

**SUMMARY:** The Superintendent of Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and the Chairperson of the Subsistence Resource Commission for Wrangell-St. Elias National Park announce a forthcoming meeting of the Wrangell-St. Elias National Park Subsistence Resource Commission.

The following agenda items will be discussed:

- (1) Chair calls meeting to order.
- (2) Introduction of Commission members and guests.
- (3) Approval of summary of minutes from April 6-8, 1994 meeting.
- (4) Review agenda.
- (5) Superintendent's welcome and review of the Commission's function and purpose.
- (6) Commission membership status.
- (7) Election of Chair and Vice Chair.
- (8) Federal Subsistence Management Program:

a. Update on the revised C&T determination process.

b. Update on Federal Subsistence Board actions affecting the park.

(9) Public and other agency comments.

(10) Old business:

a. Status of letter to Eastern Interior, Southcentral and Southeast Regional Advisory Councils encouraging cooperation between the SRC and regional councils.

b. Status of letter to Secretary of the Interior requesting funding to conduct an access study.

c. Status of letter to Secretary of the Interior requesting assistance in resolving fall hunting of waterfowl with provisions of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

d. Status of SRC's Resident Zone Boundary Proposal.

e. Status of Hunting Plan Recommendation studies to add Northway and Tetlin as resident zone communities.

(11) New business:

a. Proposed 1996-97 subsistence hunting proposals/regulations.

b. Mentasta caribou hunt proposal by NPS.

c. 804 process work session.

d. Review of NPS subsistence program.

(12) Set time and place of next SRC meeting.

(13) Adjournment.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held Wednesday and Thursday, February 28-29, 1996. The meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. and end at 5:00 p.m. both days.

**LOCATION:** The meeting will be held at the Caribou Cafe, Glennallen, Alaska.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jonathan B. Jarvis, Superintendent,

Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve, P.O. Box 439, Copper Center, Alaska 99573. Phone (907) 822-5234.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Subsistence Resource Commissions are authorized under Title VIII, Section 808, of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, Pub. L. 96-487, and operate in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committees Act.

Paul R. Anderson,

*Acting Field Director.*

[FR Doc. 96-2743 Filed 2-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation 332-365]

### U.S. Interests in APEC Trade Liberalization

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation and request for comments.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 24, 1996.

**SUMMARY:** Following receipt on September 28, 1995, of a request from the United States Trade Representative (USTR), the Commission instituted Investigation No. 332-365, U.S. Interests in APEC Trade Liberalization, under section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1332(g)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kim Frankena (202) 205-3265 or Joanne Guth (202) 205-3264. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**BACKGROUND:** In 1994, the 18 members of the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) forum agreed to the goal of attaining free and open trade and investment among members by the year 2020 (2010 for developed countries). At its November 1995 Ministerial and Leaders' Meetings, APEC adopted an Action Agenda on trade and investment liberalization, facilitation, and cooperation to be used as a blueprint by members in formulating concrete plans for attaining the goal of free and open trade and investment in the Asia-Pacific region. The plans, which are being formulated now and will be finalized in November 1996, will specify both collective and individual actions to be taken in 15 issue areas over the near to medium-term. The 15 issue areas broadly address market access issues such as tariff and non-tariff measure

liberalization, liberalization of trade in services and investment, as well as such topics as customs procedures, standards and conformity assessment, intellectual property rights, government procurement, competition policy, and deregulation.

To help the United States prepare for participation in the APEC process in 1996, the USTR requested that the Commission prepare a two part report. The first part is to provide a profile of each APEC economy's general level of liberalization and remaining barriers to trade and investment. The second part is to provide an indepth analysis of further trade liberalization in the APEC region.

As requested by the USTR, in the first part of its report the Commission will provide a profile for each APEC economy that covers the following elements:

- Estimated average tariff levels in total and by sector, now and after full implementation of Uruguay Round commitments;

- Nontariff or rulemaking Uruguay Round commitments undertaken by each economy;

- Areas where acceleration of Uruguay Round commitments or further liberalization of Uruguay Round results by the economy may be possible;

- Remaining nontariff trade barriers (e.g., standards, government procurement, intellectual property, regulatory systems), including an analysis of sectors where tariff levels are irrelevant because of such barriers;

- Barriers to investment;

- Barriers to trade in services;

- Areas where U.S. industry has the greatest interest in APEC liberalization; and

- Other issues relevant to an assessment of each APEC economy's overall level of liberalization.

The USTR stated that, for the present NAFTA members and Chile, a review of estimated tariff levels and Uruguay Round commitments would be sufficient.

