

grants. See *GIA*, 58 FR at 37226. However, the grants received by BS plc under this program were less than 0.5 percent of BS plc's total sales, and thus were allocated to the year of receipt. On this basis, we preliminarily determine the net subsidies for this program to be 0.001 percent *ad valorem*.

II. Programs Preliminarily Determined To Be Not Used

We examined the following programs and preliminarily find that the producers and/or exporters of the subject merchandise subject to this review did not apply for or receive benefits under these programs during the POR:

- (A) New Community Instrument Loans
- (B) ECSC Article 54 Loan Guarantees
- (C) NLF Loans
- (D) ECSC Conversion Loans
- (E) European Regional Development Fund Aid
- (F) Article 56 Rebates
- (G) Regional Selective Assistance
- (H) ECSC Article 56(b)(2) Redeployment Aid
- (I) Inner Urban Areas Act of 1978
- (J) LINK Initiative
- (K) Transportation Assistance

III. Programs Preliminarily Determined To Be Terminated Transportation Assistance

The Department originally found that BS plc received preferential rail transport freight subsidies under this program in the *Certain Steel* investigation. *UK Certain Steel*, 58 FR at 37397. During this administrative review, however, we found that this program has been terminated and that there are no residual benefits. See the March 31, 1997, *Memorandum to the File Re: Transportation Assistance* (public document on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department of Commerce).

Preliminary Results of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 355.22(c)(4)(ii), we have calculated an individual subsidy rate for each producer/exporter subject to this administrative review. As discussed in the "Change in Ownership" section of the notice, above, we are treating British Steel plc and British Steel Engineering Steels as one company for purposes of this proceeding. For the period January 1, 1995 through December 31, 1995, we preliminarily determine the net subsidy for British Steel plc/British Steel Engineering Steel/United Engineering Steel (BS plc/BSES/UES) to be 7.35 percent *ad valorem*. If the final results of this review remain the same as these preliminary results, the Department intends to instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties

for BS plc/BSES at 7.35 percent *ad valorem*. The Department also intends to instruct the U.S. Customs Service to collect a cash deposit of 7.35 percent of the f.o.b. invoice price on all shipments of the subject merchandise from BS plc/BSES/UES, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

The URAA replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies. The procedures for countervailing duty cases are now essentially the same as those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act. Requests for administrative reviews must now specify the companies to be reviewed. See 19 CFR § 355.22(a). The requested review will normally cover only those companies specifically named. Pursuant to 19 CFR § 355.22(g), for all companies for which a review was not requested, duties must be assessed at the cash deposit rate, and cash deposits must continue to be collected, at the rate previously ordered. As such, the countervailing duty cash deposit rate applicable to a company can no longer change, except pursuant to a request for a review of that company. See *Federal-Mogul Corporation and The Torrington Company v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 782 (CIT 1993); see also, *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 766 (CIT 1993) (interpreting 19 CFR § 353.22(e), the antidumping regulation on automatic assessment, which is identical to 19 CFR § 355.22(g)). Therefore, the cash deposit rates for all companies except those covered by this review will be unchanged by the results of this review. We will instruct Customs to continue to collect cash deposits for non-reviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company.

Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to non-reviewed companies covered by this order are 20.33 percent *ad valorem* for Allied Steel Wire and 9.76 percent *ad valorem* for all other non-reviewed companies, which are the rates calculated in the most recently completed administrative proceeding. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Lead and Bismuth Carbon Steel Products from the United Kingdom; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 60 FR 54841 (October 26, 1995). These rates shall apply to all non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned these rates is requested. In addition, for the period January 1, 1995 through

December 31, 1995, the assessment rates applicable to all non-reviewed companies covered by this order are the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry.

Public Comment

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure of the calculation methodology and interested parties may request a hearing not later than 10 days after the date of publication of this notice. Interested parties may submit written arguments in case briefs on these preliminary results within 30 days of the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs, limited to arguments raised in case briefs, may be submitted seven days after the time limit for filing the case brief. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument (1) A statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Any hearing, if requested, will be held seven days after the scheduled date for submission of rebuttal briefs. Copies of case briefs and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR § 355.38.

Representatives of parties to the proceeding may request disclosure of proprietary information under administrative protective order no later than 10 days after the representative's client or employer becomes a party to the proceeding, but in no event later than the date the case briefs, under 19 CFR § 355.38, are due. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief or at a hearing.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)).

