

interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by the order or suspension agreement for which they are requesting a review (Interim Regulations, 60 FR 25130, 25137 (May 11, 1995)).

Therefore, for both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify for which individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin, and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Seven copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room B-099, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Enforcement, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with section 353.31(g) or 355.3(g) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the Federal Register a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation," for requests received by the last day of March 1997. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of March 1997, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute, but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: February 25, 1997.
Joseph A. Spetrini,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Group III.
[FR Doc. 97-5627 Filed 3-6-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

Determination Not To Revoke Antidumping Duty Orders and Findings Nor To Terminate Suspended Investigations

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Determination not to revoke antidumping duty orders and findings nor to terminate suspended investigations.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is notifying the public of its determination not to revoke the antidumping duty orders and findings nor to terminate the suspended investigations listed below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 7, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Panfeld or the analyst listed under Antidumping Proceeding at: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Commerce (the Department) may revoke an antidumping duty order or finding or terminate a suspended investigation, pursuant to 19 CFR § 353.25(d)(4)(iii), if no interested party has requested an administrative review for four consecutive annual anniversary months and no domestic interested party objects to the revocation or requests an administrative review.

We had not received a request to conduct an administrative review for the most recent four consecutive annual anniversary months. Therefore, pursuant to § 353.25(d)(4)(i) of the Department's regulations, on November 27, 1996, we published in the Federal Register a notice of intent to revoke these antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations and served written notice of the intent to each domestic interested party on the Department's service list in each case. Within the specified time frame, we received objections from domestic interested parties to our intent to revoke these antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations. Therefore, because domestic interested parties objected to our intent to revoke or terminate, we no longer intend to revoke

these antidumping duty orders and findings or to terminate the suspended investigations.

Antidumping Proceeding

A-351-602

Brazil

Certain Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings

Objection Date: December 12, 1996, December 13, 1996

Objector: Hackney Inc., Tube Forgings of America Inc., et al

Contact: Thomas Schauer at (202) 482-4852

A-428-062

Germany

Animal Glue and Inedible Gelatin

Objection Date: December 27, 1996

Objector: Hudson Industries

Corporation

Contact: Tom Killiam at (202) 482-2704

A-588-809

Japan

Business Telephone Systems

Objection Date: December 30, 1996

Objector: Lucent Technologies Inc.

Contact: Hermes Pinilla at (202) 482-4733

A-588-405

Japan

Cellular Mobile Telephones and Subassemblies

Objection Date: December 11, 1996

Objector: Motorola Inc.

Contact: Charles Riggle at (202) 482-0650

A-588-811

Japan

Drafting Machines and Parts Thereof

Objection Date: December 6, 1996

Objector: Vemco Corporation

Contact: Mathew Blaskovich at (202) 482-5831

A-588-068

Japan

Steel Wire Strand

Objection Date: December 18, 1996

Objector: Florida Wire & Cable

Company

Contact: Kris Campbell at (202) 482-3813

A-614-502

New Zealand

Low-Fuming Brazing Copper Rod & Wire

Objection Date: December 6, 1996

Objector: Copper & Brass Fabricators Council

Contact: Tamara Underwood at (202) 482-0197

A-583-508

Taiwan

Porcelain-On-Steel Cooking Ware

Objection Date: December 23, 1996

Objector: General Housewares

Corporation

Contact: Amy Wei at (202) 482-1131

Dated: February 26, 1997.

Richard W. Moreland,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for
AD/CVD Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 97-5628 Filed 3-6-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**Determination Not To Revoke
Antidumping Duty Orders and
Findings Nor To Terminate Suspended
Investigations**

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Determination not to revoke
antidumping duty orders and findings
nor to terminate suspended
investigations.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce
is notifying the public of its
determination not to revoke the
antidumping duty orders and findings
nor to terminate the suspended
investigations listed below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 7, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Michael Panfeld or the analyst listed
under Antidumping Proceeding at:
Import Administration, International
Trade Administration, U.S. Department
of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution
Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The
Department of Commerce (the
Department) may revoke an
antidumping duty order or finding or
terminate a suspended investigation,
pursuant to 19 CFR § 353.25(d)(4)(iii), if
no interested party has requested an
administrative review for four
consecutive annual anniversary months
and no domestic interested party objects
to the revocation or requests an
administrative review.

