SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) (7 U.S.C. 136p), the Administrator may, at her discretion, exempt a state agency from any registration provision of FIFRA if she determines that emergency conditions exist which require such exemption. The Applicants have requested the Administrator to issue specific exemptions for the use of metolachlor on spinach to control grasses and weeds. Information in accordance with 40 CFR part 166 was submitted as part of this request.

According to the Applicants, the discontinued production of diethatyl ethyl (Antor) by Nor-Am Chemical Company has left spinach growers without an efficacious registered alternative. Spinach is grown entirely on drained muck soil in Wisconsin. These high organic matter soils render the registered alternative, Roneet 6E ineffective as a pre-emergent treatment to control grasses and weeds. Roneet does not control the pineapple weed nor galinsoga weeds of Virginia. These weeds contaminate the spinach and cannot be economically removed by hand on cleaning belts in packing houses. Without the use of metolachlor, spinach growers could suffer significant economic losses.

Under the proposed exemptions, a maximum of one ground application at the rate of 2.00 pounds of active ingredient per acre will be used on 160 acres of spinach in Jefferson, Marquette, and Waushara counties in Wisconsin. A maximum of one pre-emergence application per crop (three harvest periods) at a rate of 0.75 to 1.0 pounds of active ingredient per acre by ground or air equipment will be made on 1,000 acres in Virginia.

This notice does not constitute a decision by EPA on the application itself. The regulations governing section 18 require publication of a notice of receipt of an application for a specific exemption proposing use of an emergency exemption which has been requested in any 3 previous years, and a complete application for registration of the use and/or a tolerance petition has not been submitted to the Agency [40 CFR 166.24 (a)(6)]. This is the fourth year this use has been requested under section 18 of FIFRA and no tolerance petition has yet to be submitted. According to applicants, IR-4 is planning to prepare a petition package in late 1996. Such notice provides for opportunity for public comment on the application.

A record has been established for this notice under docket number [OPP– 180995] (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI is available for inspection from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Room 1132 of the Public Response and Program Resource Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Electronic comments can be sent directly to EPA at:

opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

The official record for this notice, as well as the public version, as described above will be kept in paper form Accordingly, EPA will transfer all comments received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official record is the paper record maintained at the address in "ADDRESSES" at the beginning of this document. Accordingly, interested persons may submit written views on this subject to the Field Operations Division at the address above.

The Agency, accordingly, will review and consider all comments received during the comment period in determining whether to issue the emergency exemption requested by the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade, and Consumer Protection and the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, pesticides and pests, emergency exemptions.

Dated: February 22, 1996.

Peter Caulkins,

Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 96–4966 Filed 3–5–96 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

Sunshine Act Meeting; Proposed Related Services; Real Estate Brokerage, Farm Management, and Minerals Management

AGENCY: Farm Credit Administration.

ACTION: Public notice.

SUMMARY: On July 17, 1995, the Farm Credit Administration (FCA or Agency), published a request for public comments regarding an inquiry by a Farm Credit System (System or FCS) institution for approval to offer Real Estate Brokerage, Farm Management, and Minerals Management service programs as authorized "Related Services." The public comment period closed on September 15, 1995.

Subsequently, the request for approval by the FCS institution was withdrawn, and the Agency is no longer considering the request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Linda C. Sherman, Policy Analyst, Regulation Development, Office of Examination, Farm Credit Administration, McLean, VA 22102– 5090, (703) 883–4498, TDD (703) 883– 4444,

or

Gary K. Van Meter, Senior Attorney, Regulatory Operations Division, Office of General Counsel, Farm Credit Administration, McLean, VA 22102–5090, (703) 883–4020, TDD (703) 883–4444.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 17, 1995, the FCA requested public comment (60 FR 36415) on the following related services that were being contemplated under § 618.8000: Real Estate Brokerage Services, Farm Management Services, and Minerals Management Services.

