

Description: The contingent work supplement work will gather information on the number and characteristics of workers holding jobs expected to last for a limited time (contingent employment). In addition, the supplement will collect information about workers in several alternative employment arrangements.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Title: Annual Plans for State Employment Service Activities.

OMB Number: 1205-0209.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 54.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 90 hours.

Total Burden Hours: 4,860.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: 0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): 0.

Description: Public Law 97-300, amendments to the Wagner-Peyser Act, and 20 CFR Part 652 require States to submit plans concerning operations and expenditures prescribed by the Secretary of Labor.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Title: Characteristics of the Insured Unemployed.

OMB Number: 1205-0009.

Frequency: Monthly.

Affected Public: State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 53.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 20 minutes.

Total Burden Hours: 212.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: 0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$90,000.

Description: This report is the only source of current, consistent demographic information (age, rate/ethnic, sex, occupation, industry) on the UI claimant population. These characteristics identify claimant cohorts for legislative, economic and social planning purposes and evaluation of the Unemployment Insurance program on the Federal and State levels.

Theresa M. O'Malley,

Acting Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-28789 Filed 11-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of October, 1996.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) that a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) that sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-32,633; *Holiday Hosiery, Inc., Hudson, NC*

TA-W-32,744; *UNIFI, Inc., Spun Yarns Div., Mount Pleasant, NC*

TA-W-32,693; *Decatech Innovations (Formerly Marion Manufacturing), Marion, NC*

TA-W-32,641; *Robinson Manufacturing Co., Oxford, ME*

TA-W-32,641A; *Kezar Falls Woolen Co., Kezar Falls, ME*

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-32,666; *Speco Corp., Springfield, OH*

TA-W-32,694; *Amtrol/Clayton Mark, Inc., Rogers, AR*

TA-W-32,725; *Wea Manufacturing, Inc., Olyphant, PA*

TA-W-32,709; *Penn Mould Industries, Inc., Washington, PA*

TA-W-32,821; *W.R. Grace & Co—Conn, Grace Construction Products, Fire Protection, New Castle, PA*

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-32,732; *Hotsy Equipment Co., Boyertown, PA*

TA-W-32,647 &; *Erling Riis Research Laboratory, Mobile, AL and Bel Air Complex, Mobile, AL*

TA-W-32,606; *Bonaventure Textiles USA, New York, NY*

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-32,685; *W.W. Henry Co., South River, NJ*

TA-W-32,671; *Dico Tire, Inc., Clinton, TN*

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) and criteria (3) have not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification. Increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have not contributed importantly to the separations or threat thereof, and the absolute decline in sales or production.

TA-W-32,716; *Tetra/Second Nature, A Div. of Warner-Lambert Co., Oakland, NJ*

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) and criteria (2) have not been met. A significant number or proportion of the workers did not become totally or partially separated as required for certification. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

TA-W-32,673; *Precision Machining and Polishing, Milwaukee, WI: August 12, 1995*

TA-W-32,697; *Creative Apparel, Inc., Pollstown, PA and Primrose, PA: August 9, 1995*

TA-W-32,728; *ASARCO Inc., TMD New Market Mill and Mine, Strawberry Plains, TN: August 23, 1995*

TA-W-32,731; *Douglas Randall, Inc. A/K/a Crydom Corp., A Subsidiary of Silicon Power Corp., Pawcatuck, CT: August 23, 1995*