As requested by the USTR, in the second part of its report, the Commission will provide an indepth examination of tariff liberalization in areas with a high percentage of intra-APEC trade. This analysis will cover the following factors:

- The value of U.S. trade affected (both imports and exports) and calculated duties collected (imports), the percentage of total U.S. trade and duties collected, all the foregoing in total, by sector, and with APEC;

- U.S. duty rates on these products (including the value of duty-free trade

both now and after full Uruguay Round implementation);

- The extent to which intra-APEC trade in these products is also intra-NAFTA trade, and the extent to which trade between APEC and non-APEC countries in these products is concentrated among major U.S. trading partners;
- The products most affected on the import and export sides;
- The percentage of U.S. imports and exports affected in particular sectors such as oilseeds, chemicals, wood, electronics, etc.; and
- A general description of how each other APEC economy would be affected including, to the extent feasible, the percentage of imports and exports of each APEC economy covered by these products, and estimates of calculated duties saved for the United States in other APEC economies and for other APEC economies in the U.S. market.

The Commission intends to provide its report to the USTR on May 31, 1996. As requested, the Commission's staff provided USTR with a list of products with a high percentage of intra-APEC trade on October 13, 1995. Also, the Commission will provide USTR with statistical/technical data, along with a briefing document, by March 27, 1996. The USTR indicated that USTR may classify as confidential portions of the Commission's report.

The ITC is seeking input for its study from all interested parties, particularly in areas where U.S. industry has the greatest interest in liberalization of APEC trade and investment.

#### Public Hearing

A public hearing in connection with this investigation will be held at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC, beginning at 9:30 a.m. on April 3, 1996. All persons will have the right to appear, by counsel or in person, to present information, and to be heard. Requests to appear at the public hearing should be filed with the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, no later than 5:15 p.m., March 18, 1996. Any prehearing briefs (original and 14 copies) should be filed not later than 5:15 p.m., March 19, 1996. The deadline for filing post-hearing briefs or statements is 5:15 p.m., April 10, 1996. In the event that, as of the close of business on March 18, 1996, no witnesses are scheduled to appear at the hearing, the hearing will be canceled. Any person interested in attending the hearing as an observer or non-participant may call the Secretary of the Commission at 202-205-2000 after

March 18, 1996, to determine whether the hearing will be held.

#### Written Submissions

Interested parties are invited to submit written statements concerning the matters to be addressed by the Commission in its report on this investigation. Commercial or financial information that a submitter desires the Commission to treat as confidential must be submitted on separate sheets of paper, each clearly marked "Confidential Business Information" at the top. All submissions requesting confidential treatment must conform with the requirements of section § 201.6 of the Commission's *Rules of Practice and Procedure* (19 C.F.R. 201.6). All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available in the Office of the Secretary to the Commission for inspection by interested parties. To be assured of consideration by the Commission, written statements relating to the Commission's report should be submitted to the Commission at the earliest practical date and should be received no later than the close of business on April 10, 1996. All submissions should be addressed to the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436.

Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000.

By order of the Commission.

Dated: February 6, 1996.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-2884 Filed 2-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### **United States v. Greyhound Lines, Inc.; Public Comments and Response on Proposed Final Judgment**

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. (b)-(h), the United States publishes below the comments received on the proposed Final Judgment in *United States v. Greyhound Lines, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 95-1852 (RCL), United States District Court for the District of Columbia, together with the response of the United States to the comments.

Copies of the response and the public comments are available on request for inspection and copying in room 215 of

the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 325 7th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530, telephone: (202) 514-2481, and for inspection at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, United States Courthouse, Third Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Copies of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

Rebecca P. Dick,

Deputy Director, Office of Operations, Antitrust Division.

In The United States District Court for the District of Columbia

In the matter of: United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. Greyhound Lines, Inc., Defendant. Civil Action No. 95-1852 (RCL).

#### United States' Response to Public Comments

Pursuant to section 2(d) of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(d), the United States files this response to public comments on the proposed Final Judgment submitted for entry in this civil antitrust proceeding.

This action began on September 28, 1995, when the United States filed a Complaint charging the defendant, Greyhound Lines, Inc., with violations of the antitrust laws. The Complaint alleges that a standard provision in Greyhound's terminal leases unreasonably restricts the ability of tenant bus companies to compete with Greyhound. The provision, known as the "25-mile rule," prohibits tenants from selling tickets anywhere else within a 25-mile radius of the Greyhound terminal or from accepting the tickets of any other bus company sold in that area. The effect of the rule is to prevent tenant carriers from serving other terminals within that area and from providing service from non-terminal locations such as airports or college campuses. In addition, because it prohibits tenants from accepting the tickets of other carriers sold within 25 miles, the clause restricts interlining.

Simultaneously with the filing of the Complaint, the United States filed a proposed Final Judgment, a Competitive Impact Statement, and a stipulation signed by Greyhound for entry of the proposed Final Judgment. The proposed Final Judgment would require Greyhound to remove the 25-mile rule from its terminal leases within 60 days after entry. In addition, the proposed Final Judgment enjoins other conduct by Greyhound that would have the same effect as the 25-mile rule.

The APPA provides for a 60-day public comment period on the proposed Final Judgment. The 60-day comment