

3. Williams Energy Services Company  
[Docket No. ER95-305-004]

Take notice that on December 4, 1995, Williams Energy Service Company tendered for filing a Notice of Succession in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* January 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Rig Gas Inc., Texas-Ohio Power Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-480-003, Docket No. ER94-1676-005 (Not Consolidated)]

Take notice that the following information filings have been made with the Commission and are on file and available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room:

On December 11, 1995, Rig Gas Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's March 16, 1995, order in Docket No. ER95-480-000.

On December 12, 1995, Texas-Ohio filed certain information as required by the Commission's October 31, 1994, order in Docket No. ER94-1676-000.

5. PacifiCorp Power Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1096-000]

Take notice that on December 14, 1995, PacifiCorp Power Marketing, Inc., tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* January 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Northwest Regional Transmission Association

[Docket No. ER96-384-000]

Take notice that on November 14, 1995, Northwest Regional Transmission Association tendered for filing a Notice of Withdrawal in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* January 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Utility Management and Consulting Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-525-000]

Take notice that on December 18, 1995, Utility Management and Consulting Inc. supplemented its earlier filing in this docket.

*Comment date:* January 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. City of College Station, Texas

[Docket No. TX96-2-000]

Take notice that on December 15, 1995, the City of College Station, filed with the Commission an application

requesting that the Commission order the City of Bryan, Texas (Bryan) and the Texas Municipal Power Agency (TMPA) to provide transmission services pursuant to Sections 211 and 212 of the Federal Power Act, as amended.

The name of the affected parties are as follows:

Affected State Regulatory Authority: Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Affected Federal power marketing agency: None.

Affected Electric Utilities:

City of Bryan, Texas  
Texas Municipal Power Agency  
Texas Utilities Electric Company  
Texas Municipal Power Pool  
Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.  
Public Utilities Board of the City of Brownsville, Texas  
Lower Colorado River Authority  
Medina Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.  
Texas-New Mexico Power Company  
South Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc.  
West Texas Utilities Company  
Central Power & Light Company  
City of Austin, Texas  
City Public Service Board of San Antonio, Texas  
Houston Lighting & Power Company

College Station currently receives wholesale electric service at points of delivery (PODs) on the transmission systems of Bryan and TMPA, all located within the load control area of the Texas Municipal Power Pool (TMPP). College Station seeks transmission services from Bryan and TMPA for the delivery of power and energy from the bulk power facilities of Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric) to the PODs located at the transmission substations of College Station. In order to implement such service, College Station's load must be transferred from the TMPP control area and added to TU electric's control area by means of remote control telemetry equipment.

The proposed date for initiating the requested transmission service is January 1, 1996. Termination of service will be coincident with the term of College Station's Power Supply Agreement with TU electric (up to 10 years).

The transmission service being requested by College Station is firm transmission service over the Bryan and TMPA transmission systems at a level and quantity sufficient for College Station to meet its loads at the PODs, estimated to be approximately 128 MW during 1996.

*Comment date:* January 22, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a

motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-31548 Filed 12-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket Nos. CP95-668-000 and CP95-668-001]

**CNG Transmission Corporation and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Notice of Availability of the Environmental Assessment for the Proposed South Oakford Project**

December 26, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) has prepared an environmental assessment (EA) on the natural gas facilities proposed by CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG) and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern) in the above-referenced dockets.

The EA was prepared to satisfy the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. The staff concludes that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate mitigating measures, would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

The EA assesses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the South Oakford Project. The proposed facilities include:

- 10,000 hp of electric motor-driven compression and related facilities at the South Oakford Compressor Station in Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania;
- A pig receiver and barrel drip at the Earhart Gate;
- 3,158 feet of 30-inch-diameter storage suction pipeline (Line JP-296) between the South Oakford Compressor Station and the South Oakford Gate;
- 3,158 feet of 16-inch-diameter storage discharge pipeline (Line JP-297) between the South Oakford Compressor Station and the South Oakford Gate;

- A drip on the new suction pipeline; and

- Facilities to interconnect new Lines JP-296 and JP-297 to existing Lines JP-250 and JP-40, respectively, at the South Oakford Gate.

