once an order was issued in Docket No. CP96–12, FGT would make a filing in the instant docket requesting a new effective date for the tariff sheets submitted with the July 3 Filing and make any conforming changes required to such tariff sheets.

FGT also states that on September 13, 1996 the Commission issued an Order Authorizing Abandonment in Docket Nos. CP96-11 and CP96-12 and, assuming such Order becomes final and non-appealable during October, 1996, FGT expects the transfer of the South Texas Facilities to become effective on November 1, 1996. Consequently, FGT is filing herein to request a November 1, 1996 effective date for the tariff sheets submitted with the July 3 Filing and to substitute tariff sheets containing conforming changes to four of the tariff sheets filed on July 3, 1996. Conforming changes are required to Sheet Nos. 8A, 8A.02, 8B, and 8B.01 as a result of filings made by FGT in Docket Nos. RP96-316, TM97-1-34 and TM97-2-34 which contain changes not reflected on the tariff sheets filed in the instant docket on July 3, 1996.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–25047 Filed 9–30–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP96-386-000]

Honeoye Storage Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

September 25, 1996.

Take notice that on September 20, 1996 Honeoye Storage Corporation (Honeoye) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, revised tariff sheets to be effective November 1, 1996.

Honeoye states that the purpose of the filing is to convert Honeoye tariff and rates from a volumetric (MCF) to a thermal energy basis (MMBTU). The

Commission's Order No. 582 issued September 28, 1995 at Docket No. RM95-3-000 requires pipelines that are on a volumetric basis to convert to a thermal energy basis. Honeoye states that with this tariff amendment, it is converting its existing volumetric rates to a thermal energy basis. Honeoye states that it has used the system average BTU for the twenty-four months ended March 31, 1996 as the basis for converting to a thermal energy basis. Honeoye states that there will be no change in rates and revenues under the proposed revisions since both volumes and rates are being converted.

Honeoye requests waiver of the Commission's Regulations to the extent necessary to permit the tariff sheets to become effective November 1, 1996.

Honeoye states that copies of the filing are being mailed to Honeoye's jurisdictional customers and interested state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-25049 Filed 9-30-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-339-001]

Pacific Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Compliance Filing

September 25, 1996.

Take notice that on September 23, 1996, Pacific Gas Transmission Company (PGT) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1–A: Substitute Title Sheet, Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 2, Substitute First Revised Sheet Nos. 6B, 6D, 6E and 7, Substitute Seventh Revised Sheet No. 51, and Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 139; and as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1: Substitute Title Sheet.

PGT requested the above-referenced tariff sheets become effective September 13, 1996.

PGT asserts that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the Commission's September 11, 1996 order in this proceeding to bring PGT's tariff into compliance with Order Nos. 582 and 582-A, issued September 28, 1995 and February 29, 1996, respectively, in Docket Nos. RM95-3-000, et al. In that order, FERC accepted the abovereferenced tariff sheets effective September 13, 1996 but directed they be refiled to incorporate some nonsbustantive technical corrections. PGT states the proposed changes will not affect PGT's costs, rates or revenues, and that a copy of this filing has been served on PGT's jurisdictional customers and interested state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–25048 Filed 9–30–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP96-387-000]

Williams Natural Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

September 25, 1996.

Take notice that on September 20, 1996, William Natural Gas Company (WNG) tendered for filing to its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets to be effective November 1, 1996:

First Revised Sheet Nos. 103, 106, 112, 114, 121, and 126
Second Revised Sheet No. 131
First Revised Sheet Nos. 136 and 141
Second Revised Sheet Nos. 202 and 203
First Revised Sheet No. 226A
Fourth Revised Sheet Nos. 227 and 228
Third Revised Sheet Nos. 229
First Revised Sheet Nos. 229A, 229B and 229C
First Revised Sheet No. 235

First Revised Sheet No. 235 Second Revised Sheet No. 236 First Revised Sheet No. 237 Original Sheet No. 237A Second Revised Sheet No. 461

WNG states that this filing is being made to amend WNG's provisions for periods of daily balancing and operational flow orders included in its FERC Gas Tariff. WNG's experience during the extremely cold periods in January and February, 1996, highlighted the need to modify its tariff to protect the integrity of its pipeline system.

