obtain PEBES requests. The respondents are a sample of PEBES requestors whose identity and address could not be verified through other means.

Number of Respondents: 300. Frequency of Response: 1. Average Burden Per Response: 5 minutes.

Estimated Annual Burden: 25 hours. To receive a copy of the form or clearance packages, call the SSA Reports Clearance Officer on (410) 965–4123 or write to her at the address listed below. Written comments and recommendations regarding the information collection(s) should be directed within 30 days to the OMB Desk Officer and SSA Reports Clearance Officer at the following addresses:

(OMB)—Office of Management and Budget, OIRA, Attn: Laura Oliven, New Executive Office Building, Room 10230, 725 17th St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20503

(SSA)—Social Security Administration, DCFAM, Attn: Judith T. Hasche, 1–A– 21 Operations Bldg., 6401 Security Blvd., Baltimore, MD 21235.

Dated: March 6, 1997.

Judith T. Hasche,

Reports Clearance Officer, Social Security Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–6240 Filed 3–13–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4190–29–P

#### Testing Modifications to the Disability Determination Procedures; Single Decisionmaker Model Test Site Continuation

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration. **ACTION:** Notice of the continuation of a test site and the duration of the test involving a single decisionmaker.

**SUMMARY:** The Social Security Administration is announcing the continuation of a test that it has been conducting under the final rules published in the Federal Register on April 24, 1995 (60 FR 20023), as well as the location and duration of that test. Those final rules authorize the testing of several modifications to the disability determination procedures that we normally follow in adjudicating claims for disability insurance benefits under title II of the Social Security Act (the Act) and claims for supplemental security income (SSI) payments based on disability under title XVI of the Act. This notice announces the continuation of the test involving the use of a single decisionmaker who may make the disability determination without requiring the signature of a medical consultant. This notice also announces

the designated test site and the duration of the test.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Harry Pippin, Models Team Leader, Office of Disability, Disability Process Redesign Staff, Social Security

Office of Disability, Disability Process Redesign Staff, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21235, 410–965–9203.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 24, 1995, we published final rules in the Federal Register authorizing us to test different modifications to the disability determination procedures. The tests are designed to provide us with information so that we can determine the effectiveness of the concepts in the models in improving the disability process.

Under this test, a single decisionmaker may make disability determinations without generally requiring a medical consultant to sign the disability determination forms that we use to certify the determination. On May 3, 1996, we announced in the Federal Register our intent to begin tests, on or about May 1, 1996, of the procedures to be conducted by a single decisionmaker (61 FR 19969). We also explained that we would select cases for the evaluation for approximately six months and might continue to process cases for another six months. In that announcement, we identified nine test sites in seven states. We are now planning additional testing of the single decisionmaker model; and at this time, we are announcing a continuation of testing at one site. We plan to continue testing the single decisionmaker model on or about February 24, 1997. We will continue the test for approximately fourteen months. We will publish another notice in the Federal Register if we extend the duration of the test. Continued testing of the single decisionmaker model will be conducted at the following location:

 North Carolina Division of Social Services, Disability Determination Services, 321 Chapanoke Road, Raleigh, NC 27603.

Not all cases received in the test site listed above will be handled under the test procedures. However, if a claim is selected to be handled by a single decisionmaker as part of the test, the claim will be processed under the procedures established under the final rules cited above.

Carolyn W. Colvin,

Deputy Commissioner for Programs and Policy.

[FR Doc. 97–6408 Filed 3–13–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4190–29–P

# OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket No. 301-111]

Initiation of Section 302 Investigation and Request for Public Comment: Certain Subsidies Affecting Access to the European Communities' Market for Modified Starch

**AGENCY:** Office of the United Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation of investigation; request for written comment.

SUMMARY: The Acting United States
Trade Representative (Acting USTR) has
initiated an investigation under section
302(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (the
Trade Act) with respect to certain acts,
policies and practices of the European
Communities (EC), more specifically,
the provision of subsides that affect
access to the EC modified starch market.
The Acting USTR invites written
comments from the public on the
matters being investigated and the
determinations to be made under
section 304 of the Trade Act.

**DATES:** This investigation was initiated on March 8, 1997. Written comments from the public are due on or before noon on Monday, April 14, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20508.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ronald K. Lorentzen, Office of WTO and Multilateral Affairs, (202) 395–3063; Audrey Winter, Office of the General Counsel, (202) 395–7305; or Marilyn Moore, Office of Agricultural Affairs, (202) 395–6127.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 22, 1997, the U.S. Wheat Gluten Industry Council filed a petition pursuant to section 302(a) of the Trade Act (19 U.S.C. 2412(a)) alleging that certain subsidy schemes of the EC constitute acts, policies and practices that violate, or are inconsistent with and otherwise deny benefits to the United States under, the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 (GATT) and the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (SCM Agreement). In particular, the petition alleges that four EC subsidy programs ((1) the wheat export tax; (2) the starch production refund program; (3) the starch export restitution program; and (4) various quotas and other productions limits on other starches) violate EC obligations, cause serious prejudice to U.S. interests and nullify or impair U.S. benefits under the World Trade Organization (WTO) agreements insofar

as they directly or indirectly benefit EC production and export of wheat gluten to the United States and, in the case of the wheat export tax and the starch production refund program, displace or impede imports of modified starch from the United States to the EC. The petition also states that numerous other subsidy programs available within individual EC Member States may have benefited the production of wheat starch by EC producers.

