

transparency in that they were not promptly published and were not administered in a uniform, impartial and reasonable manner.

In addition, the United States considers that the application of these measures by the Government of Japan nullifies or impairs, within the meaning of GATT Article XXIII:(1)(b), the tariff concessions that the Government of Japan made on black and white and color consumer photographic film and paper in the Kennedy Round, Tokyo Round, and Uruguay Round multilateral tariff negotiations.

Appendix

MITI, "Administrative Guidance To Promote Rationalization of Distribution System," 1966.

Cabinet Decision, "Liberalization of Foreign Investment," June 6, 1967.

MITI Industrial Structure Council Distribution Subcommittee, "Distribution Systemization," 1969 (Tsusanho Koho, Aug. 13 & 14, 1969).

MITI Preparatory Survey, "The Actual State of Trade Practices in Photo Film," 1969.

MITI, "Film Trade Normalization Guidelines," 1970.

MITI, "Business Bureau Report on Film Prices," 1970.

MITI, "Basic Plan for Distribution Systemization," 1971.

MITI, "Manual for Systemization of Distribution," 1975.

MITI, "Guidelines for Improving Business Practices," 1990.

MITI and the Small and Medium Enterprises Agency, "Distribution Vision for the 21st Century," 1995 (and earlier versions for the 1970s, 1980s, and 1990s).

Photo Industry Distribution Information Systemization Council [Kyogikai], "Comprehensive Manual for Photo Distribution Industry Distribution Information Systemization," 1996 (and 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992 versions).

Other related measures, including guidelines.

Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issues raised in the dispute. Comments must be in English and provided in fifteen copies. A person requesting that information contained in a comment submitted by that person be treated as confidential business information must certify that such information is business confidential and would not customarily be released to the public by the commenter.

Confidential business information must be clearly marked "BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy.

A person requesting that information or advice contained in a comment submitted by that person, other than

business confidential information, be treated as confidential in accordance with section 135(g)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2155)—

- (1) Must so designate that information or advice;
- (2) Must clearly mark the material as "CONFIDENTIAL" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy; and
- (3) Is encouraged to provide a non-confidential summary of the information or advice.

Pursuant to section 127(e) of the URAA, USTR will maintain a file on this dispute settlement proceeding, accessible to the public, in the USTR Reading Room: Room 101, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, N.W., Washington DC 20508. The public file will include a listing of any comments made to USTR from the public with respect to the proceeding; the U.S. submissions to the panel in the proceeding; the submissions, or non-confidential summaries of submissions, to the panel received from other participants in the dispute, as well as the report of the dispute settlement panel and, if applicable, the report of the Appellate Body. An appointment to review the public file (Docket WTO/D-9, "U.S.-Japan: Film and Paper"), may be made by calling Brenda Webb, (202) 395-6186. The USTR Reading Room is open to the public from 10 a.m. to 12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Jennifer Hillman,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 96-25796 Filed 10-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE-96-49]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petition Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I),

dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATE: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before October 15, 1996.

ADDRESS: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC-200), Petition Docket No. ____, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: nprmcmts@mail.hq.faa.gov.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC-200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone (202) 267-3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Haynes (202) 267-3939 or Marisa Mullen (202) 267-9681 Office of Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 2, 1996.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Petitions For Exemption

Docket No.: 28673.

Petitioner: EAA Aviation Foundation, Inc., Experimental Aircraft Association, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 119.5(g) and 119.21(a).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit the EAA Aviation Foundation to use its B-17 aircraft, which is certified as a limited category aircraft, to provide flight experiences to members of EAA who have also become members of the B-17 Historical Society through a donation to the Foundation. A summary of this petition requesting relief from 14 CFR 91.315 was previously published for comment on September 10, 1996, 61FR 47779. The FAA has determined that the petitioner requires relief from

14 CFR 119.5(g) and 119.21(a) which would have the effect of exempting the petitioner from 14 CFR Part 121. Because the required relief is much broader than originally noticed, the public is being afforded the opportunity to comment on the expended petition.

Docket No.: 38660.

Petitioner: Collings Foundation.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 119.5(g) and 119.21(a).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit the Collings Foundation to conduct the carriage of passengers on local flights in their limited category B-17 and experimental category B-24 aircraft in support of Collings Foundation fund raising efforts. A summary of this petition requesting relief from 14 CFR 91.315 and 91.319 was previously published for comment on August 26, 1996, 61FR 43808. The FAA has determined that the petitioner requires relief from 14 CFR 119.5(g) and 119.21(a) which would have the effect of exempting the petitioner from 14 CFR Part 121. Because the required relief is much broader than originally noticed, the public is being afforded the opportunity to comment on the expended petition.

[FR Doc. 96-25779 Filed 10-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Federal Highway Administration

Federal Transit Administration

[FTA/FHWA Docket No. 96-1837]

Notice of Request for the Extension of Currently Approved Information Collections

AGENCIES: Federal Transit Administration (FTA), Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the intention of the FTA and FHWA to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to extend the following currently approved information collection: Metropolitan Planning and Statewide Planning.

DATES: Comments must be submitted before December 9, 1996.

ADDRESSES: All written comments must refer to the docket number that appears at the top of this document and be submitted to the United States Department of Transportation, Central Dockets Office, PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address

from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Those desiring notification of receipt of comments must include a self-addressed, stamped postcard/envelope.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Paul Verchinski, FTA, (202) 366-1626 or Mr. Sheldon Edner, FHWA, (202) 366-4066.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Interested parties are invited to send comments regarding any aspect of this information collection, including: (1) The necessity and utility of the information collection for the proper performance of the functions of the FTA and the FHWA; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways to minimize the collection burden without reducing the quality of the collected information. Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB reinstatement of this information collection.

Title: Metropolitan Planning and Statewide Planning (OMB Number: 2132-0529)

Background: The FTA and FHWA jointly carry out the Federal mandate to improve urban and rural transportation. 49 U.S.C. 5303 and 23 U.S.C. 134 and 135 require metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) and States to develop transportation plans and programs. The information collection activities involved in developing the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP), the Metropolitan Transportation Plan, the Statewide Transportation Plan, the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), and the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) are necessary to identify and evaluate the transportation issues and needs in each urbanized area and throughout every State. These products of the transportation planning process are essential elements in the reasonable planning and programming of federally-funded transportation investments.

In addition to serving as a management tool for MPOs and State DOTs, the UPWP is used by both FTA and FHWA to monitor the transportation planning activities of those agencies. It is also needed to develop policy on using funds, monitor State and local compliance with national technical emphasis areas, respond to congressional inquiries, prepare congressional testimony, and ensure efficiency in the use and expenditure of Federal funds by determining that planning proposals are both reasonable and cost-effective. 49 U.S.C. 5304 and 23 U.S.C. 134(h)

require the development of TIPs for urbanized areas; STIPs are mandated by 23 U.S.C. 135(f). After approval by the Governor and MPO, metropolitan TIPs in attainment areas are to be incorporated directly into the STIP. For nonattainment areas, FTA/FHWA must make a conformity finding on the TIPs before including them into the STIP. The complete STIP is then jointly reviewed and approved or disapproved by FTA and FHWA. These conformity findings and approval actions constitute the determination that States are complying with the requirements of 23 U.S.C. 135 and 49 U.S.C. Section 5303 as a condition of eligibility for Federal-aid funding. Without these documents, approvals and findings, capital and/or operating assistance, cannot be provided.

Respondents: State Departments of Transportation (DOTs) and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs).

Estimated Annual Burden on Respondents: 607.4 hours for each of the 531 respondents.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 322,510 hours.

Frequency: Annually and biennially.

Issued: October 3, 1996.

Gordon J. Linton,

FTA Administrator.

George S. Moore, Jr.,

FHWA Associate Administrator for Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-25777 Filed 10-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-57-U

Federal Transit Administration

Environmental Impact Statement on the Central Florida Light Rail Transit System

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

SUMMARY: The Federal Transit Administration (FTA), the Florida Department of Transportation, and the Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority (CFRTA), locally known as LYNX intend to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) on the proposed light rail transit project in Osceola, Orange, and Seminole Counties, Florida.

The EIS will evaluate the following alternatives: a no-build alternative, a Transportation System Management alternative consisting of low to medium cost improvements to the facilities and operations of LYNX in addition to the