below. These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

The dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter/manufacturer	Margin percentage
The Japan Steel Company	118.53
All others	118.53

The all others rate applies to all entries of subject merchandise except for entries from exporters that are identified above.

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of our determination. If our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine before the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after our final determination whether these imports are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry.

Public Comment

In accordance with 19 CFR 353.38, case briefs or other written comments in at least ten copies must be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration no later than March 1, 1996, and rebuttal briefs, no later than March 8, 1996. A list of authorities used and a summary of arguments made in the briefs should accompany these briefs. The summary must be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. In accordance with 19 CFR 353.38, we will hold a public hearing, if requested, to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on arguments raised in case or rebuttal briefs. Tentatively, the hearing will be held at 10 a.m. Tuesday March 12, 1996, at the U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3606, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230. Parties should confirm by telephone the time, date, and place of the hearing 48 hours before the scheduled time.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room B–099, within ten days of the publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. In accordance with 19 CFR 353.38(b), oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If this investigation proceeds normally, we will make our final determination by May 1, 1996.

This determination is published pursuant to section 733(f) of the Act.

Dated: February 22, 1996.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–4548 Filed 2–27–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A–122–823]

Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Canada: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Revocation in Part of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review, and revocation in part of antidumping duty order.

SUMMARY: On August 19, 1993, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published an antidumping duty order on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products and certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Canada. On November 30, 1995, the Department simultaneously initiated a changed circumstances antidumping administrative review and issued the preliminary results of this review expressing an intent to revoke the order in part. We are now revoking this order in part, with regard to certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate free of Cobalt-60 and other radioactive nuclides (Cobalt-60 free carbon steel plate), based on the fact that domestic parties have expressed no interest in the importation or sale of Cobalt-60 free cut-to-length carbon steel plate produced in Canada.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 28, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Trentham or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–5253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 3, 1995, Sidbec-Dosco Inc. (Sidbec-Dosco) and Canberra Industries, Inc., (Canberra) requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances administrative review to determine whether to partially revoke the order with regard to Cobalt-60 free cut-to-length carbon steel plate. The order with regard to imports of other cut-to-length carbon steel plate was not affected by this request. In addition, on November 13, 1995, the petitioners informed the Department in writing that they did not object to the changed circumstances review and had no interest in the importation or sale of Cobalt-60 free cut-to-length carbon steel plate produced in Canada.

We preliminarily determined that petitioner's affirmative statement of no interest constitutes good cause for conducting a changed circumstances review. Consequently, on November 30, 1995, the Department published a notice of initiation and preliminary result of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review to determine whether to revoke this order in part (60 FR 61537). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of this changed circumstances review. We received no comments.

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this changed circumstance review includes cut-to-length carbon steel plate meeting the following criteria: (1) 100% dry steel plates, virgin steel, no scrap content (free of Cobalt-60 and other radioactive nuclides); (2) .290 inches maximum thickness, plus 0.0, minus .030 inches; (3) 48.00 inch wide, plus .05, minus 0.0 inches; (4) 10 foot lengths, plus 0.5, minus 0.0 inches; (5) flatness, plus/minus 0.5 inch over 10 feet; (6) AISI 1006; (7) tension leveled; (8) pickled and oiled; and (9) carbon content, .03 to .08 (max). This merchandise is currently classified under subheading HTS 7208.43.0000. The HTS numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description of the scope of these reviews remains dispositive.

This changed circumstance administrative review covers all manufacturers/exporters of Cobalt 60 free cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Canada.

Final Results of Review; Partial Revocation of Antdumping Duty Order

The affirmative statement of no interest by petitioners in this case constitutes changed circumstances sufficient to warrant partial revocation of this order. Therefore, the Department is partially revoking this order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Canada with regard to Cobalt 60 free cut-to-length carbon steel plate, from Canada in accordance with sections 751 (b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the

Act) and 19 CFR 353.25(d)(1). This partial revocation applies to all entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 1, 1995, the beginning date of the third administrative review period (August 1, 1995–July 31,1996) if initiated.

The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to proceed with liquidation, without regard to antidumping duties, of all unliquidated entries of Cobalt 60 free cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 1, 1995. The Department will further instruct Customs to refund with interest any estimated duties collected with respect to unliquidated entries of Cobalt 60 free cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 1, 1995, in accordance with Section 778 of the Act.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protection orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This changed circumstances administrative review, partial revocation of the antidumping duty order and notice are in accordance with sections 751 (b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Act and sections 353.22(f) and 353.25(d) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: February 21, 1996.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–4547 Filed 2–27–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION

[A–475–818]

Notice of Amended Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Pasta From Italy

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 28, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Brinkmann or Michelle Frederick, Office of Antidumping Investigations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5288 or (202) 482–0186, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Rounds Agreements Act (URAA).

Scope of Investigation

The scope of this investigation consists of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds (or 2.27 kilograms) or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastases, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by this scope is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons or polyethylene or polypropylene bags, of varying dimensions.

Excluded from the scope of this investigation are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non-egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg white.

The merchandise under investigation is currently classifiable under item 1902.19.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Case History

On December 14, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) made its affirmative preliminary determination of sales at less than fair value (*Preliminary Determination*) in the above-referenced investigation (61 FR 1344, January 19, 1996). We disclosed our calculations for the preliminary determination on January 18 and 22, 1996, to the respondents and petitioners, respectively, pursuant to their requests. We disclosed our calculations to Pastificio Guido Ferrara (Ferrara), an interested party in the investigation on January 25, 1996. After publication of the preliminary determination, the petitioners, Ferrara, and two of the respondents De Matteis Agroalimentare S.p.A. (De Matteis), and La Molisana Industrie Alimentari S.p.A. (La Molisana) alleged that the Department made ministerial errors in calculating the preliminary margins. We have determined that ministerial errors were made with regards to the following respondents.

Arrighi

We agree that a ministerial error was made with regard to the calculation of the weighted-average percent margin. We also agree that a ministerial error was made with regard to the interest expense used for constructed value. (For specific details of this and the other allegations and our analysis of them, see Memorandum from the Team to Barbara R. Stafford dated February 9, 1996.)

Delverde

We agree with the petitioners that we made ministerial errors with respect to the cost of manufacture and the difference in merchandise adjustment for certain U.S. products. With regard to the calculation of U.S. packing expenses, we also agree with the petitioners' allegation that a ministerial error was made.

De Matteis

We agree with De Matteis' allegation that we inadvertently excluded a number of U.S. sales and double-counted its yield for purchased semolina.

Pagani

We agree with the petitioners' allegations that we inadvertently discarded certain sales although not for the reason alleged by the petitioners. We also agree that we miscoded one variable, excluded one variable from the price strings in our calculations, treated some of Pagani's expenses as if they were denominated in Italian lira, and used an incorrect programming statement which inadvertently caused some U.S. sales not to match to a normal value.

Amendment of Preliminary Determination

The Department has stated that it will amend a preliminary determination only to correct for significant ministerial errors (*i.e.*, corrections that result in a difference of five absolute percentage points and that are at least 25 percent greater or less than the preliminary margin, and corrections resulting in a margin of zero or de minimis). *See, Notice of Amendment to Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination: Bicycles From the*