for adequacy and appropriateness, make recommendations to the Secretary and Administrator, and to serve as information focal point for those persons seeking to erect memorials on Federal land in the National Capital Area.

The members of the Commission are as follows:

Director, National Park Service Chairman, National Capital Planning Commission

The Architect of the Capitol

Chairman, American Battle Monuments Commission

Chairman, Commission of Fine Arts Mayor of the District of Columbia Administrator, General Services Administration

Secretary of Defense

The purpose of the meeting will be to discuss currently authorized and proposed memorials in the District of Columbia and environs.

The meeting will be open to the public. Any person may file with the Commission a written statement concerning the matters to be discussed. Persons who wish to file a written statement or testify at the meeting or who want further information concerning the meeting may contact the Commission at 202-619-7097. Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection 4 weeks after the meeting at the Office of Stewardship and Partnerships, National Capital System Support Office, 1100 Ohio Drive, SW., Room 220, Washington, D.C., 20242.

Dated: October 17, 1996.

Terry R. Carlston,

Acting Field Director, National Capital Area. [FR Doc. 96–27751 Filed 10–29–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before October 19, 1996. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, D.C. 20013–7127. Written

comments should be submitted by November 14, 1996.

Carol D. Shull.

Keeper of the National Register.

COLORADO

Garfield County

Cardiff Coke Ovens, Co. Rt. 116, approximately 1.5 mi. S. of Glenwood, Glenwood Springs vicinity, 96001331

FLORIDA

Dade County

Fuchs Bakery (Homestead MPS), 102 S. Krome St., Homestead, 96001335 Lindeman—Johnson House (Homestead MPS), 906 N. Krome Ave., Homestead, 96001332

Leon County

Averitt—Winchester House, W side of FL 59, S of jct. with Moccasin Gap—Cromartie Rd., Miccosukee, 96001336

Orange County

Tilden, Luther F., House, 940 Tildenville School Rd., Winter Garden, 96001337

Palm Beach County

Pahokee High School, 360 Main St., Pahokee, 96001334

Volusia County

Cypress Street Elementary School (Daytona Beach MPS), 900 Cypress St., Daytona Beach, 96001333

GEORGIA

Bulloch County

Savannah Avenue Historic District, Along Savannah Ave. and E. Grady St. between S. Crescent Cir., Statesboro, 96001339

Fulton County

College Park Historic District, Roughly bounded by Vesta Ave., Yale Ave., Madison St., Harris St., and Washington Rd., College Park, 96001338

Jenkins County

Downtown Millen Historic District, Along Cotton Ave. roughly bounded by N. Hendrix St., E. Winthrope Ave., N. Masonic St., and the RR line, Millen, 96001340

ILLINOIS

Alexander County

McClure, Thomas J. and Caroline, House, Main St., .5 mi. E of IL 3, McClure, 96001341,

Lake County

Armour, Philip D., III, House, 900 Armour Dr., Lake Bluff, 96001342

KENTUCKY

Boone County

Crisler—Gulley Mill, Camp Ernst Ln., approximately .5 mi. NW of jct. with Camp Ernst Rd., Burlington vicinity, 96001347

Bourbon County

Sugar Grove, 573 Clay—Kiser Rd., Paris vicinity, 96001346

Woodlawn, Peacock Rd., approximately 2 mi. N of Paris, Paris vicinity, 96001345

Franklin County

Archeological Site 15 FR 368 (Boundary Increase), Address Restricted, Frankfort vicinity, 96001348

Hardin County

West Point Historic District (Hardin County MRA)

Roughly bounded by the Salt River, 2nd, South, 13th, Mulberry, and Elm Sts., West Point, 96001344

Warren County

Cave Spring Farm, Rocky Hill Rd., approximately .5 mi. NE of Smiths Grove, Smiths Grove vicinity, 96001343

MARYLAND

Carroll County

Lineboro Historic District, Main Street from Church to Mill Sts., Lineboro, 96001350

Baltimore Independent City

Cedar Grove, 301 Kendall Rd., Baltimore, 96001349

MINNESOTA

St. Louis County

Fujita, Jun, Cabin, Eastern tip of Wendt Island, approximately 30 mi. E of Ranier, Voyageurs National Park, Ranier vicinity, 96001351

MISSISSIPPI

Alcorn County

Corinth National Cemetery (Civil War Era National Cemeteries MPS), 1551 Horton St., Corinth, 96001352

NEBRASKA

Platte County

Columbus Commercial Historic District, Roughly bounded by 11th and 14th Sts. and 23rd and 28th Aves., Columbus, 96001353

NEW YORK

Kings County

Stuyvesant Heights Historic District (Boundary Increase), Roughly, Decatur St. from Tompkins to Lewis Aves., Brooklyn, 96001355

New York County

St. Michael's Church, 225 W. 99th St., New York, 96001354

TENNESSEE

Clay County

Free Hills Rosenwald School, Free Hills Rd., E of TN 52, Free Hill, 96001360

Haywood County

Woodlawn Baptist Church and Cemetery, Woodlawn Rd., E of TN 19, Nutbush vicinity, 96001358

Sumner County

Cairo Rosenwald School, Zieglers Fort Rd., approximately 2.5 mi. S of TN 25, Cairo, 96001359 White County

Sperry—Smith House, 121 Maple St., Sparta, 96001357

TEXAS

De Witt County

Municipal Power Plant, 810 Front St., Yoakum, 96001356

WISCONSIN

Grant County

Central House Hotel, 1005 Wisconsin Ave., Boscopel, 96001361

[FR Doc. 96–27750 Filed 10–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Public Comments and Plaintiff's Response; United States of America v. American Skiing Company and S–K–I Limited

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16 (b)–(h), that Public Comments and Plaintiff's Response have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States* v. *American Skiing Company and S–K–I Limited*, Civ. Action No. 96–01308.

