Amendment Nos.: 214 and 199 Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-58 and DPR-74. Amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in **Federal Register:** July 19, 1995 (60 FR 37095) The September 26, 1995, August 2, 1996, and February 6, 1997, supplements provided clarifying information that did not expand the scope of the initial application or change the staff's proposed no significant hazards determination. The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 13, 1997. No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Local Public Document Room location: Maud Preston Palenske Memorial Library, 500 Market Street, St. Joseph, Michigan 49085

North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation, Docket No. 50-443. Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1, Rockingham County, New Hampshire

Date of amendment request: October 17, 1996

Description of amendment request: The amendment revises the Appendix A Technical Specifications relating to incore detector system, seismic instrumentation, meteorological instrumentation, and turbine overspeed protection. The amendment deletes Limiting Conditions for Operation and Surveillance Requirements related to these instruments. The deleted requirements are to be incorporated into the Seabrook Station Technical Requirements Manual (SSTR). The associated Bases Sections are also deleted. In addition, Technical Specification 5.5 is deleted but will not be relocated to the SSTR. The amendment also redesignates Paragraph 2.J of the Seabrook Operating License as Paragraph 3, and has added new Paragraph 2.J to document the North Atlantic commitment to relocate the above mentioned Technical Specification requirements to the SSTR.

Date of issuance: March 12, 1997 Effective date: March 12, 1997 Amendment No.: 50

Facility Operating License No. NPF-86. Amendment revised the Technical Specifications and Operating License.

Date of initial notice in **Federal** Register: December 18, 1996 (61 FR 66713). The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 12, 1997. No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Local Public Document Room location: Exeter Public Library, Founders Park, Exeter, NH 03833.

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, et al., Docket No. 50-423, Millstone **Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3, New London County, Connecticut**

Date of application for amendment: May 23, 1996, as supplemented July 17 and December 4, 1996

Brief description of amendment: The amendment modifies the description of the time constants associated with the Overtemperature Delta-T and Overpower Delta-T calculations used to establish the trip setpoints and the time constant used in the rate-lag controller for Steam Line Isolation, Steam Line Pressure Negative Rate-High.

Date of issuance: March 11, 1997 Effective date: As of the date of issuance, to be implemented within 60 days.

Amendment No.: 134

Facility Operating License No. NPF-49: Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal **Register:** June 17, 1996 (61 FR 30639) The July 17 and December 4, 1996, letters provided additional, clarifying information that did not change the scope of the May 23, 1996, application and the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination. The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 11, 1997. No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Local Public Document Room location: Learning Resources Center, Three Rivers Community-Technical College, 574 New London Turnpike, Norwich, Connecticut 06360, and the Waterford Library, ATTN: Vince Juliano, 49 Rope Ferry Road, Waterford, Connecticut 06385

Southern California Edison Company, et al., Docket No. 50-362, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit No. 3, San Diego County, California

Date of application for amendment: February 7, 1997

Brief description of amendment: The amendment defers implementation of Surveillance Requirement 3.1.5.4 of Technical Specification 3.1.5, "Control Element Assembly (CEA) Alignment, until the next SONGS Unit 3 shutdown, which will be no later than the upcoming Cycle 9 refueling outage (currently scheduled for April 12, 1997).

Date of issuance: March 5, 1997 Effective date: March 5, 1997 Amendment No.: 126

Facility Operating License No. NPF-15: The amendments revised the Technical Specifications. Public comments requested as to proposed no significant hazards consideration: Yes (62 FR 7477 dated February 19, 1997). The notice provided an opportunity to submit comments on the Commission's proposed no significant hazards consideration determination. No comments have been received. The notice also provided for an opportunity to request a hearing by March 21, 1997, but indicated that if the Commission makes a final no significant hazards consideration determination any such hearing would take place after issuance of the amendment. The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 5, 1997.

Attorney for licensee: T. E. Oubre, Esquire, Southern California Edison Company, P. O. Box 800, Rosemead, California 91770

Local Public Document Room location: Main Library, University of California, P. O. Box 19557, Irvine, California 92713

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of March 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Elinor G. Adensam,

Acting Director, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation [Doc. 97-7508 Filed 3-25-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-F

[Docket No. 50-409]

Lacrosse Boiling Water Reactor; Intent To Relocate Local Public Document

Notice is hereby by given that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) will be relocating the local public document room (LPDR) for records pertaining to Dairyland Power Cooperative's LaCrosse Boiling Water Reactor located in Genoa, Wisconsin. The LaCrosse LPDR is currently located at the LaCrosse Public Library, 800 Main Street, LaCrosse, Wisconsin. The document collection is available in microfiche form, with paper copy indices. Library staff informed the NRC that they are no longer able to maintain the document collection and request that it be moved. This notice invites public comment on possible LPDR locations in the Genoa, Wisconsin, area.

