

USEPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the Direct Final rule which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register. Copies of the request and the EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the following address: USEPA, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590. (Please telephone Michael G. Leslie at (312) 353-6680 before visiting the Region 5 office.)

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: January 23, 1996.

Valdas V. Adamkus,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-3329 Filed 2-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[MA42-1-7174b; A-1-FRL-5329-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Massachusetts; Automotive Refinishing

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. This revision establishes and requires VOC emission standards for automotive refinishing coatings. In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule.

If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this proposal. Any parties interested in commenting on this proposal should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 15, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Susan Studlien, Deputy Director, Office of Ecosystems Protection, U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, JFK Federal Bldg., Boston, MA 02203. Copies of the State submittal and EPA's technical support document are available for public inspection during normal business hours, by appointment at the Office of Ecosystems Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, 10th floor, Boston, MA and the Division of Air Quality Control, Department of Environmental Protection, One Winter Street, 8th Floor, Boston, MA 02108.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeanne Cosgrove, (617) 565-3246.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: October 6, 1995.

John P. DeVillars,
Regional Administrator, EPA New England.

[FR Doc. 96-3238 Filed 2-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[NE-9-1-7220b; FRL-5409-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Delegation of 112(l) Authority; Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department and City of Omaha (Nebraska)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the state of Nebraska on behalf of the two local air pollution control agencies. The state has an approved program (published in the Federal Register on January 4, 1995), and the local agencies have adopted the state's regulatory framework. The state has made this request so that the local agencies may issue Federally enforceable Class II permits as an alternative to Title V.

Like the state's program, this SIP revision includes the creation of a Class II operating permit program and adopts the state's Part D (nonattainment) new source review rule changes, SO₂ rule corrections, and provisions for compliance and enforcement information. In the final rules section of the Federal Register, the EPA is approving this revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale

for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule.

If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn, and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by March 15, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Christopher D. Hess, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher D. Hess at (913) 551-7213.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the Federal Register.

Dated: December 7, 1995.

William Rice,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-3234 Filed 2-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 95-9-7273b; FRL-5411-2]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, San Diego County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing a limited approval and limited disapproval of revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from kelp processing and bio-polymer manufacturing operations.

The intended effect of proposing limited approval and limited disapproval of this rule is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is finalizing a simultaneous limited approval and limited disapproval of the state's SIP

revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this limited approval and limited disapproval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by March 15, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule and EPA's evaluation report of the rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule are also available for inspection at the following locations:

San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, 9150 Chesapeake Drive, San Diego, CA 92123-1096

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia A. Bowlin, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1188.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns San Diego County Air Pollution Control District Rule 67.10, Kelp Processing and Bio-Polymer Manufacturing Operations, submitted to EPA on July 13, 1994 by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: January 16, 1996.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-3232 Filed 2-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-W

40 CFR Part 180

[PP-9F3798/P642; FRL-5349-1]

RIN 2070-AC18

Lactofen; Pesticide Tolerance

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to renew a time-limited tolerance for residues of the herbicide lactofen, 1-(carboethoxy)ethyl-5-[2-chloro-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy]-2-nitrobenzoate, and its metabolites containing the diphenyl ether linkage on the raw agricultural commodity (RAC) cottonseed at 0.05 part per million (ppm). The tolerance would establish the maximum permissible level of residues of the herbicide in or on this RAC. The Valent USA Corp. requested this tolerance pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA). The time-limited tolerance would expire on December 31, 1996.

DATES: Comments identified by the docket number, [PP 9F3798/P642], must be received on or before March 15, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments by mail to: Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC. In person, bring comments to: Public Docket, Rm. 1132, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Information submitted as a comment concerning this document may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as "Confidential Business Information" (CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures as set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the comment that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential will be included in the public docket by EPA without prior notice. The public docket is available for public inspection in Rm. 1132 at the above address, from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Comments and data will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect in 5.1

file format or ASCII file format. All comments and data in electronic form must be identified by the docket number, [PP 9F3798/P642]. No CBI should be submitted through e-mail. Electronic comments on this proposed rule may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries. Additional information on electronic submissions can be found in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION unit of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Joanne I. Miller, Product Manager (PM 23), Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location, telephone number, and e-mail address: Rm. 237, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA, (703)-305-6224; e-mail: miller.joanne@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Federal Register of June 14, 1990 (55 FR 24084), EPA established a time-limited tolerance under section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a) for residues of the herbicide lactofen, 1-(carboethoxy)ethyl-5-[2-chloro-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy]-2-nitrobenzoate, and its associated metabolites containing the diphenyl ether linkage in or on the raw agricultural commodity (RAC) cottonseed at 0.05 ppm. This tolerance was requested by Valent U.S.A. Corp., 1333 North California Blvd., P.O. Box 8025, Walnut Creek, CA 94596-805, and establishes the maximum permissible level for residues of the herbicide in or on this RAC.

The tolerance was issued as a time-limited tolerance because EPA required animal metabolism studies and additional information on the cottonseed processing study. EPA's review of the processing study resulted in a preliminary determination that concentration does not occur in processed food, but additional information on the study was required to confirm that determination. Information was submitted and the determination was confirmed. The animal metabolism studies were required to determine the likelihood of secondary residues in meat, fat, milk, poultry, and eggs.

The animal metabolism studies were received at the Agency in September 1992 and placed into review. The Agency completed an evaluation of the animal metabolism studies in March 1993, and concluded that the nature of the residue in animals was tentatively adequately understood. For the purposes of this tolerance with an expiration date, the Agency determined