& ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

OTHER#S ER96–1663, 000, PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

ORDER ON APPLICATION RELATED TO CALIFORNIA RESTRUCTURING.

OIL AND GAS AGENDA

I. PI

PIPELINE RATE MATTERS

PR-1.

RESERVED

PIPELINE CERTIFICATE MATTERS PC-1.

RESERVED

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–30010 Filed 11–20–96; 11:05 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5475-1]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed November 11, 1996 Through November 15, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 960532, FINAL EIS, FHW, PA, Erie East Side Access Study, Transportation Improvement, PA–4034, Section A40, COE Section 404 Permit, Erie County, PA, Due: December 23, 1996, Contact: Manuel A. Mark (717) 782–3461.

EIS No. 960533, FINAL SUPPLEMENT, FHW, TX, TX-161 Construction, Updated Information on I-20 to TX-183, Funding, Coast Guard Section 10 Permit and Possible COE Section 404 Permit, Cities of Grand Prairie and Irving, Dallas County, TX, Due: December 23, 1996, Contact: Peter A. Lombard (817) 978-3646.

EIS No. 960534, FINAL EIS, NPS, WA, Elwha River Ecosystem Restoration Implemention Project, Olympic National Park, Clallam County, WA, Due: December 23, 1996, Contact: Brian Winter (360) 452–0302.

EIS No. 960535, FINAL EIS, FRC, WA, Condit Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 2342–005), Relicensing, White Salmon River, Klickitat and Skamania Counties, WA, Due: December 23, 1996, Contact: John Blair (202) 219– 2845. EIS No. 960536, DRAFT EIS, FTA, MD, Glen Burnie Light Rail Extension, Transportation Improvement, between Cromwell Station Stop to the Glen Burnie Town Center, Central Light Rail Line (CLRL), Funding, Anne Arundel and Baltimore Counties, MD, Due: January 10, 1997, Contact: Alfred Lebeau (215) 656–6900.

EIS No. 960537, FINAL EIS, FHW, CA, Arden Garden Connector Project, Arden Way in North Sacramento to Garden Highway in South Natomas across the Natomas East Main Drainage Canal, Funding, Sacramento County, CA, Due: December 23, 1996, Contact: John R. Schultz (916) 498– 5041.

EIS No. 960538, FINAL EIS, USN, Naval Spent Nuclear Fuel Container System Management, Loading, Handling and Dry Storage, Transportation and Storage, Handling and Transportation of certain Associated Radioactive Waste, Implementation, United States, Due: December 23, 1996, Contact: William Knoll (703) 602–8229.

EIS No. 960539, FINAL EIS, DOE, Adoption—Naval Spent Nuclear Fuel Container System Management, Loading, Handling and Dry Storage, Transportation and Storage, Handling and Transportation of certain Associated Radioactive Waste, Implementation, United States, Due: December 23, 1996, Contact: William Knoll (703) 602-8229. The US Department of Energy (DOE), has adopted the US Department of the Navy's FEIS #960538, filed with the Environmental Protection Agency on 11–15–96. DOE is a cooperating agency on this project. Recirculation of the document is not necessary under Section 1506.3(c) of the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations.

EIS No. 960540, DRAFT EIS, COE, IA, Channel Maintenance Management Plan, Implementation, 9-Foot Navigation Channel Project, Upper Mississippi River, Guttenberg, IA, Due: January 21, 1997, Contact: Robert Whiting (612) 290–5264.

EIS No. 960541, FINAL EIS, BIA, NM, Jemez Mountains Electric Cooperative, Construction, Operation and Maintain, El Rancho Substation, Sante Fe County, NM, Due: December 23, 1996, Contact: Curtis Canard (505) 766–3374.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 960359, DRAFT EIS, BLM, ID, Challis Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Upper Columbus—Salmon Clearwater Districts, Salmon River, Lemhi and Custer Counties, ID, Due: January 06, 1997, Contact: Kathe Rhodes (208) 756–5440.

Published FR 08–09–96—Review Period Extended.

EIS No. 960403, DRAFT EIS, NPS, MA, Cape Cod National Seashore General Management Plan, Implementation, Barnstable County, MA, Due: November 30, 1996, Contact: Maria Burks (508) 349–3785.

Published FR—09–06–96—Review Period Extended.

EIS No. 960459, DRAFT EIS, FAA, MO, Lambert-St. Louis International Airport (Lambert) Improvements, Construction and Operation, Airport Layout Plan Approval, City of St. Louis, St. Louis County, MO, Due: December 18, 1996, Contact: Ms. Moira Keane (816) 426–4731.

Published FR—10–04–96—Review Period extended.

EIS No. 960482, DRAFT EIS, NPS, WA, Lake Crescent Management Plan, Implementation, Olympic National Park, WA, Due: February 03, 1997, Contact: Joe Dunstan (206) 220–4273.

Published FR—10–18–96—Review Period Extended.

EIS No. 960503, DRAFT EIS, FTA, TX, North Central Corridor Light Rail Transit (LRT) Extension, Transportation Improvements, Funding, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Dallas and Collin Counties, TX, Due: December 09, 1996, Contact: Jesse Balleza (817) 860–9663.

Published FR—10–25–96 Correction to Telephone Number.

EIS No. 960519, DRAFT EIS, UAF, CA, NM, Airborne Laser (ABL) Phase Program Definition and Risk Reduction Phase, Proposed Locations: Home Base Edwards Air Force Base; Diagnostic Test Range—White Sands Missile Range, NM; and Expanded Area Test Range—Western Range (Vandenberg Air Force Base and Point Mugu Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division), CA and NM, Due: January 10, 1997, Contact: Major Kark Freeks (703) 695–8942.

Published FR—11-08-96—Correction to EIS Titled and Due Dated.

EIS No. 960523, FINAL EIS, COE, FL, Coast of Florida Erosion and Storm Effects Study Region III, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Shore Protection Project, Palm Beach, Broward and Dade Counties, FL, Due: December 16, 1996, Contact: Michael Dupes (904) 232–1689.

Published FR—11–15–96—Due Date Correction.

EIS No. 960524, FINAL EIS, AFS, CA, Snowy Trail Off-Highway Vehicle Re-Route, Smith Fork Parcel of Los Padres National Forest, Approval and Implementation, Mount Pinos Ranger District, Ventura County, CA, Due: December 16, 1996, Contact: Mark Bethke (805) 245–3731.

Published FR—11–15–96—Due Date Correction.

EIS No. 960529, FINAL EIS, FRC, WA, Cushman Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 460), Relicensing, North Fork Skokomish River, Mason County, WA, Due: December 16, 1996, Contact: John Blair (202) 219–2845.

Published FR—11–15–96 Due Date Correction.

EIS No. 960531, FINAL EIS, DOE, TN, GA, TX, SC, MO, Programmatic EIS-Stockpile Stewardship and Management Project, Reduced Nuclear Weapons Stockpile in the Absence of Undergound Testing, Eight Sites: Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR), Savannah River Site (SRS), Kansas City Plant (KCP) Pantex Plant, Los Alamos Nat'l Lab., Lawrence Livermore Nat'l Lab., Sandia Nat'l and Nevada Test, Due: December 16, 1996, Contact: Alfred W. Feldt (202) 586–5449.

Published FR—11–15–96—Due Date Correction.

Dated: November 19, 1996.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–29917 Filed 11–21–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

[ER-FRL-5475-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared October 28, 1996 Through November 1, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 5, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-G65065-AR Rating LO, Renewal of the Shortleaf Pine/Bluestem Grass Ecosystem and Recovery of the Red-cockaded Woodpecker, Amendment No. 22 to the Ouachita National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Scott and Polk Counties, AR.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative described in the draft EIS.

ERP No. D-COE-E30038-FL Rating EC2, Coast of Florida Erosion and Storm Effects Study Region III, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Shore Protection Project, Palm Beach, Broward and Dade Counties, FL.

Summary: EPA expressed some environmental concerns regarding the long-term consequences of how these actions will affect the ecology of the Florida shoreline.

ERP No. D-COE-K36117-CA Rating EC2, Kaweah River Basin Investigation Feasibility Study, Flood Protection of Terminus Dam, Increase Storage Space in Lake Kaweah for Irrigation of Water Supply, Construction, Modification and Operation, San Joaquin Valley, Tulare and King Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern with proposed mitigation and recommended selection of the Locally Preferred Plan (LPP) alternative which would address these adverse impacts while meeting project purposes. EPA also expressed concern with the potential adverse impact to riparian and oak woodland/savannah habitat.

ERP No. D-COE-K39044-CA Rating EC2, Norco Bluffs Bank Stabilization Measures, Implementation, Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, National Economic Development, Santa Ana River, City of Norco, Riverside County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential impacts associated with herbicides, consistency with applicable water quality protection requirements.

ERP No. D-DOE-C06012-NY Rating EO2, West Valley Demonstration Project for Completion and Western New York Nuclear Service Center Closure or Long-Term Management, Appalachian Plateau, City of Buffalo, NY.

Summary: EPA had environmental objections to this project because of the limited information concerning site contamination, clean-up levels, waste disposal, ground and surface water radiation risk assessment, and institutional controls. Additional information is requested in the final EIS to address these items. A follow up meeting has also been requested.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–BLM–J65198–WY, Green River Resource Area Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Rock Springs District, Sweetwater, Fremont, Uinta, Sublette and Lincoln Counties, WY. *Summary:* EPA expressed no comments or concerns.

ERP No. F-COE-E01002-NC, Texasgulf Open Pit Mine Continuation, Construction and Operation, Permit Approval, Pamlico River, Aurora, Beaufort County, NC.

Summary: EPA supported mining configuration Alternatives D, E-1, and E-2 because these minimize impacts on wetlands of special concern. The upfront mitigation being provided is satisfactory pending resolution of some technical wetland issues. EPA would object to Alternatives a, B {the applicant's choice}, and C, as these would destroy most of the wetlands of special concern.

ERP No. F-COE-E32193-00, Savannah Harbor Navigation Project, Operation and Maintenance, Long Term Management Strategy Study, Chatham County, GA and Jasper County, SC.

Summary: Because operational changes to the Savannah Harbor are so comprehensive. EPA continued to have some concerns regarding the long-term consequences of how all of the proposed elements of the management plan will be coordinated and subsequently function.

ERP No. F-COE-G36146-LA, Amite River and Tributaries Flood Control Project, Implementation, East Baton Rouge Parish Watershed, Florida Parishes, LA.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the selection of the preferred alternative.

ERP No. F-FRC-L05213-WA, Rocky Reach Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 2145) Operating License Amendment Issuance to Increase Lake Entiat Reservoir, Chelan and Douglas Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA concurs with the Final EIS's conclusion that amending the license for the Rocky Reach project under the applicant's proposal and the FERC staff's two alternatives would not adequately protect Mid-Columbia River salmon stocks. EPA supports FERC's selection of the no-action alternative as the preferred alternative.

ERP No. FB-COE-E36013-MS, Mississippi River and Tributaries Flood Control Plan, Big Sunflower River Maintenance Project, Yazoo Basin, Sunflower, Washington, Humphreys, Sharkey and Yazoo Counties, MS.

Summary: EPA expressed concern over the environmental consequences associated with channelizing over one hundred miles of streams in the Big Sunflower watershed. EPA also suggested that a non-structural approach may provide needed flood protection with minimal environmental impacts.