

The petitioners argue that the adjustment for taxes referenced by Ferbasa is relevant only in price-to-price comparisons. In so far as Department practice will require significant changes in the margin calculations which will result in a price to CV comparison, the petitioners contend that the issue is moot and need not be considered by the Department.

Department's Position: We agree with petitioners that as a result of corrections and changes to our calculation of COP, our margin calculations have been based on a price to CV comparison. Therefore, the issue of deducting IPI taxes from home market prices need not be addressed in this notice.

Comment 18: Ferbasa argues that the Department, in its calculation of NV, failed to offset the U.S. commissions by an amount of home market indirect selling expenses and inventory carrying costs even though no commissions were paid for home market sales of ferrosilicon, but a commission was paid for the U.S. sale. Citing § 353.56(c) of the Department's regulations, Ferbasa contends that where a commission is paid in one market and not in the other market, the commission should be offset by the sum of the indirect selling expenses and inventory carrying costs incurred in the other market up to the lesser of the commission or the selling expenses/inventory carrying costs. Finally, Ferbasa argues that the Department should correct this oversight for the final results of review by applying its indirect selling expense ratio against gross unit prices less the IPI tax.

Petitioners argue that Ferbasa's contentions regarding the commission offset are incorrect. Petitioners suggest that since Ferbasa stated that its reported indirect selling expenses reconcile to its financial statements and its financial accounting system does not reflect any taxes, home market indirect selling expenses should be calculated using gross unit price reduce by *all* taxes.

Department's Position: We agree with Ferbasa that in the preliminary results margin calculations the Department inadvertently did not make an offsetting adjustment to NV for the commission incurred on the U.S. sale of ferrosilicon. We have corrected this oversight for these final results of review. However, we also agree with petitioners that it appears that Ferbasa calculated its indirect selling expense and inventory carrying cost ratios against a sales value that was exclusive of both IPI and ICMS taxes. Therefore, we have calculated this adjustment by applying the combined indirect selling and inventory carrying

cost ratios to home market prices that are net of both of these taxes.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, we determined that the following margins exist for the period August 16, 1993 through February 28, 1995:

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Margin (per-cent)
Companhia de Ferro Ligas da Bahia	00.05

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between U.S. price and NV may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirement will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise from Brazil entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in previous reviews or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the rate published in the most recent final results or determination for which the manufacturer or exporter received a company-specific rate; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, an earlier review, or the LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the manufacturer of the merchandise in the final results of this review, earlier review or the LTFV investigation, whichever is the most recent; and, (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will be 35.95 percent, the "all others" rate established in the antidumping duty order (59 FR 11769, March 14, 1994).

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement

could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of the APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: November 4, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-29936 Filed 11-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[A-580-825]

Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods Other Than Drill Pipe From Korea; Notice of Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 22, 1996.

SUMMARY: On September 17, 1996, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the Federal Register (61 FR 48882) a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain oil country tubular goods other than drill pipe from Korea, covering the period February 2, 1995, through July 31, 1996. This review has now been terminated as a result of the withdrawal of the request for administrative review by the interested party.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacqueline Wimbush, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-1394.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On August 30, 1996, SeAH Steel Corporation ("SeAH"), a manufacturer of merchandise subject to this order, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order of SeAH from Korea, pursuant to section 19 CFR 353.22(a) (1994) of the Department's regulations. The period of review is February 2, 1995 through July 31, 1996. On September 17, 1996, the Department published in the Federal Register (61 FR 48882) a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain oil country tubular goods other than drill pipe from Korea, covering the period February 2, 1995 through July 31, 1996.

Termination of Review

On October 21, 1996, we received a timely request for withdrawal of the request for administrative review from SeAH. Because there were no other requests for administrative review from any other interested party, in accordance with § 353.22(a)(5) of the Department's regulations, we have terminated this administrative review.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: November 15, 1996.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 96-29941 Filed 11-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-485-602]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished or Unfinished, From the Republic of Romania; Amendment of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Import Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amendment of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On October 2, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished or unfinished, (TRBs) from Romania. The review covered eight companies and the period June 1, 1994

through May 31, 1995. Based on the correction of ministerial errors made in the margin calculation in those final results, we are publishing this amendment to the final results in accordance with 19 CFR 353.28(c).

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 22, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karin Price or Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-4733.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Background

On October 2, 1996, the Department published in the Federal Register (61 FR 51427) the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on TRBs from Romania (52 FR 23320, June 19, 1987). On October 7, 1996, we received a timely allegation from respondent, Tehnoimportexport, S.A. (TIE), that the Department made ministerial errors in the final results. The petitioner, The Timken Company, has not responded to these allegations.

In its final results, the Department used information from a publicly available summarized version of selling, general, and administrative (SG&A) expenses from two Thai bearing companies used in the 1988-1990 administrative review of antifriction bearings from Romania. TIE alleges that the Department failed to exclude from the surrogate value for SG&A expenses the Thai sales business tax incurred only on home-market sales; failed to exclude from the surrogate SG&A rate freight costs incurred on one type of sale; and used an improper formula to weight average the SG&A expenses between the two types of sales made by the Thai companies. We agree with TIE that we made ministerial errors with regard to the Thai business tax and the freight costs, and have amended our final results for these ministerial errors. However, we disagree with TIE that the other alleged error is ministerial, and

have not amended our final results for such claimed error. For further discussion, see *Decision Memorandum to Joseph A. Spetrini, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III*, dated November 1, 1996, "Decision Memorandum Regarding the Ministerial Error Allegation in the 1994-1995 Administrative Review of Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished or Unfinished, from Romania," which is on file in the Central Records Unit (room B-099 of the Main Commerce Building).

Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of our correction of the ministerial errors, we have determined the margin to be:

Manufacturer/ exporter	Time period	Margin (per- cent)
Romania Rate	6/1/94-5/31/95	7.67

The Department will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of amended final results for all shipments of TRBs from Romania entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for TIE and all other exporters will be 7.67 percent; and (2) for non-Romanian exporters of subject merchandise from Romania, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Romanian supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d)(1). Timely