Name	Case No.
GEORGIA-PACIFIC CORPORATION	RF272–97986 RG272–0005 VFA–0198 VWA–0011 RF272–89391 RG272–980

[FR Doc. 96–27420 Filed 10–24–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5641-3]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Collection of Compliance Information From Automotive Service and Repair Shops

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces that EPA is planning to submit the following proposed and/or continuing Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): Automotive Service and Repair Environmental Compliance Checklist, EPA ICR Number 1793.01. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before December 24, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons may obtain a copy of the draft compliance checklist without charge by contacting, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Compliance, Energy and Transportation Branch, Mail Code 2223A, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Everett Bishop, Phone: (202) 564–7032, Facsimile (202) 564–0050 or e-mail: Bishop.Everett@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are those automotive repair shops that routinely maintain automobiles. Such businesses may include, new car dealerships, franchise repair shops, independent owner/operators or gasoline stations.

Title: Automotive Service and Repair Environmental Compliance Checklist, A Survey.

Abstract: The Office of Compliance within the Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance has developed a general multi-media checklist to quickly review the compliance of an automotive service and repair shop. The information on the two page checklist will be gathered by students from four community colleges in different parts of the United States. The completed checklists will be forwarded to the Coordinating Committee for Automotive Repair (CCAR) for tabulation. The information is being requested by the Agency to get a better handle on the overall compliance within the automotive service and repair sector. Current efforts by the Agency to determine overall compliance with applicable environmental regulations have been difficult. Additionally, this survey will be repeated twenty four months after this initial collection to determine if compliance outreach programs developed by the Agency have had any impact on improving the compliance rate within the industry.

Information being requested by the community college students will be voluntary. The information will be treated with confidentiality. The Agency will have no knowledge of which shops were visited.

This survey is being performed as part of a grant awarded by the Agency to the Coordinating Committee for Automotive Repair (CCAR). CCAR is an umbrella organization representing 40 affiliates within the automotive service and repair industry.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. This information request approval is for one period of three years

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- (ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: The Agency projects a burden to the shop owner or operator as follows: Two and one-half hours for the survey. Thirty minutes for introduction and purpose of collection, thirty minutes for gathering any paperwork, one hour to answer the questions on the checklist and thirty minutes for review and discussion. The cost of completing this survey is estimated at \$62.50 for the two and onehalf hours hours. This is based upon a shop owner's average salary of \$25 per hour. There is no additional burden being place upon the shop owner for recordkeeping or retention of information since these requirements are already accounted for in other ICRs. The frequency of the survey will be two collections. The first survey is to be conducted approximately January/ February, 1997 and then a follow-up survey in January/February, 1999. The number of respondents for this survey will be no more than 500 shop owners or operators, nationwide. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the

existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: October 15, 1996.

John B. Rasnic,

Director, Office of Manufacturing, Energy and Transportation.

[FR Doc. 96–27476 Filed 10–24–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

[ER-FRL-5474-2]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or (202) 564–7153. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed October 14, 1996 Through October 18, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 960495, DRAFT EIS, AFS, TN, Upper Ocoee River Corridor Recreational Development, Implementation, Cherokee National Forest, Ocoee Ranger District, Polk County, TN, Due: December 09, 1996, Contact: Dave Carroll (423) 339–8620.

EIS No. 960496, DRAFT EIS, FHW, WA, US 101 Highway Aberdeen-Hoquian Corridor Project, Improvements, US Coast Guard and COE Section 404 Permit, Grays Harbor County, WA, Due: December 30, 1996, Contact: Gene Fong (360) 753–9413.

EIS No. 960497, DRAFT EIS, CGD, IL, MI, OH, NY, IN, MN, WI, PA, Great Lakes Icebreaking Operation, Implementation, Ninth District, IL, IN, MI, MN, OH, WI, NY and PA, Due: December 09, 1996, Contact: Gary Nelson (216) 522–3934.

