[A-580-812]

Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors From the Republic of Korea; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. ACTION: Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On October 2, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the amended final results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on dynamic random access memory semiconductors (DRAMs) from the Republic of Korea (61 FR 20216). Subsequent to the publication of the final results of review on May 6, 1996, the petitioner, Micron Technology, Inc. (Micron), and one respondent in this review (LG Semicon Co., Ltd. (LGS)), filed suit with the Court of International Trade (CIT) with respect to the Department's methodology used in calculating LGS" dumping margin. No suit was filed by any parties to this proceeding with respect to the dumping calculations pertaining to the other respondent in this review, Hyundai Electronics Industries, Co., Ltd. (Hyundai). We published an amended final results of review on October 2, 1996, correcting four ministerial errors with respect to sales of subject merchandise by Hyundai. We have corrected one clerical error introduced into the calculations for Hyundai as a result of the amended final results of review. This error was present in our amended final results of review. The review covers the period October 29, 1992, through April 30, 1994. We are publishing this amendment to the amended final results of review in accordance with 19 CFR 353.28(c). **EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 17, 1997. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roy F. Unger, Jr. or Thomas F. Futtner,

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: ROYF. Unger, Jr. or Thomas F. Futtner, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone at (202) 482–0651 or (202) 482–3814, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The review covers two manufacturers/exporters of DRAMs from the Republic of Korea (Korea): Hyundai and LGS, during the period of October 29, 1992

through April 30, 1994. The Department published the preliminary results of review on September 11, 1995 (60 FR 47149), the final results of review on May 6, 1996 (61 FR 20216), and the amended final results of review on October 2, 1996 (61 FR 51410).

Applicable Statute and Regulations

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Action 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act). Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations refer to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of DRAMs of one megabit and above from the Republic of Korea (Korea). For purposes of this review, DRAMs are all one megabit and above. whether assembled or unassembled. Assembled DRAMs include all package types. Unassembled DRAMs include processed wafers, uncut die and cut die. Processed wafers produced in Korea, but packaged, or assembled into memory modules in a third country, are included in the scope; wafers produced in a third country and assembled or packaged in Korea are not included in the scope of this review.

The scope of this review includes memory modules. A memory module is a collection of DRAMs, the sole function of which is memory. Modules include single in-line processing modules (SIPs), single in-line memory modules (SIMMs), or other collections of DRAMs, whether unmounted or mounted on a circuit board. Modules that contain other parts that are needed to support the function of memory are covered. Only those modules which contain additional items which alter the function of the module to something other than memory, such as video graphics adapter (VGA) boards and cards, are not included in the scope.

The scope of this review also includes video random access memory semiconductors (VRAMs), as well as any future packaging and assembling of DRAMs.

The scope of this review also includes removable memory modules placed on motherboards, with or without a central processing unit (CPU), unless the importer of motherboards certifies with the Customs Service that neither it, nor a party related to it or under contract to it, will remove the modules from the motherboards after importation. The scope of this review does not include DRAMs or memory modules that are reimported for repair or replacement.

The DRAMs subject to this review are classifiable under subheadings 8542.11.0001, 8542.11.0024, 8542.11.0026, and 8542.11.0034 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Also included in the scope are those removable Korean DRAMs contained on or within products classifiable under subheadings 8471.91.0000 and 8473.30.4000 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this review remains dispositive.

The period of review (POR) covers from October 29, 1992 through April 30, 1994 for all respondents.

Ministerial Error in Amended Final Results of Review

After reviewing an allegation of a ministerial error submitted by Hyundai, the Department determined that it should correct this the following clerical error pertaining to Hyundai.

In the amended final results of review we made corrections to our calculations of constructed value (CV). In so doing, we mistakenly deducted U.S. packing expenses incurred in Korea from the United States price in our margin calculations for U.S. sales compared to CV. We have corrected the amended final results of review to deduct the correct expense for U.S. repacking from United States price for Hyundai.

Amended Final Results of Review

Upon correction of the ministerial error listed above, the Department has determined that the following margin exists for the periods of October 29, 1992 through April 30, 1994:

Manufacturer/exporter:

Percent margin

October 29, 1992 through April 30, 1994: Hyundai Electronics Industries

0.10

The Customs Service shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between USP and FMV may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions concerning each respondent directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Hyundai will be zero percent; (2) for previously

reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or in the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and, (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 3.85%, the all others rate established in the LTFV investigation. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (Samsung), formerly a respondent in this administrative review, was excluded from the antidumping duty order on DRAMs from Korea on February 8, 1996. See Final Court Decision and Partial Amended Final Determination: Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors of One Megabit and Above From the Republic of Korea, 61 FR 4765 (February 8, 1996).

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of the APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353 22.

Dated: January 8, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-1256 Filed 1-16-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-475-811]

Certain Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel from Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On July 11, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain grain-oriented electrical steel from Italy (61 FR 36551). The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States and the period February 9, 1994, through July 31, 1995. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. No comments were received, and we have not changed the results from those presented in the preliminary results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 17, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy Decker or Robin Gray, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–3793.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 11, 1996, the Department published in the Federal Register (61 FR 36551) the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain grain-oriented electrical steel from Italy (59 FR 41431, August 12, 1994). The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is grain-oriented silicon electrical steel, which is a flat-rolled alloy steel product containing by weight at least 0.6 percent of silicon, not more than 0.08 percent of carbon, not more than 1.0 percent of aluminum, and no other element in an amount that would give the steel the characteristics of another alloy steel, of a thickness of no more than 0.560 millimeters, in coils of any width, or in straight lengths which are of a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, as currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) under item numbers 7225.10.0030, 7225.30.7000, 7225.40.7000, 7225.50.8000, 7225.90.0000, 7226.10.1030, 7226.10.5015, 7226.10.5056, 7226.91.7000, 7226.91.8000, 7226.92.5000, 7226.92.7050, 7226.92.8050, 7226.99.0000, 7228.30.8050, 7228.60.6000, and 7229.90.1000. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written descriptions of the scope of these proceedings are dispositive.

This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of grain-oriented electrical steel, Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A. ("AST"), and the period February 9, 1994, through July 31, 1995.

Final Results of Review

As a result of this review, we have determined that the following margin exists for the period February 9, 1994, through July 31, 1995:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (per- cent)
Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A	60.79

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department shall issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements shall be effective, upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review, for all shipments of the subject merchandise from Italy that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for AST will be the rate established above; (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for