

Commenters who want receipt of their comments acknowledged should include a self-addressed, stamped envelope. All comments must be postmarked or delivered by hand by March 29, 1996. No facsimiles (faxes) will be accepted.

EPA will also accept comments electronically. Comments should be addressed to the following Internet address: ow-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Electronic comments will be transferred into a paper version for the official record. EPA will attempt to clarify electronic comments if there is an apparent error in transmission. Comments provided electronically will be considered timely if they are submitted electronically by 11:59 p.m. (Eastern time) March 29, 1996. EPA is experimenting with electronic commenting, therefore commenters may want to submit both electronic comments and duplicate paper comments. This document has also been placed on the Internet for public review and downloading at the following location: gopher.epa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information on Form 2A and municipal wastewater permitting issues in this notice, contact Robin Danesi, (202) 260-2991, Permits Division (4203), United States Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street S.W., Washington, D.C., 20460.

For information on Form 2S and sewage sludge permitting issues in this notice, contact Wendy Bell, (202) 260-9534, Permits Division (4203), United States Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street S.W., Washington, D.C., 20460. Copies of the proposed rulemaking can be obtained from the National Center for Environmental Publications and Information, Cincinnati, Ohio, (800) 553-6847, document number 833-Z-95-006.

Dated: February 26, 1996.

Robert Perciasepe,

Assistant Administrator for Water.

[FR Doc. 96-4831 Filed 3-1-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 96-15, RM-8748]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Barron, WI

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition filed by Barron Broadcasting Corporation requesting the allotment of Channel 256A at Barron, Wisconsin, as that community's first local FM broadcast service. Canadian concurrence will be requested for this allotment at coordinates 45-24-00 and 91-51-12.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before April 22, 1996, and reply comments on or before May 7, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, as follows: Steven T. Moravec, Barron Broadcasting Corporation, 1407 Sumner Street, Suite 200, St. Paul, Minnesota 55116-2645.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 96-15, adopted February 5, 1996, and released February 28, 1996. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037, (202) 857-3800.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73
Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 96-4877 Filed 3-1-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 96-14, RM-8746]

Television Broadcasting Services; Memphis, TN

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition by Cossitt Library d/b/a Memphis Shelby County Public Library seeking the allotment of UHF TV Channel 56 to Memphis, Tennessee, and its reservation for noncommercial educational use. Channel *56 can be allotted to Memphis in compliance with the minimum distance separation requirements of Sections 73.610 and 73.698 of the Commission's Rules without the imposition of a site restriction. The coordinates for *56 are 35-08-58 and 90-02-56. Memphis is not affected by the Commission's temporary freeze on new television allotments in certain metropolitan areas.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before April 22, 1996, and reply comments on or before May 7, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Matthew H. McCormick, Reddy, Begley & McCormick, 1001 22nd Street, NW., Suite 350, Washington, DC 20037 (Counsel for petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 96-14, adopted February 5, 1996, and released February 28, 1996. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 96-4875 Filed 3-1-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research and Special Programs Administration

49 CFR Parts 192, 193, and 195

[Docket No. PS-143]

RIN 2137-AC74

Periodic Updates to the Pipeline Safety Regulations

AGENCY: Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This NPRM proposes to update the references to voluntary specifications and standards to reflect more recently published editions of each document. Many referenced standards currently cited in the code are outdated. This NPRM would enable pipeline operators to utilize current technology, materials, and practices, thereby reducing costs and enhancing economic growth. This is consistent with the President's goals of regulatory reinvention and improvement of customer service to the American people. In addition, this NPRM proposes to eliminate the requirements for odorization of hydrogen transmission lines. The purpose of this proposal is to eliminate unnecessary regulatory burdens without compromising safety.

DATES: Comments to this NPRM are due on or before April 3, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding this NPRM should be sent to the RSPA dockets office, attention Verrell Simpkins, room 8421, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 7th Street SW., Washington, DC. 20590.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eben M. Wyman, (202) 366-0918, regarding the subject matter of this Notice; or the Dockets Unit, (202) 366-4453, for copies of this Notice or other material in the docket.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Regulatory Reinvention Initiative

In March of 1995, President Clinton issued a memorandum to heads of departments and agencies calling for a review of all agency regulations and elimination or revision of those that are outdated or in need of reform. The "Regulatory Reinvention Initiative" (RRI) was a Presidential directive requiring Federal regulatory agencies, among other things, to conduct a page-by-page review of all agency regulations, cutting or revising those that were obsolete, intrusive, or better handled by parties other than the Federal government (i.e., private business, State or local government).

RSPA has reviewed the pipeline safety regulations and is making changes and revisions where deemed appropriate. In addition, RSPA conducted three outreach meetings in 1995 in Dallas, TX, Lakewood CO, and Houston, TX in support of the President's goal of enhancing partnership with the pipeline industry. Comments received in these outreach meetings and in the RRI docket have resulted in the proposals in this NPRM.

Incorporations by Reference

RSPA has reviewed the voluntary consensus standards currently referred to in the pipeline safety regulations and in its appendices, and proposes to update the various voluntary consensus standards that are cited throughout 49 CFR Parts 192, 193, and 195. The respective organizations responsible for producing these standards often update or revise them to better suit the needs of changing pipeline systems.

Parts 192, 193, and 195 incorporate by reference all or portions of over 40 different documents or their equivalent containing practices, codes, standards, and specifications developed and published by technical organizations, including the American Petroleum Institute, American Gas Association, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, American Society of Civil Engineers, American Concrete Institute,

American Society of Testing and Materials, International Conference of Building Officials, Manufacturers Standardization Society of the Valve and Fittings Industry, and National Fire Protection Agency. Many of the editions currently referenced are now out of print or obsolete. Later published editions of these documents utilize or focus on up-to-date technology. Pipeline operators could be unnecessarily burdened with design and construction requirements that are referred to in earlier editions.

To avoid these burdens and allow operators to benefit from various technological improvements in materials and methods, this NPRM proposes to update references to these outdated documents where the latest editions have been reviewed and accepted by OPS. The later editions referenced are set forth by name and date in the proposed amendments to appendices A and B of Part 192, appendix A of Part 193, and Part 195 (§ 195.3). The order and appearance in the CFR of the consensus standards would remain unchanged. Only the year representing the edition of the document would be revised. In addition to the proposed incorporating of current standards, some minor conforming amendments are also proposed.

The address for the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) has changed. The correct address is: 100 Barr Harbor Drive, Conshohocken, PA, 19428. Parts 192 and 195 will be amended to reflect this change.

Section 192.63(a)(1) would be revised to refer to the 1995 edition of ASTM D 2513, replacing the 1987 edition.

Section 192.189(c) would be amended by correcting the reference to the National Electric Code. The "C1" nomenclature identifies the electrical code committee within the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), but is in no way related to identifying the code itself. The correct reference is "ANSI/NFPA 70", and would be so amended under the proposed rulemaking.

Requirement to Odorize Hydrogen Transmission Pipelines

In support of the President's goal to eliminate obsolete and unnecessary regulations, RSPA proposes to amend 49 CFR 192.625 to eliminate the odorization requirement for hydrogen transmission lines in cases where the odorization interferes with industrial end uses. Hydrogen pipelines that were operating without an odorant before May 5, 1975, are already exempt from the odorization requirement.