WNG states that a copy of its filing was served on all jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-25050 Filed 9-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-517-000]

Algonquin LNG, Inc.; Notice of Site Inspection and Technical Conference Algonquin LNG Modifications Project

September 25, 1996.

On October 2 and 3, 1996, the Office of Pipeline Regulation environmental staff will conduct an inspection of the proposed and alternative project sites. Those planning to attend must provide their own transportation.

their own transportation.
On October 10 and 11, 1996, the staff will meet with representatives of

will meet with representatives of Algonquin LNG, Inc. at the Providence Marriott to conduct a cryogenic design and engineering review of the LNG facilities proposed in the above docket. The discussion will initially be limited to the staff and members of the applicant's staff who have expertise in the given topics. Other attendees will be given the opportunity to ask questions on the above issues after the initial discussions have concluded.

For the times and locations or further information on the site visit or the Technical Conference, call Chris Zerby, Project Manager, at (202) 208–0111.

Kevin P. Madden,

Director, Office of Pipeline Regulation.
[FR Doc. 96–25046 Filed 9–30–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

Southern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Environmental Site Visit for the Proposed North Alabama Pipeline Project

September 25, 1996.

On October 2, 1996, the Office of Pipeline Regulation staff will conduct an environmental site visit with affected landowners of the North Alabama Pipeline Project of the locations related to the facilities proposed in Cullman and Morgan Counties, Alabama. All interested parties may attend. Those planning to attend must provide their own transportation.

Information about the proposed project is available from Ms. Alisa Lykens, Environmental Project Manager, at (202) 208–0766.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–25044 Filed 9–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

Notice of Issuance of Decisions and Orders; Week of January 1 Through January 5, 1996

Office of Hearings and Appeals

During the week of January 1 through January 5, 1996, the decisions and orders summarized below were issued with respect to appeals, applications, petitions, or other requests filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. The following summary also contains a list of submissions that were dismissed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Copies of the full text of these decisions and orders are available in the Public Reference Room of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Room 1E-234, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585– 0107, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m., except federal holidays. They are also available in Energy Management: Federal Energy Guidelines, a commercially published loose leaf reporter system. Some decisions and orders are available on the Office of Hearings and Appeals World Wide Web site at http://www.oha.doe.gov.

Dated: September 19, 1996. George B. Breznay, Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Decision List No. 953

Appeal

Raytheon Company, 1/4/96, VFA-0103 Raytheon Company filed an Appeal from a denial by the Office of Economic Impact and Diversity of the Department of Energy (DOE/ED) of a request for information which it had submitted under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Raytheon sought records related to a DOE Office of Inspector General investigation of allegations of sexual harassment or other inappropriate conduct by a DOE employee. DOE/ED withheld in its entirety a report pursuant to FOIA Exemption 7(C). In considering the Appeal, the DOE found that (i) DOE/ED need not make a particularized finding regarding the privacy interests of each individual that would be infringed by a release of information, (ii) the names and identifying information of investigating officials named in the report may be withheld; (iii) witnesses and sources have a strong privacy interest in remaining anonymous and the public interest favors protecting their identities; but (iv) some portions of the report can be released. Accordingly, the matter was remanded in part to DOE/ED for a new determination either releasing information other than that protected by

Personnel Security Hearing

Nevada Operations Office, 1/4/96, VSO0049

FOIA Exemption 7(C) or explaining the

information. The Appeal was denied in

reasons for withholding that

all other respects.

A Hearing Officer from the Office of Hearings and Appeals issed an Opinion regarding the eligibility of an individual for access authorization under the provisions of 10 C.F.R. Part 710. The Hearing Officer found that the individual had omitted and falsified significant information concerning a DUI arrest from a written statement made in response to an official inquiry regarding his eligibility for DOE access authorization, and that the individual had suffered from alcohol dependency. The Hearing Officer rejected the individual's arguments that he had not falsified information in his written statement and further found no evidence of significant rehabilitation or reformation regarding the individual's falsification and omission. With regard to the individual's alcohol dependency, the Hearing Officer found that the individual had been rehabilitated. Given