#### Investigation and Consultation

The Acting USTR has reviewed the allegation in the petition and has serious concerns about difficulties facing the U.S. wheat gluten and wheat starch industries. Accordingly, on March 8, 1997, the Acting USTR determined to initiate an investigation under section 302 with respect to the EC starch production refund program to determine whether subsidies granted under that program are causing or threatening to cause serious prejudice to U.S. interests with respect to U.S. exports of modified starch to the EC, or are nullifying or impairing benefits accruing to the United States under the WTO agreements. With respect to the other allegations in the petition regarding subsidized imports of EU wheat gluten into the United States, the Acting USTR has invited the petitioners to consider seeking additional information through the procedures provided for in section 308 of the Trade Act and USTR is prepared to continue working with them in the development of information and analysis which may form the basis for further action. Insofar as other U.S. trade laws are designed specifically to address the problems of increased and/or unfairly traded imports into the U.S. market, the Acting USTR noted that the petitioners may wish to explore more fully these other avenues of relief. The Acting USTR also intends to continue to pursue consultations with the EU regarding its wheat gluten exports to the United States, pursuant to a bilateral agreement with the EU on grains signed on July 22, 1996. In light of the foregoing, the Acting USTR decided at this juncture not to initiate an investigation under Section 302 with respect to these other allegations in the petition.

Pursuant to section 303(b)(1)(A) of the Trade Act, the Acting USTR has decided to delay requesting consultations with the EC, required under section 303, on the EC starch production refund program for up to 90 days for the purpose of verifying and improving the petition to ensure an adequate basis for consultations with the EC.

Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the acts, policies and practices of the EC which are the subject of this investigation, the amount of burden or restriction on U.S. commerce caused by these acts, policies and practices, and the determinations required under section 304 of the Trade Act. Comments must be filed in accordance with the requirements set forth in 15 CFR 2006.8(b) (55 FR 20593) and must be filed on or before noon on Monday, April 14, 1997. Comments must be in English and provided in twenty copies to: Sybia Harrison, Staff Assistant to the Section 301 Committee, Room 223, Office of the U.S. Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20508.

Comments will be placed in a file (Docket 301-111) open to public inspection pursuant to 15 CFR 2006.13, except confidential business information exempt from public inspection in accordance with 15 CFR 2006.15. Confidential business information submitted in accordance with 15 CFR 2006.15 must be clearly marked "BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page on each of 20 copies, and must be accompanied by a nonconfidential summary of the confidential information. The nonconfidential summary shall be placed in the file that is open to public inspection. Copies of the public version of the petition and other relevant documents are available for public inspection in the USTR Reading Room. An appointment to review the docket (Docket No. 301–111) may be made by calling Brenda Webb (202) 395-6186. The USTR Reading Room is open to the public from 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon and 1:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, and is located in Room 101. Irving A. Williamson,

Chairman, Section 301 Committee. [FR Doc. 97–6513 Filed 3–13–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3190–01–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

## Research, Engineering and Development (R,E&D) Advisory Committee

Pursuant to section 10(A)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463; 5 U.S.C. App. 2), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the FAA

Research, Engineering and Development Advisory Committee. The meeting will be held on April 8–9, 1997 at the Maritime Institute of Technology, 5700 Hammonds Ferry Road, Linthicum Heights, Maryland.

On Tuesday, April 8, 1997 the meeting will begin at 8:00 a.m. and end at 5:00 p.m. On Wednesday, April 9, 1997, the meeting will begin at 8:00 a.m. and end at 5:00 p.m. The meeting agenda will review the Federal Aviation Administration planned fiscal year 1999 research and development investments in the areas of air traffic services, airports, aircraft safety, security, human factors and environment and energy.

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space available. Persons wishing to attend the meeting or obtain information should contact Lee Olson at the Federal Aviation Administration, AAR–200, 800 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20591 (202) 267–7358.

Members of the public may present a written statement to the Committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC on March 10, 1997.

Andres G. Zellweger,

Director, Office of Aviation Research. [FR Doc. 97–6526 Filed 3–13–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at New Hanover International Airport, Wilmington, NC

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use the revenue from a PFC at New Hanover International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before April 14, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Atlanta Airports District Office, Campus Building, 1701 Columbia Avenue, Suite 2–260, College Park, GA 30337–2747.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must