We had not received a request to
conduct an administrative review for
the most recent four consecutive annual
anniversary months. Therefore,
pursuant to § 353.25(d)(4)(i) of the
Department's regulations on January 6,
1997, we published in the Federal
Register a notice of intent to revoke
these antidumping duty orders and
findings and to terminate the suspended
investigations and served written notice
of the intent to each domestic interested
party on the Department's service list in
each case. Within the specified time
frame, we received objections from
domestic interested parties to our intent
to revoke these antidumping duty orders
and findings and to terminate the
suspended investigations. Therefore,
because domestic interested parties

objected to our intent to revoke or
terminate, we no longer intend to revoke
these antidumping duty orders and
findings or to terminate the suspended
investigations.

Antidumping Proceeding

A-351-603

Brazil
Brass Sheet and Strip
Objection Date: January 6, 1997
Objector: Copper & Brass Fabricators
Council, Inc.
Contact: Tom Killiam at (202) 482-
2704

A-122-605

Canada
Color Picture Tubes
Objection Date: January 31, 1997
Objector: AFL-CIO et al
Contact: Valerie Owenby at (202)
482-0145

A-559-601

Singapore
Color Picture Tubes
Objection Date: January 31, 1997
Objector: AFL-CIO et al
Contact: Michael Heaney at (202)
482-4475

A-791-502

South Africa
Brazing Copper Wire and Rod
Objection Date: January 6, 1997
Objector: Copper & Brass Fabricators
Council, Inc.
Contact: Valerie Owenby at (202)
482-0145

A-580-603

South Korea
Brass Sheet and Strip
Objection Date: January 6, 1997
Objector: Copper & Brass Fabricators
Council, Inc.
Contact: Tom Killiam at (202) 482-
2704

A-580-605

South Korea
Color Picture Tubes
Objection Date: January 17, 1997;
January 31, 1997
Objector: Thompson Consumer
Electronics, AFL-CIO et al
Contact: Tamara Underwood at (202)
482-0197

A-583-603

Taiwan
Stainless Steel Cooking Ware
Objection Date: January 9, 1997;
January 14, 1997; January 16, 1997
Objector: Regal Ware, Inc., Revere
Ware Corporation; Fair Trade
Committee of the Cookware
Manufacturers Association
Contact: Valerie Owenby at (202)
482-0145

A-122-701

Canada

Potassium Chloride
Objection Date: January 21, 1997;
January 30, 1997
Objector: Agrium (US), Inc.,
Mississippi Potash, Inc.
Contact: James Rice at (202) 482-1374

Dated: February 26, 1997.

Richard W. Moreland,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/
CVD Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 97-5629 Filed 3-6-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-421-805]

**Aramid Fiber Formed of Poly Para-
Phenylene Terephthalamide (PPD-T)
From the Netherlands; Preliminary
Results of Antidumping Administrative
Review**

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of
the antidumping duty administrative
review; Aramid fiber formed of poly
para-phenylene terephthalamide from
The Netherlands.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce
(the Department) is conducting an
administrative review of the
antidumping duty order on aramid fiber
formed of poly para-phenylene
terephthalamide (PPD-T aramid) from
the Netherlands in response to requests
by respondent, Akzo Nobel Aramid
Products, Inc. and Aramid Products
V.o.F. (Akzo) and petitioner, E.I. du
Pont de Nemours and Company. This
review covers sales of this merchandise
to the United States during the period
June 1, 1995 through May 31, 1996, by
Akzo Nobel V.o.F. The results of the
review indicate the existence of
dumping margins for the above period.

We invite interested parties to
comment on these preliminary results.
Parties who submit arguments are
requested to submit with the argument
(1) a statement of the issue and (2) a
brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 7, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Nithya Nagarajan at (202) 482-0193,
Eugenia Chu at (202) 482-3964, or Ellen
Knebel at (202) 482-1398, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, Room 7866, 14th Street and
Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington
D.C. 20230.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all
citations to the statute are references to
the provisions effective January 1, 1995,