The EA also addresses the potential environmental effects of the proposed abandonment of facilities including:

- All buildings, parking lots, driveways, equipment, piping, and 7,980 horsepower (hp) of compression at the Jeannette Compressor Station;
- A pig receiver and barrel drip at the Huff Gate near the Jeannette Compressor Station (to be removed and installed at Earhart Gate);
- 75 feet of Line JP-40 within the Earhart Gate; and
- A 20-inch mainline gate setting (250-IM) for Line JP-250 at the Earhart Gate.

The purpose of the proposed facilities would be to improve safety, reliability, and flexibility in the operation of the Oakford Storage Field. There would be no increase in the amount of gas stored in the Oakford Storage Field as a result of construction of the proposed facilities. Presently, the Jeannette Compressor Station delivers gas out of the Oakford Storage Field, recovers migrating gas, and re-injects recovered gas into the storage pool. With the addition of the proposed compression and related facilities at the existing South Oakford Compressor Station, the recovery operation performed by the Jeannette Compressor Station would continue with facilities consolidated at one location.

The EA has been placed in the public files of the FERC and is available for public inspection at: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208-1371.

Copies of the EA have been mailed to Federal, State and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, newspapers, and parties to this proceeding.

A limited number of copies of the EA are available from: Ms. Jennifer Goggin, Environmental Project Manager, Environmental Review and Compliance Branch II, Office of Pipeline Regulation, 888 First Street NE., PR 11.2, Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208-2226.

Any person wishing to comment on the EA may do so. Written comments must reference Docket No. CP95-668-000 and be addressed to: Office of the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Comments should be filed as soon as possible, but must be received no later

than January 26, 1996, to ensure consideration prior to a Commission decision on this proposal. A copy of any comments should also be sent to Ms. Jennifer Goggin, Environmental Project Manager, at the above address.

Comments will be considered by the Commission but will not serve to make the commentor a party to the proceeding. Any person seeking to become a party to the proceeding must file a motion to intervene pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214).

The date for filing timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not need intervenor status to have your comments considered.

Additional information about this project is available from Ms. Jennifer Goggin, Environmental Project Manager. Lois D. Cashell,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-31532 Filed 12-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 961-0014]

### Johnson & Johnson; Consent Agreement With Analysis To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent agreement.

**SUMMARY:** In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair acts and practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent agreement, accepted subject to final Commission approval, would require the New Brunswick, New Jersey-based manufacturer of health care products to divest the Cordis Neuroscience Business, which develops cranial shunts used in the treatment of hydrocephalus. The Commission had alleged that Johnson & Johnson's acquisition of Cordis Corporation would reduce competition in the market for neurological shunts by giving two firms control of 85 percent of the market.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before March 4, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 6th St. and Pa. Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20580.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ann Malester, Federal Trade Commission, S-2035, 6th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326-2682. Michael R. Moiseyev, Federal Trade Commission, S-2025, 6th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20580. (202) 326-3106.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the following consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of sixty (60) days. Public comment is invited. Such comments or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

### Agreement Containing Consent Order

The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission"), having initiated an investigation of the proposed merger of Johnson & Johnson, a corporation, and Cordis Corporation ("Cordis"), a corporation, and it now appearing that Johnson & Johnson, hereinafter sometimes referred to as "Proposed Respondent," is willing to enter into an agreement containing an order to divest certain assets, and providing for certain other relief:

It is hereby agreed by and between Proposed Respondent Johnson & Johnson, by its duly authorized officers and attorneys, and counsel for the Commission that:

1. Proposed Respondent Johnson & Johnson is a corporation organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the state of New Jersey with its principal executive offices located at One Johnson & Johnson Plaza, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08933.

2. Proposed Respondent admits all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the draft of complaint here attached.

3. Proposed Respondent waives:

- a. Any further procedural steps;
- b. The requirement that the

Commission's decision contain a statement of findings of fact and conclusions of law;

c. All rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the order entered pursuant to this agreement; and

d. Any claims under the Equal Access to Justice Act.