Among the factors the NRC will consider in selecting a new location for the LPDR are the following:

(1) Whether the institution is an established document repository located near the nuclear facility with a history of impartially serving the public;

(2) The physical facilities available, including shelf space, storage space, patron workspace, copying equipment and computer access;

(3) The willingness and ability of the library staff to maintain the LPDR collection and assist the public in locating records;

(4) The nature and extent of related research resources, such as government

documents;

(5) The public accessibility of the library, including handicap accessibility, parking, ground transportation, and hours of operation, particularly evening and weekend hours:

(6) The proximity of the library to existing user groups of the collection, if known.

Comment period expires April 25, 1997. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given except as to comments filed on or before this date.

Written comments may be submitted to Mr. David Meyer, Chief, Regulatory Publications Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Copies of comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW, Washington, DC.

Questions concerning the NRC's LPDR Program should be addressed to Ms. Jona L. Souder, LPDR Program Manager, Freedom of Information/Local Public Document Room Branch, Office of Information Resources Management, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone number 301–415–7170, or toll-free 1–800–638–8081.

Dated at Rockville, Md., this 20th day of March, 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Russell A. Powell.**

Chief, Freedom of Information/Local Public Document Room Branch, Office of Information Resources Management. [FR Doc. 97–7640 Filed 3–25–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

Regulatory Guide; Issuance, Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a revision to a guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations, techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Revision 2 of Regulatory Guide 1.160, "Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants," has been issued to endorse Revision 2 of NUMARC 93–01, "Industry Guideline for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants" (April 1996), which has been updated by the Nuclear Energy Institute. When used in conjunction, these revisions to Regulatory Guide 1.160 and NUMARC 93–01 provide methods acceptable to the NRC staff for complying with the NRC's maintenance rule, 10 CFR 50.65.

Comments and suggestions in connection with items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time. Written comments may be submitted to the Publications Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Regulatory guides are available for inspection or copying for a fee at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC. Single copies of regulatory guides, both active and draft, may be obtained free of charge by writing the Office of Administration, Attn: Distribution and Mail Services Section, USNRC, Washington, DC 20555–0001, or by fax at (301) 415-2260. Issued guides may also be purchased from the National Technical Information Service on a standing order basis. Details on this service may be obtained by writing NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of March 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **David L. Morrison**.

Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 97–7637 Filed 3–25–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket No. 70-7002]

Amendment to Certificate of Compliance for the U.S. Enrichment Corporation Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Portsmouth, OH

The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards has made a determination that the following amendment request is not significant in accordance with 10 CFR 76.45. In making that determination the staff concluded that (1) there is no change in the types or significant increase in the

amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (2) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure; (3) there is no significant construction impact; (4) there is no significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previous analyzed accidents; (5) the proposed changes do not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident; (6) there is no significant reduction in any margin of safety; and (7) the proposed changes will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safe guards or security programs. The basis for this determination for the amendment request is described below.

The NRC staff has reviewed the certificate amendment application and concluded that it provides reasonable assurance of adequate safety, safeguards, and security, and compliance with NRC requirements. Therefore, the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards is prepared to issue an amendment to the Certificate of Compliance for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PORTS). The staff has prepared a Compliance Evaluation Report which provides details of the staff's evaluation.

The NRC staff has determined that this amendment satisfied the criteria for a categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for this amendment.

USEC or any person whose interest may be affected may file a petition, not exceeding 30 pages, requesting review of the Director's Decision. The petition must be filed with the Commission not later than 15 days after publication of this Federal Register Notice. A petition for review of the Director's Decision shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner and how that interest may be affected by the results of the decision. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why review of the Decision should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The interest of the petitioner; (2) how that interest may be affected by the Decision, including the reasons why the petitioner should be permitted a review of the Decision; and (3) the petitioner's areas of concern about the activity that is the subject matter of the Decision. Any person described in this paragraph (USEC or any person who filed a petition) may file a response to any petition for review, not to exceed 30 pages, within 10 days after the filing of the petition.