

(g) This amendment supersedes AD 81-11-04, Amendment 39-4114.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on October 4, 1996.

Michael Gallagher,  
Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 96-26043 Filed 10-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

## 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 96-AWP-22]

### Proposed Amendment of Class E Airspace; Casa Grande, AZ

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This notice proposes to amend the Class E airspace area at Casa Grande, AZ. The development of a Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) to Runways (RWYs) 05/23 has made this proposal necessary. The intended effect of this proposal is to provide adequate controlled airspace for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at Casa Grande Municipal Airport, Casa Grande, AZ.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before October 31, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Attn: Manager, Operations Branch, AWP-530, Docket No. 96-AWP-22, Air Traffic Division, P.O. Box 92007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, California 90009.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Western Pacific Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Room 6007, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261.

An informal docket may also be examined during normal business at the Office of the Manager, Operations Branch, Air Traffic Division at the above address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William Buck, Airspace Specialist, Operations Branch, AWP-530, Air Traffic Division, Western-Pacific Region, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261, telephone (310) 725-6556.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views,

or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal.

Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with the comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Airspace Docket No. 96-AWP-22." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received on or before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposed contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Operations Branch, Air Traffic Division, at 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

#### Availability of NPRM

Any person may obtain a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Operations Branch, P.O. Box 92007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, California 90009. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM.

Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, which describes the application procedures.

#### The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to amend the Class E airspace area at Casa Grande, AZ. The development of GPS SIAP at Casa Grande Municipal Airport has made this proposal necessary. The intended effect of this proposal is to provide adequate Class E airspace for aircraft executing the GPS RWY 05/23 SIAP at Casa Grande Municipal Airport, Casa Grande, AZ. Class E airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more

above the surface of the earth are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9D dated September 4, 1996, and effective September 16, 1996, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in this Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 10034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

#### The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

### PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389; 14 CFR 11.69.

#### § 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9D, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 4, 1996, effective September 16, 1996 is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.*

\* \* \* \* \*

AWP AZ E5 Casa Grande, AZ [Revised]  
Casa Grande Municipal Airport, AZ  
(Lat. 32°57'17" N, long. 111°46'00" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface beginning at lat. 32°57'00" N, long. 111°52'30" W, thence clockwise via the 5.3-mile radius of the Casa Grande Municipal Airport to lat. 32°52'30"

N, long. 111°49'30" W; to lat. 32°50'50" N, long. 111°53'02" W; to lat. 32°55'20" N, long. 111°56'02" W, thence to the point of beginning.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Los Angeles, California, on September 17, 1996.

James H. Snow,

*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division,  
Western-Pacific Region.*

[FR Doc. 96-26097 Filed 10-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

### 22 CFR Part 171

[Public Notice 2451]

#### Privacy Act of 1974; Implementation

**AGENCY:** Department of State.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of State proposes to amend its Privacy Act regulations exempting portions of a newly created record system from certain provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (5 U.S.C. 552a). Certain portions of the Garnishment of Wages Records (STATE-61) are exempted from 5 U.S.C. secs. 552a (c)(3), (d), (e)(1), (e)(4)(G), (H), and (I), and (f).

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before November 19, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be mailed or delivered to Jacquelyn Lilly, Acting Chief; Privacy, Plans and Appeals Division; Office of Freedom of Information, Privacy, and Classification Review; Room 1239; Department of State; 2201 C Street, NW; Washington, DC 20520-1239.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jacquelyn Lilly, 202-647-6620.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A notice of a proposal to create a new system of records (Public Notice 2450) is published elsewhere in this Federal Register. This system principally supports the Office of the Legal Adviser for Legislation and General Management's role in advising and servicing the Department and related foreign affairs agencies in complying with court- or agency-ordered garnishment of wages under 42 U.S.C. 659 and 5 U.S.C. 5520a. The Garnishment of Wages Records contain information relating to the garnishment of employees' wages including, but not limited to, communications between: The Office of the Legal Adviser and the Bureau of Finance and Management Policy; the Office of the Legal Adviser and the employee; the Office of the

Legal Adviser and courts or agencies; the Office of the Legal Adviser and a party named in or affected by the garnishment action to facilitate processing such orders for garnishment. These records may also be used by federal, state and local courts; state and local tax collection and child enforcement offices; the Internal Revenue Service; private collection agencies, law firms and other individuals authorized to receive garnished wages or benefits by court or agency order whenever the information is necessary for a garnishment proceeding.

Due to the nature of the documentation collected in the course of processing the ordered garnishments described above, it may be properly compiled for law enforcement purposes and, accordingly, it may be necessary in some instances to withhold certain information from the public to assure the effective completion of judicial or administrative processes.

#### List of Subjects in 22 CFR Part 171

Privacy.

The proposed amendment in Title 22, Part 171 covering certain records in STATE-61 is as follows:

#### PART 171—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 171 continues to read as follows:

Authority: The Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552; the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a; The Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 551, et seq.; The Ethics in Government Act; 5 U.S.C. App. 201; Executive Order 12958, 60 FR 19825; and Executive Order 12600, 52 FR 23781.

#### § 171.32 [Amended]

2. In § 171.32, paragraph (j)(2) will be amended by adding "Garnishment of Wages Records. STATE-61", after "Records of the Inspector General and Automated Individual Cross Reference System. STATE-53".

Ralph Frank,

*Acting Assistant Secretary for the Bureau of Administration.*

[FR Doc. 96-25831 Filed 10-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-24-M

## ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY

### 22 CFR Part 605

#### National Security Information Regulations

**AGENCY:** Arms Control and Disarmament Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency (ACDA) proposes to update, revise, and restate in their entirety its National Security Information regulations. In addition to containing internal policies and procedures, these regulations set forth in § 605.8 what members of the public must do to request mandatory declassification review and to appeal denials of requests for declassification. ACDA invites comments from interested groups and individual members of the public on the proposed regulations.

**DATES:** To be considered, comments must be delivered by mail or in person to the address, or faxed to the telephone number, listed below by 5 p.m. on Friday, November 15, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be directed to the Office of the General Counsel, United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency, Room 5635, 320 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20451; FAX (202) 647-0024. Comments will be available for inspection between 8:15 a.m. and 5 p.m. at the same address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Frederick Smith, Jr., United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency, Room 5635, 320 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20451, telephone (202) 647-3596.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

It is hereby certified that the proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required.

##### Executive Order 12866 Determination

ACDA has determined that the proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action within the meaning of section 3(f) of that Executive Order.

##### Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

The proposed rule is not subject to the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act because it does not contain any information collection requirements within the meaning of that Act.

##### Unfunded Mandates Act Determination

ACDA has determined that the proposed rule will not result in expenditures by state, local, and tribal governments, or by the private sector, of more than \$100 million in any one year. Accordingly, a budgetary impact statement is not required under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, 2 U.S.C. 1532.