On June 11, 1996, the United States filed a Complaint seeking to enjoin a transaction in which American Skiing Company ("ASC") agreed to acquire S-K-I Limited ("S-K-I"). ASC and S-K-I are the two largest owner/operators of ski resorts in New England, and this transaction would have combined eight of the largest ski resorts in this region. The Complaint alleged that the proposed acquisition would substantially lessen competition in providing skiing to eastern New England and Maine skiers in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1.

Public comment was invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments, and the responses thereto, are hereby published in the Federal Register and filed with the Court. Brochures, newspaper clippings and miscellaneous materials appended to the Public Comments have not been reprinted here, however they may be inspected with copies of the Complaint, Stipulation, proposed Final Judgment, Competitive Impact Statement, Public Comments and Plaintiff's Response in Room 3233 of the Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, Tenth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530 (telephone:

202–633–2481) and at the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Third Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001.

Copies of any of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

United States of America, Plaintiff, v. American Skiing Company, and S-K-I Limited, Defendants.

[Civil Action No.: 96-01308-TPJ]

United States' Response to Public Comments

Pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h))(the "Tunney Act"), the United States responds to the public comments received regarding the proposed Final Judgment in this case.

I. Background

The United States filed a civil antitrust Complaint on June 11, 1996, alleging that the proposed acquisition of the ski resorts of S-K-I Limited ("S-K-I'') by American Skiing Company ("ASC") would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18. The Complaint alleged that ASC and S-K-I were the two largest owner/operators of ski resorts in New England, and that the proposed transaction would combine eight of the largest ski resorts in this region. In particular, the acquisition would substantially increase the concentration among ski resorts to which eastern New England residents (i.e., those in Maine, eastern Massachusetts and Connecticut, and Rhode Island) practicably can go for weekend ski trips, and among those to which Maine residents practicably can go for day ski trips. As a result, this acquisition threatened to raise the price of, or reduce discounts for, weekend and day skiing to consumers living in those areas in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act.

At the same time the Complaint was filed, the United States also filed a proposed settlement that would permit ASC to complete its acquisition of S–K–I's ski resorts, but also require certain divestitures that would preserve competition for skiers in eastern New England and Maine. This settlement consists of a Stipulation and a proposed Final Judgment.

The proposed Final Judgment orders the parties to sell all of S–K–I's rights, titles, and interests in the Waterville Valley resort in Campton, New Hampshire, and all of ASC's rights, titles, and interests in the Mt. Cranmore

resort in North Conway, New Hampshire, to one or more purchasers who have the capability to compete effectively in the provision of skiing for eastern New England and Maine skiers at Waterville Valley and Mt. Cranmore. The Stipulation and proposed Final Judgment also impose a hold separate agreement that requires defendants to ensure that, until the divestiture mandated by the proposed Final Judgment has been accomplished, S-K-I's Waterville Valley and ASC's Mt. Cranmore operations will be held separate and apart from, and operated independently of, defendants' other assets and businesses, and be preserved and maintained as saleable and economically viable, ongoing concerns, with competitively sensitive business information and decision-making divorced from that defendants' other ski resorts.

A Competitive Impact Statement ("CIS"), explaining the basis for the complaint and proposed consent decree in settlement of the suit, was filed on June 18, 1996, and subsequently published for comment, along with the Stipulation and proposed Final Judgment, in the Federal Register on June 28, 1996 (61 FR 33765–33774), as required by the Tunney Act. The CIS explains in detail the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment, the nature and purpose of these proceedings, and the proposed acquisition alleged to be illegal.

The United States, ASC, and S–K–I stipulated that the proposed Final Judgment may be entered after compliance with the Tunney Act. The plaintiff and defendants have now, with the exception of publishing the comments and this response in the Federal Register, completed the procedures the Tunney Act requires before the proposed Final Judgment can be entered.¹ The sixty-day period for public comments expired on August 27, 1996. As of October 1, 1996, the United States had received 98 comments.

The comments, which are collected in the Appendix to this Response,² came from a variety of sources. The most comprehensive comment was submitted by the Mount Washington Valley Task Force, chaired by James B. Somerville,

¹The United States plans to publish the comments and this response promptly in the Federal Register. It will provide the Court with a certificate of compliance with the requirements of the Tunney Act and file a motion for entry of final judgment once publication takes place.

²The comments have been numbered, and a log prepared. For ease of reference, the United States in this Response refers to individual comments by the log number assigned to the comment, with the exception of number 98, which is referred to as the "Conway Report."