TA-W-32,812; *Petersburg Garment Co., Petersburg, WV: September 27, 1995*

TA-W-32,783; *Hudson RCI, Temecula, CA: September 11, 1995*

TA-W-32,687; *William Rifkin & Sons, Philadelphia, PA: August 14, 1995*
 TA-W-32,680; *Florence Eiseman, Inc., Fon Du Lac, WI: August 7, 1995*
 TA-W-32,678; *Modular Devices, Inc., Torrance, CA: August 12, 1995*
 TA-W-32,668; *Vanco Industries, Inc., Eutaw, AL: July 29, 1995*
 TA-W-32,688; *North American Refractories Co., Womelsdorf, PA: August 13, 1995*
 TA-W-32,663; *Cameron Converting, Inc., Elizabethtown, NC: July 16, 1995*
 TA-W-32,723; *Foseco, Inc., Mt. Braddock, PA: August 26, 1995*
 TA-W-32,700; *Summit Technology, Inc., Waltham, MA: August 15, 1995*
 TA-W-32,657 & A; *Forstmann & Co., Inc., New York, NY and Carpini USA Division of Forstmann & Co., Inc., New York, NY: August 5, 1995*
 TA-W-32,696; *Hodge Apparel, Inc., Harrisville, WV: August 6, 1995*
 TA-W-32,674; *Artistic Creations, Roselle, NJ: July 20, 1995*
 TA-W-32,645; *Elkem Metals Co., Niagara Falls, NY: August 6, 1995*
 TA-W-32,642; *Springs/Dundee Bath Fashions Group, Dadeville, AL: July 30, 1995*
 TA-W-32,653; *Premier Edible Oils Corp., Portland, OR: August 5, 1995*
 TA-W-32,652; *The Chas. H. Lilly Co., Portland, OR: July 29, 1995*
 TA-W-32,650; *Wilson Automation Div. of Newcor, Inc., Warren, MI: August 2, 1995*
 TA-W-32,724; *Camco Products & Services, Anchorage, AK: August 22, 1995*
 TA-W-32,626; *Devro-Teepak, Inc., Columbia, SC and Danville, IL: July 26, 1995*
 TA-W-32,677; *Jete' LLC, Jump Apparel Co., New York, NY: August 6, 1995*
 TA-W-32,734 & A; *Tell City Chair Co., Tell City, IN & Leitchfield, KY: August 20, 1995*
 TA-W-32,714 & A; *Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Topeka, KS and Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Logistic Center, Topeka, KS: August 28, 1995*

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (P.L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with Section 250(a) Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the month of October, 1996.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a

certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) that a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) that sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) that imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases in imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) that there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm of subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-01232; *Hoskins Manufacturing Co., New Paris, IN*
 NAFTA-TAA-01221; *UNIFI, Inc., Spun Yarns Div., Mount Pleasant, NC*
 NAFTA-TAA-01227; *Ozark Quilt Supply, Winona, MO*
 NAFTA-TAA-01220; *Trinity Industries, New Ondon, MN*
 Washington

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

NAFTA-TAA-01234; *Philip Environmental, Inc., Georgetown Facility, Seattle, WA*

The investigation revealed that the workers of the subject firm did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name & location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

NAFTA-TAA-01246; *Hudson RCI, Temecula, CA: September 17, 1995*
 NAFTA-TAA-01269; *Syborn International, d/b/a Kerr Manufacturing, Massena, NY: October 8, 1995*
 NAFTA-TAA-01198; *Modular Devices, Inc., Torrance, CA: August 12, 1995*
 NAFTA-TAA-01203; *Rohm and Haas Co., Philadelphia, PA: August 7, 1995*
 NAFTA-TAA-01230; *Pendleton Wollen Mills, Inc., Portland, OR: August 26, 1995*
 NAFTA-TAA-01249; *R & G Sloane Manufacturing Co., Inc., Little Rock, AR: September 30, 1995*
 NAFTA-TAA-01181; *Premier Edible Oils Corp., Portland, OR: August 9, 1995*
 NAFTA-TAA-01257; *Aalfs Manufacturing, Inc., Texarkana, AR: September 18, 1995*
 NAFTA-TAA-01256; *Johnson and Johnson, Personal Products Co., North Little Rock, AR: March 3, 1997*
 NAFTA-TAA-01229; *Amana Refrigeration, Inc., Delaware, OH: August 27, 1995*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of October, 1996. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-4318, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: October 28, 1996.

Russell T. Kile,
 Program Manager, Policy & Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96-28788 Filed 11-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-32,603]

Allergan, Inc., Spincast Division, Waco, TX; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application postmarked October 11, 1996, one of the petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding worker eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance. The denial notice was signed on September 26, 1996 and published in the Federal Register on October 16, 1996 (61 FR 53936).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances: