the Information Collection Request (ICR) described below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for an expedited review. As a result of the Government shutdown in December, the Office of Prevention. Pesticides and Toxic Substances (OPPTS) was unable to complete and forward a request to renew the approval of this Information Collection Request (ICR) in a timely manner. In order to minimize a lapse in OMB approval, and given the continuing nature of the ICR, as well as the absence of any issues, OPPTS is requesting an expedited review and approval for this ICR. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected cost and burden; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument. A Federal Register notice proposing this submission and seeking public comments on this ICR was published on September 29, 1995 (60 FR 50568). EPA did not receive any comments in response to that notice. DATES: Comments must be submitted on

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before March 24, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY CALL:

Sandy Farmer at EPA, 202–260–2740, and refer to EPA ICR No. 1031.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: TSCA Section 8(c) Health and Safety Data Reporting Rule (OMB Control No. 2070–0017, EPA ICR No. 1031). This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection which expires on February 28, 1996.

Abstract: Section 8(c) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) requires companies that manufacture, process, or distribute chemicals to maintain records of significant adverse reactions to health or the environment alleged to have been caused by such chemicals. Since section 8(c) includes no automatic reporting provision, EPA can obtain and use the information contained in company files only by inspecting those files or requiring reporting of records that relate to specific substances of concern. Therefore, under certain conditions, and using the provisions found in 40 CFR part 717, EPA may require companies to report such allegations to the Agency.

EPA uses such information on a casespecific basis to corroborate suspected adverse health or environmental effects of chemicals already under review by EPA. The information is also useful to identify trends of adverse effects across the industry that may not be apparent to any one chemical company.

Responses to the collection of information are mandatory (see 40 CFR part 717). Respondents may claim all or

part of a notice confidential. EPA will disclose information that is covered by a claim of confidentiality only to the extent permitted by, and in accordance with, the procedures in TSCA section 14 and 40 CFR part 2.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to range between 0.25 hours and 8.0 hours per response, depending upon the requirements that the collection places on each respondent. This estimate includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information. No person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are displayed in 40 CFR Part

Respondents/Affected Entities: Those that manufacture, process, import or distribute in commerce chemical substances or mixtures.

Estimated No. Of Respondents: 7,397. Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 30,287 hours.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion.

Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1031 and OMB Control No. 2070–0017 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Information Policy Branch (2136), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460

and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: February 15, 1996.

Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division. [FR Doc. 96–4145 Filed 2–22–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

[ER-FRL-5413-7]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared February 05, 1996 Through February 09, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19047).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-L65173-ID

Rating LO, Lower South Fork Salmon River Post-Fire Project, Fire-Killed and Imminently Dead Timber Harvesting, Implementation and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Payette National Forest, Mc Call Ranger District, Idaho and Valley Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA's abbreviated review revealed no concerns with the proposed project.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65247-AK

Rating EC2, Lab Bay Project Area Timber Harvest, Implementation, COE Section 404, EPA NPDES and Coast Guard Bridge Permits Issuance, Thorne Bay Ranger District, Ketchikan Administrative Area, Tongass National Forest, Prince of Wales Island, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the direct and cumulative impacts to water quality from construction and operation of a new LTF on the west side of Thorne Island, and the continued operation of LTFs existing. The Final EIS should address site specific bark accumulation and potential impacts to the marine environment.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65249-AK

Rating EO2, Northwest Baranof Timber Sale (s), Implementation, NPDES, Coast Guard Bridge, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Tongass National Forest, Sitka Ranger District, Baranof Island, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections based on water quality impacts, especially to impaired waters and riparian areas. The final EIS should address effects to degraded waters, effects of timber harvest and road construction, the Fish and Wildlife Service dive survey information for LTF sites, and the

effects of logging camp and LTF removal.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65250-ID

Rating LO, White Sand Planning Area Ecosystem Management Project, Implementation, Clearwater National Forest, Powell Ranger District, Idaho County, ID.

Summary: EPA's abbreviated review has revealed no concerns with the proposed project.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65252-OR

Rating EC2, Hoodoo Master Plan, Plan of Operation Approval and Special-Use-Permit Issuance, Willamette National Forest, McKenzie Ranger District, Linn County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential impacts to air and water from land-use under the proposed expansion. The final EIS needs to further characterize these impacts and appropriate mitigation measures.

