\* For the purposes of this section, the market in a security is opened (or reopened) with either a trade or quotation, if trades are being reported to the Consolidated Tape and quotes are being disseminated on the Consolidated Quotation System.

To cause Section (c)(ii) of Exhibit A to read in full as follows:

(ii) Responses When the Exchange is *Open*—Notwithstanding paragraph (c)(i), an Exchange specialist who has received a pre-opening notification in any Eligible Listed Security in which he is registered as a specialist should not send a pre-opening response to the originator of such notification if (A) the market for trading in the security is open on the Exchange or (B) the Participant market from which the notification emanated had declared a halt or suspension in trading in such security, and the Exchange either had not halted trading in the security contemporaneously with the Participant Market or had resumed trading during the halt or suspension in trading. [\*]

\* Note: The NASD shall implement a comparable provision in its rules to conform the restrictions on responses by ITS/CAES Market Makers to the provisions of paragraph (b)(ii)(B) above.

To cause Section (c)(v) of Exhibit A to read in full as follows:

(v) Use of System before Opening or Reopening—No Exchange member, whether acting as principal or agent, shall send an obligation to trade, commitment to trade or order in any security from the Exchange through the System to any other Participant market prior to the opening of trading in the security in the Participant market (or prior to the resumption of trading in the security in the Participant market following the initiation of a halt or suspension in trading in the security) until a pre-opening notification in the security has been issued from the other Participant market or, if no pre-opening notification is required, until the market in the security has opened in such other Participant market.

To cause Section (c)(vii) of Exhibit A to read in full as follows:

(vii) Request for Participation
Reports—The ITS Plan anticipates that
an Exchange member who has sent one
or more obligations to trade in response
to a pre-opening notification will
request a report through the System as
to his participation if he does not
receive a report as required promptly
following the opening. If, on or
following trade date, he does request a
report through the System as to his
participation before [4:00 p.m. eastern
time \*], and he does not receive a
response by [9:30 a.m. eastern time \*\*]
on the next trading day, he need not

accept a later report. If he fails to so request a report, he must accept a report until [4:00 p.m. eastern time \*] on the third trading day following the trade (i.e., on T+3). The Exchange does not intend this paragraph (c)(vii) to relieve him of the obligation, when he does not receive a report as soon as he reasonably should expect to have received it.

## II. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the ITS. All submissions should refer to File No. 4-208 and should be submitted by May 14, 1997.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

# Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–10517 Filed 4–22–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

## SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

# Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection Requests

This notice lists information collection packages that will require submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), in compliance with PL. 104–13 effective October 1, 1995, The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

Questionnaire for Children Claiming SSI Benefits—0960–0499. The information collected on form SSA–3881 is used by the Social Security Administration to evaluate disability in children who apply for supplement security income payments. The respondents are individuals who apply for supplement security income benefits for a disabled child.

Number of Respondents: 151,667. Frequency of Response: 1. Average Burden Per Response: 20 minutes.

Estimated Annual Burden: 151,667 hours.

Written comments and recommendations regarding the information collection(s) should be sent within 60 days from the date of this publication, directly to the SSA Reports Clearance Officer at the following address: Social Security Administration, DCFAM, Attn: Judith T. Hasche, 6401 Security Blvd., 1–A–21 Operations Bldg., Baltimore, MD 21235.

In addition to your comments on the accuracy of the agency's burden estimate, we are soliciting comments on the need for the information; its practical utility; ways to enhance its quality, utility and clarity; and on ways to minimize burden on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

To receive a copy of any of the forms or clearance packages, call the SSA Reports Clearance Officer on (410) 965–4123 or write to her at the address listed above.

Dated: April 6, 1997.

#### Judith T. Hasche,

Reports Clearance Officer, Social Security Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–10428 Filed 4–22–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4190–29–P

### SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Privacy Act of 1974, As Amended; Computer Matching Program (SSA/ Railroad Retirement Board (RRB)— SSA Match Number 1006)

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration. **ACTION:** Notice of Computer Matching Program.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the provisions of the Privacy Act, this notice announces a computer matching program that SSA plans to conduct with RRB.

