitude of residues*

Residues of clopyralid are not expected to exceed 3 ppm in canola as a result of this use. Clopyralid does not concentrate in canola processed by-products (refined oil and meal). Existing meat/milk/poultry and egg tolerances should be adequate to cover secondary residues which result from feeding canola meal from treated canola.

#### *D. International Residue Limits*

There are no Canadian, Mexican, or Codex maximum residue levels established for residues of clopyralid on canola.

#### **VI. Conclusion**

Therefore, a tolerance in connection with the FIFRA section 18 emergency exemptions is established for residues of clopyralid in canola at 3 ppm.

#### **VII. Objections and Hearing Requests**

The new FFDCA section 408(g) provides essentially the same process for persons to "object" to a tolerance regulation issued by EPA under new section 408(e) and (l)(6) as was provided in the old section 408 and in section 409. However, the period for filing objections is 60 days, rather than 30 days. EPA currently has procedural regulations which govern the submission of objections and hearing requests. These regulations will require some modification to reflect the new law. However, until those modifications can be made, EPA will continue to use those procedural regulations with appropriate adjustments to reflect the new law.

Any person may, by July 15, 1997, file written objections to any aspect of this regulation (including the revocation provision) and may also request a hearing on those objections. Objections and hearing requests must be filed with the Hearing Clerk, at the address given above (40 CFR 178.20). A copy of the objections and/or hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk should be submitted to the OPP docket for this rulemaking. The objections submitted must specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections (40 CFR 178.25). Each objection must be accompanied by the fee prescribed by 40 CFR 180.33(i). If a hearing is requested, the objections must include a statement of the factual issues on which a hearing is requested, the requestor's contentions on such issues, and a summary of any evidence relied upon

by the requestor (40 CFR 178.27). A request for a hearing will be granted if the Administrator determines that the material submitted shows the following: There is genuine and substantial issue of fact; there is a reasonable possibility that available evidence identified by the requestor would, if established, resolve one or more of such issues in favor of the requestor, taking into account uncontested claims or facts to the contrary; and resolution of the factual issues in the manner sought by the requestor would be adequate to justify the action requested (40 CFR 178.32). Information submitted in connection with an objection or hearing request may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as CBI. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the information that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice.

#### VIII. Public Docket

EPA has established a record for this rulemaking under docket number [OPP-300491] (including any comments and data submitted electronically). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Room 1132 of the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch, Information Resources and Services Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Electronic comments may be sent directly to EPA at:  
opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov.

Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

The official record for this rulemaking, as well as the public version, as described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer any copies of objections and hearing requests received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official rulemaking record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official rulemaking record is the paper record maintained at the Virginia

address in "ADDRESSES" at the beginning of this document.

#### IX. Regulatory Assessment Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and, since this action does not impose any information collection requirements as defined by the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq., it is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4), or require prior consultation with State officials as specified by Executive Order 12875 (58 FR 58093, October 28, 1993), or special considerations as required by Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

Because FFDCA section 408(l)(6) permits establishment of this regulation without a notice of proposed rulemaking, the regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 604(a), do not apply. Nonetheless, the Agency has previously assessed whether establishing tolerances or exemptions from tolerance, raising tolerance levels, or expanding exemptions adversely impact small entities and concluded, as a generic matter, that there is no adverse impact. (46 FR 24950, May 4, 1981).

Under 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Title II of Pub. L. 104-121, 110 Stat. 847), EPA submitted a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of the rule in today's **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: May 8, 1997.

**James Jones,**

*Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

Therefore, 40 CFR Chapter I is amended as follows:

#### PART 180—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 346a and 371.

2. Section 180.431, paragraph (b) is amended by revising the introductory text, the column headings to the table, in the third column of the table by changing "July 31, 1998" to read "7/31/98" and by adding an entry for canola to the table.

#### § 180.431 Clopyralid; tolerances for residues.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) *Section 18 emergency exemptions.* Time-limited tolerances are established for residues of the herbicide clopyralid in connection with use of the pesticide under section 18 emergency exemptions granted by EPA. The tolerances will expire and are revoked on the dates specified in the following table.

Commodity	Parts per million	Expiration/Revocation Date
Canola .....	3	7/31/98
* .....	*	*

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 97-12913 Filed 5-15-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 180

[OPP-300492; FRL-5718-4]

RIN 2070-AB78

#### Pyridaben; Pesticide Tolerance

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes time-limited tolerances with an expiration date of May 31, 2001 for residues of the pesticide pyridaben [2-tert-butyl-5-(4-tert-butylbenzylthio)-4-chloropyridazin-3(2H)-one] in or on the food commodities apples, wet apple pomace, pears, citrus, citrus oil, almonds, almond hulls, meat, milk and fat. A petition was submitted by BASF Corporation to EPA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-170) requesting the tolerance. These tolerances will expire and are revoked on May 31, 2001.

**DATES:** This regulation becomes effective May 16, 1997. Objections and