ERP No. D-BLM-L60102-OR

Rating EC2, Tucker Hill Perlite Quarry Project, Implementation, Mining Plan of Operation, Approval, Town of Lakeview, Lake County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding tribal issues and noise impacts to this site and suggests additional mitigation measures be included in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-COE-K36114-CA

Rating EC2, Magpie Creek Channel Section 205 Flood Control Investigation Project, Improvements, Implementation, National Economic Development Plan and Levee Plan, NPDES Permit Issuance, McCellan Air Force Base, City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over the lack of information regarding potential non-structural alternatives to increase the level of flood protection. EPA also requested additional discussion or data in the final EIS regarding air quality impacts and mitigation.

ERP No. D-UAF-C11011-NY

Rating EC2, Griffis Air Force Base (AFB) Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Oneida County, NY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the reuse plans for Installation Restoration Program sites and potential contamination issues. EPA also noted discrepancies regarding the extent of wetlands at the site. Accordingly, additional information must be provided in the final EIS.

ERP No. DS-FHW-E40010-SC

Rating EO2, Mark Clark Expressway Facility Construction, Sam Rittenberg Boulevard (SC-7) to Folly Road (SC-171) crossing the Stono River, Updated Information concerning the last portion of the Charleston Inner Belt Freeway, Funding and COE Sections 404 and 10 Permits and US Coast Guard Bridge Permit Issuance, Chareston County, SC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objection due to the potential estuarine and freshwater wetland impact. EPA requested that the final EIS contain an acceptable mitigation plan.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L65237-ID

Thunderbolt Wildfire Recovery Project, Implementation, Boise and Payette National Forests, Valley County, ID.

Summary: EPA continues to find the proposed project environmentally unsatisfactory due to the potential adverse impacts to water quality and the spawning and rearing habitat for the federally endangered Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon in the South Fork Salmon river. EPA will continue to work with the USFS and follow the results of any monitoring efforts.

ERP No. F-DOE-L05212-WA

Columbia Wind Farm #1 Project, Construction and Operation of a 25 Megawatt (MW) Wind Power Project in the Columbia Hills Area, Conditional-Use-Permit, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Klickitat County, WA.

Summary: EPA had no comment to the proposed action. Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary.

ERP No. F-FHW-B40065-RI

Quonset Point/Davisville Industrial Park Highway Access Improvement, RI– 4 Freeway between North Kingstown and East Greenwich, Funding, Kent and Washington Counties, RI.

Summary: EPA expressed concern regarding longterm maintenance of stormwater management structures and water quality monitoring.

ERP No. F-FHW-L40185-WA

WA-520 Corridor Improvements, Construction and Reconstruction, between 104th Avenue N.E. and West Lake Sammamish Parkway (Formerly WA-901), Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, the Cities of Bellevue and Redmond, King County, WA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS. Dated: February 20, 1996.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–4153 Filed 2–22–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

[ER-FRL-5413-6]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed February 12, 1996 Through February 16, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 960076, Draft EIS, NPS, CA, Manzanar National Historic Site (NHS), General Management Plan, Implementation, Inyo County, CA, Due: April 23, 1996, Contact: Dan Olson (415) 744–3968.

EIS No. 960077, Draft EIS, COE, NC, Cape Fear-Northeast Cape Fear Rivers Feasibility Study for Deepening of the Wilmington Harbor Ship Channel, Navigation Improvement, New Hanover and Brunswick Counties, NC, Due: April 08, 1996, Contact: Frank Yelverton (910) 251–4640.

EIS No. 960078, Final EIS, AFS, ID, Secesh River Subdivision Access Roads, Implementation, Special-Use-Permit, Idaho County, ID, Due: March 25, 1996, Contact: Randy Swick (208) 634–0400.

EIS No. 960079, Draft EIS, BLM, NM, Copper Flat Mining Project, Construction and Operation of New Ore Facilities, Hillsboro Mining District, Sierra County, NM, Due: April 15, 1996, Contact: Russell Jentgen (505) 525–4351.

EIS No. 960080, Draft EIS, AFS, OR, ID, Hells Canyon National Recreation Area (HCNRA), Comprehensive Management Plan, Implementation, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest, Nez Perce and Payette National Forests, Bake and Wallowa Counties, OR and Nez Perce and Adam Counties, ID, Due: May 23, 1996, Contact: Kurt Wiedenmann (541) 523–1296.

EIS No. 960081, Final EIS, AFS, WA, First Creek Basin Restoration Project, Implementation, Wenatchee National Forest, Chelan Ranger District, Chelan County, WA, Due: March 25, 1996, Contact: John Lampereur (509) 682– 2576.

EIS No. 960082, Final EIS, BLM, MT, WY, Express Crude Oil Pipeline Project, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Issuance of Right-of-