DATES: SSA will file a report of the subject matching program with the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight of the House of Representatives and the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The matching program will be effective as indicated below.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may comment on this notice by either telefax to (410) 966–2935, or writing to the

Associate Commissioner for Program

Support, 4400 West High Rise Building, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235. All comments received will be available for public inspection at this address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Associate Commissioner for Program Support as shown above.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## A. General

The Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988 (Pub. L. 100-503), amended the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a) by establishing conditions under which computer matching involving the Federal government could be performed and adding certain protections for individuals applying for and receiving Federal benefits. Section 7201 of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101-508), further amended the Privacy Act regarding protections for such individuals. The Privacy Act, as amended, regulates the use of computer matching by Federal agencies when records in a system of records are matched with other Federal, State, or local government records. Among other things, it requires Federal agencies involved in computer matching programs to:

(1) Negotiate written agreements with the other agency or agencies participating in the matching programs;

(2) Obtain Data Integrity Board approval of the match agreements;

- (3) Furnish detailed reports about matching programs to Congress and OMB:
- (4) Notify applicants and beneficiaries that their records are subject to matching; and
- (5) Verify match findings before reducing, suspending, terminating, or denying an individual's benefits or payments.

# B. SSA Computer Matches Subject to the Privacy Act

We have taken action to ensure that all of SSA's computer matching programs comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act, as amended.

Dated: April 16, 1997.

### John J. Callahan,

Acting Commissioner of Social Security.

# Notice of Computer Matching Program, Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) With Social Security Administration (SSA)

A. Participating Agencies

SSA and RRB.

B. Purpose of the Matching Program

To identify supplemental security income recipients and applicants who

receive annuities payable by the RRB. For such individuals, the income received due to benefits payable by the RRB may affect eligibility for or the amount of SSI benefits.

C. Authority for Conducting the Matching Program

Sections 1631(e)(1)(B) and 1631(f) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1383(e)(1)(B) and 1383(f)).

D. Categories of Records and Individuals Covered by the Match

The RRB will provide SSA with an electronic data file containing annuity payment information from its system of records entitled Checkwriting Integrated Computer Operation Benefits Payment Master. SSA will then match the RRB data with information maintained in its Supplemental Security Income Record.

# E. Inclusive Dates of the Match

The matching program shall become effective no sooner than 40 days after notice of the matching program is sent to Congress and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), or 30 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, whichever date is later. The matching program will continue for 18 months from the effective date and may be extended for an additional 12 months thereafter, if certain conditions are met.

[FR Doc. 97–10474 Filed 4–22–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4190–29–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice 2532]

# Bureau of Political-Military Affairs; Determination Under the Arms Export Control Act

Pursuant to Section 654(c) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, notice is hereby given that the Under Secretary of State for Arms Control and International Security Affairs has made a determination pursuant to Section 81 of the Arms Export Control Act and has concluded that publication of the determination would be harmful to the national security of the United States.

Dated: April 10, 1997.

# Thomas E. McNamara,

Assistant Secretary of State for Political-Military Affairs.

[FR Doc. 97–10454 Filed 4–22–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–25–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Highway Administration**

# Environmental Impact Statement: Clark County, NV

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this Notice to advise the public that an environmental impact statement will be prepared for a proposed highway project in Clark County, Nevada.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daryl James, P.E., Supervisor, Environmental Services Division, Nevada Department of Transportation, 1263 South Stewart Street, Carson City, NV 89712, Telephone: 702–888–7686; John T. Price, Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, Nevada Division, 705 North Plaza St., Suite 220, Carson City, NV 89701, Telephone: 702–687–1205.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT), in cooperation with the City of Las Vegas, the City of North Las Vegas, Regional Transportation Commission, and Clark County, is considering improvements to the transportation system serving the Northwest Region of Las Vegas. These improvements include: widening US 95, a freeway management system for US 95, high occupancy vehicle lanes on US 95 and Summerlin Parkway, new arterial street connections, arterial street improvements, enhanced bus service, and transportation demand management measures. The proposed improvements have been adopted as the "locally preferred alternative" as a result of a Major Investment Study for the Northwest Region.

Rapid growth has occurred in the Las Vegas Valley over the past several years. The growth has added to the existing heavy demand on the regional transportation system. Substantial growth is expected to continue, with a major portion of the new development occurring in the western and northern portions of the area. The proposed improvements are considered necessary to provide for existing and projected travel demand in the Northwest Region of Las Vegas and enhance safety and operational efficiency.

Alternatives to be considered will include the "locally preferred alternative" as developed through the Major Investment Study process and the no-build option.