Dated: March 31, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-8841 Filed 4-4-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 033197H]

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) will convene public meetings of the Mackerel Advisory Panel (AP) and Standing and Special Mackerel Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC).

DATES: The meetings are scheduled as follows: Standing and Special Mackerel SSC will meet on April 30, 1997, from 8:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.; Mackerel AP will meet on May 1, 1997, from 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held at the New Orleans Airport Radisson Hotel, 2150 Veterans Boulevard, Kenner, LA 70062; telephone: 504-467-3111.

Council address: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 3018 U.S. Highway 301 North, Suite 1000, Tampa, FL 33619.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Richard Leard, Senior Fishery Biologist; telephone: 813-228-2815.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Standing and Special Mackerel SSC will review the 1997 stock assessment updates for both king and Spanish Mackerel and the report of the Socioeconomic Panel (SEP) that includes economic and social information related to setting an allowable biological catch range and bag limits for mackerels in the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic. Based on this review, the SSC may recommend to the Council levels for total allowable catch, bag limits, size limits, commercial quotas, and other measures for these species for the 1997-98 season.

The Mackerel AP will also review the 1997 stock assessment updates for both king and Spanish Mackerel and the report of the SEP. The AP will also provide recommendations to the Council based on their perspectives as users of these resources.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Anne Alford at the Council (see **ADDRESSES**) by April 23, 1997.

Dated: April 1, 1997.

Richard W. Surdi,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.
[FR Doc. 97-8739 Filed 4-4-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 033197G]

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) will convene a public meeting via conference call on April 22, 1997, beginning at 10:00 a.m. eastern standard time (EST)/9:00 a.m. central standard time (CST) to resolve inconsistencies in their advice to the Council on vermilion snapper minimum size limits and allowable biological catch recommendations. The Council is considering an increase in the vermilion snapper minimum size limit from 8-inches to 10-inches total length in order to stop a decline in the vermilion snapper stock and prevent the stock from becoming overfished. In November 1996, the Reef Fish Stock Assessment Panel (RFSAP) had stated that changes in the minimum size limit would have very little impact on the resource, but in February 1997, the RFSAP concluded that increasing the minimum size limit to 10-inches total length would reduce overall harvest by about 33 percent.

DATES: The meeting will be held on April 22, 1997, beginning at 10:00 a.m. EST/9:00 a.m. CST.

ADDRESSES: A listening phone will be located at each of the following locations:

1. NMFS Southeast Regional Office, 9721 Executive Center Drive North, St. Petersburg, FL; telephone: 813-570-5335;

2. NMFS Panama City Laboratory, 3500 Delwood Beach Road, Panama City, FL; telephone: 904-234-6541;

3. NMFS Miami Laboratory, 75 Virginia Beach Drive, Miami, FL; telephone: 305-361-4487.

Council address: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 3018 U.S. Highway 301 North, Suite 1000, Tampa, FL 33619.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Atran, Population Dynamic Statistician; telephone: 813-228-2815.

Special Accommodations

Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Anne Alford at the

Council (see **ADDRESSES**) by April 15, 1997.

Dated: April 1, 1997.

Richard W. Surdi,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.
[FR Doc. 97-8740 Filed 4-4-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 033197F]

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) will convene a public meeting of the Reef Fish Advisory Panel (AP).

DATES: The meeting will be held on May 2, 1997, from 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the at the Radisson Bay Harbor Inn, 7700 Courtney Campbell Causeway, Tampa, FL 33607; telephone: 813-281-8000.

Council address: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 3018 U.S. Highway 301 North, Suite 1000, Tampa, FL 33619.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Atran, Population Dynamics Statistician; telephone: 813-228-2815.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Reef Fish AP will review draft Reef Fish Amendment 15 which contains: proposals for a red snapper commercial license limitation system; limits on harvest of reef fish from crustacean traps; a vermilion snapper minimum size limit increase; removal of sea bass, grunts, and porgies from Federal management; and removal of several reef fish species from the aggregate bag limit rule. The Red Snapper AP may also provide recommendations to the Council, and the AP will also hear a presentation on marine reserves from NMFS.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Anne Alford at the Council (see **ADDRESSES**) by April 25, 1997.