The regulation at § 618.8000 requires a prior determination that any new services, not previously authorized and placed on the Related Services List (RS List), are legally authorized and do not present excessive risk to the requesting institution or the System as a whole. Because of the complex nature of these proposed services, the FCA solicited public comment prior to acting on the request, in accordance with the guidelines recently adopted in § 618.8010(b)(3).

Many comments were received from FCS institutions, real estate brokers, auctioneers, commercial bankers, and Members of Congress. However, before the Agency completed its deliberations, the FCS institution that had originally requested approval of real estate brokerage, farm management, and minerals management services withdrew its request. Because the request was withdrawn, approval of the three services and their addition to the RS List is no longer under consideration by the Agency.

Dated: March 4, 1996. Floyd Fithian, Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board. [FR Doc. 96–5421 Filed 3–4–96; 2:07 pm] BILLING CODE 6705–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 96-05]

Rose International, Inc. v. Overseas Moving Network International, et al.; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a complaint filed by Rose International, Inc. ("Complainant") against Overseas Moving Network International ("OMNI"), OMNI Shipping Services, Inc., American International, Inc., Cartwright International Van Lines, Inc., Crown Överseas Movers, Inc., Graebel Movers International, Inc., Movers International, Inc., Ocean-Air International, Inc., Sentry Household Shipping, Inc. and Victory Van Corporation (collectively designated "Respondents") was served February 29, 1996. Complainant alleges that Respondents have violated, and continue to violate, sections 10(a)(1), (b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), (b)(4), (b)(6), (b)(10),(b)(11), (b)(12) and (d)(1) of the Shipping Act of 1984, 46 U.S.C. app. §§ 1709(a)(1), (b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), (b)(4), (b)(6), (b)(10), (b)(11), (b)(12), and (d)(1), in connection with their activity and practices surrounding service contracts between OMNI and the Trans-Atlantic Conference Agreement, and its predecessor, the Trans-Atlantic Agreement.

This proceeding has been assigned to the office of Administrative Law Judges. Hearing in this matter, if any is held, shall commence within the time limitations prescribed in 46 CFR 502.61, and only after consideration has been given by the parties and the presiding officer to the use of alternative forms of dispute resolution. The hearing shall include oral testimony and crossexamination in the discretion of the presiding officer only upon proper showing that there are genuine issues of material fact that cannot be resolved on the basis of sworn statements, affidavits, depositions, or other documents or that the nature of the matter in issue is such that an oral hearing and crossexamination are necessary for the development of an adequate record. Pursuant to the further terms of 46 CFR 502.61, the initial decision of the presiding officer in this proceeding shall be issued by February 28, 1997, and the

final decision of the Commission shall be issued by June 30, 1997.

Joseph C. Polking,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–5143 Filed 3–5–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. § 1841 et seq.) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act, including whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices" § 1843). Any request for (12 U.S.C. a hearing must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal. Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than March 29, 1996.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (James A. Bluemle, Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690:

1. Home Financial Bancorp, Spencer, Indiana; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Owen Community Bank, s/b/, Spencer, Indiana.

Applicant also has applied to engage directly in making loans and other extensions of credit, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (John E. Yorke, Senior Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198:

I. Republic Bancshares, Inc., Natoma, Kansas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring at least 80 percent of the voting shares of United National Bank of Natoma, Natoma, Kansas.

C. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (Genie D. Short, Vice President) 2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201-2272

1. Medina Community Bancshares, Inc., Hondo, Texas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Community National Bank, Hondo, Texas.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 29, 1996.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.
[FR Doc. 96–5175 Filed 3–5–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6210–01–F

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 952-3388]

Georgetown Publishing House Limited Partnership; Georgetown Publishing, Inc.; Daniel Levinas; Consent Agreement With Analysis To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Consent agreement.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair acts and practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent agreement, accepted subject to final Commission approval, would prohibit the Washington, D.C.-based publishing firm from misrepresenting that an advertisement is an independent review or article, or that it is not a paid advertisement. The consent agreement settles allegations that Georgetown used