EIS No. 960498, FINAL EIS, FHW, MA, I–495 Interchange Project,
Construction between Route 9 and
Route 20 Interchange to provide
access to Crane Meadow Road,
Funding, Right-of-Way, NPDES and
COE Section 404 Permits, in the city
of Marlborough and the town of
Southborough, Middlesex and
Worcester Counties, MA, Due:
November 25, 1996, Contact: Thomas
Hession (617) 973–7498.

EIS No. 960499, FINAL EIS, AFS, WA, North Sherman and Fritz Timber Sales, Implementation, Colville National Forest, Kettle Falls Ranger District, Ferry County, WA, Due: November 25, 1996, Contact: Meredith Webster (509) 738–6111. EIS No. 960500, FINAL EIS, BOP, VA, Lee County, Virginia Federal Correctional Institution, Construction and Operation, Site Selection near the Town of Pennington Gap, Lee County, VA, Due: November 25, 1996, Contact: David J. Dorworth (202) 514–6470.

EIS No. 960501, FINAL EIS, NPS, CA, Manzanar National Historic Site (NHS), General Management Plan, Implementation, Inyo County, CA, Due: December 24, 1996, Contact: Dan Olson (415) 744–3968.

EIS No. 960502, FINAL EIS, FHW, WI, US-151 Transportation Improvement, Belmont to Dodgeville Road, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Iowa and Lafayette Counties, WI, Due: November 25, 1996, Contact: Richard C. Madrzak (608) 829-7510.

EIS No. 960503, DRAFT EIS, FTA, TX, North Central Corridor Light Rail Transit (LRT) Extension, Transportation Improvements, Funding, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Dallas and Collin Counties, TX, Due: December 09, 1996, Contact: Jesse Balleza (214) 749–2543.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 960364, DRAFT EIS, AFS, MT, Lewis and Clark National Forest Plan, Implementation, Oil and Gas Leasing Analysis, Upper Missouri River Basin, several counties, MT, Due: December 11, 1996, Contact: Robin Strathy (406) 791–7726. Published FR 08–09–96— Review Period Extended.

EIS No. 960403, DRAFT EIS, NPS, MA, Cape Cod National Seashore General Management Plan, Implementation, Barnstable County, MA, Due: November 30, 1996, Contact: Maria Burks (508) 349–3785. Published FR 09–06–96—Review Period extended.

Dated: October 22, 1996.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–27474 Filed 10–24–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

[ER-FRL-5474-3]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared October 7, 1996 through October 11, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal

Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 5, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-K65186-NV Rating EC2, Spring Mountains National Recreation Area General Management Plan, Toiyabe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Amendment, Implementation, Clark and Nye Counties, NV.

Summary: EPA requested that these issues be further addressed in the Final document.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65269-OR Rating EC2, Augusta Timber Sale, Implementation, Willamette National Forest, Blue River Ranger District, Willamette Meridian, Blue River, Lane County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns based on water quality and air quality aspects of the project area.

ERP No. D-COE-E30037-FL Rating EC2, Brevard County Shore Protection Study, Implementation, Beach Restoration Project, Brevard County, FL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the long-term consequences of inundating hard-bottom habitat as well as how this action meshes with other, similar anticipated beach nourishment projects. The additional information derived from the mitigation and subsequent monitoring plan will be necessary to determine how this project fits into the larger issue of the environmental consequences of proposed shoreline protection.

ERP No. D-COE-K81024-CA Rating EC2, US Food and Drug Administration Laboratory, Land Acquisition, Construction and Operation on the North Campus Area at the University of California, Irvine, Orange County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding energy efficency, water conservation and pollution prevention. EPA also requested addition information regarding air quality and applicable federal hazardous waste requirements. ERP No. D-FHW-L40199-WA Rating

EC2, WA-509 Extension/South Access Road Corridor Project, Construction, Funding and Possible COE Section 404 Permit, the Cities of SeaTac, Des Moines, Kent and Federal Way, King County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding air