Eastern Shore states that copies of the filing are being mailed to affected customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–22737 Filed 9–5–96; 8:45 am]

#### [Docket No. CP96-738-000]

## Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

August 30, 1996.

Take notice that on August 22, 1996, **Koch Gateway Pipeline Company** (Koch), 600 Travis Street, Houston, Texas 77251-1478 filed in Docket No. CP96-738-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, and 157.216(b) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216) for approval and permission to abandon by sale in place approximately 2,028 feet of two-inch pipeline and abandon by removal approximately 200 feet of two-inch pipeline and a meter station, under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-430-000, pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch states that it proposes to abandon facilities that were originally certificated in Docket No. G–8230 for use to an end-user, Fernwood Industries (Fernwood) and are located within Pike County, Mississippi. Koch further states that Fernwood agrees to the proposed abandonment.

Any person or Commission Staff may, within 45 days of the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the

Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214), a motion to intervene and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205), a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activities shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–22729 Filed 9–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

## [Docket No. CP96-747-000]

# Northern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

August 30, 1996.

Take notice that on August 27, 1996, Northern Natural Gas Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 3330, Omaha, Nebraska 68103–0330, filed in Docket No. CP96–747–000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act for authorization to construct and operate a new delivery point, under blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–401–000,¹ all as more fully set forth in the request for authorization on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

Applicant proposes to construct a new delivery point to accommodate natural gas deliveries to Emmetsburg Municipal Gas Works (Emmetsburg), a local distribution company, for redelivery to AG Processing Inc. (AGP) at their new facility located in Palo Alto County, Iowa. Applicant states that the new delivery point will accommodate natural gas deliveries for industrial consumption under its existing transportation rate schedules and service agreements. Applicant holds a blanket transportation certificate pursuant to Part 284 of the Commission's Regulations issued in Docket No. CP86-435-000.2 The estimated total volume proposed to be delivered is expected to result in an increase in Applicant's peak day

deliveries of 3,350 Mcf per day and 796,000 Mcf on an annual basis.

The estimated cost to install the delivery point is \$74,000. Applicant states that Emmetsburg will reimburse Applicant for the cost of these facilities. Applicant states that construction of the proposed delivery point is not prohibited by its existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accommodate the service proposed herein without determent or disadvantage to Applicant's other customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-22731 Filed 9-5-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

### [Docket No. RP95-185-014]

# Northern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

August 30, 1996.

Take notice that on August 27, 1996, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), tendered for filing to become part of Northern's FERC Gas Tariff, the following tariff sheets proposed to be effective September 1, 1996:

Fifth Revised Volume No. 1

24 Revised Sheet No. 50 24 Revised Sheet No. 51 Tenth Revised Sheet No. 52 1 Revised 30 Revised Sheet No. 53 Second Revised Sheet No. 54 Second Revised Sheet No. 55 Tenth Revised Sheet No. 59 Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 60 Second Revised Sheet No. 61 Second Revised Sheet No. 62 Second Revised Sheet No. 63 Second Revised Sheet No. 64 Second Revised Sheet No. 100 Third Revised Sheet No. 101 Second Revised Sheet No. 103 Second Revised Sheet No. 106

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, 20 FERC ¶ 62,410 (1982).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, 37 FERC ¶ 61,268 (1986).

Second Revised Sheet No. 114 Second Revised Sheet No. 115 Third Revised Sheet No. 206 Second Revised Sheet No. 255 Second Revised Sheet No. 265 Third Revised Sheet No. 401

Original Volume No. 2 150 Revised Sheet No. 1C 25 Revised Sheet No. 1C.a

Northern states that its filing contains Northern's proposal to implement, on an interim basis until a final Commission order on Northern's Settlement is issued, the Settlement rates in Docket No. RP95–185 effective September 1, 1996. Northern further states that its filing is contingent on final Commission approval of the filing without modification.

Northern states that copies of the filing were served upon the company's customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. All protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken in this proceeding, but will not serve to make Protestant a party to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-22734 Filed 9-5-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### [Docket Nos. EL96-70-000; QF84-329-001]

## Pacific Gas & Electric Company v. Red Top Cogeneration, L.P.; Errata to Notice of Filing

August 30, 1996.

The Notice of Filing issued in the above-referenced dockets on August 20, 1996 (61 FR 43757, August 26, 1996), should be replaced in its entirety with the following language:

Take notice that on August 7, 1996, Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E) tendered for filing a Motion for revocation of certification of Red Top Cogeneration, L.P. Facility, as a qualifying cogeneration facility. PG&E alleges that Red Top Cogeneration, L.P. has failed to meet the Commission's operating and efficiency standards.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion

to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before September 20, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–22727 Filed 9–5–96; 8:45 am]

#### [Docket No. CP96-740-000]

## Pacific Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

August 30, 1996.

Take notice that on August 23, 1996, Pacific Gas Transmission Company (PGT), 2100 Southwest River Parkway, Portland, Oregon 97201, filed in Docket No. CP96-740-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to install a new tap and meter set near Bend, Oregon (the "South Bend Meter Station") for delivery of gas to Cascade Natural Gas Corporation, under PGT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-530-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

PGT proposes to construct a 2-inch tap and meter set and appurtenant facilities in order to provide Cascade with a supplemental source to serve Cascade's existing load in the south Bend area and anticipated future load growth.

PGT states that the new tap and meter set is not prohibited by its existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to other customers. The new tap and meter set will not have an effect on PGT's peak day and annual deliveries and the total volumes delivered will not exceed total volumes authorized prior to this request.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-22730 Filed 9-5-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### [Project No. 2984-025]

#### S.D. Warren Company; Notice of Settlement Offer

August 30, 1996.

On August 22, 1996, the State of Maine, Departments of Environmental Protection, Conservation, and Inland Fisheries & Wildlife (Maine) filed comments in the above-captioned proceeding, with an attached 'compromise" water level management plan for Sebago Lake in lieu of that previously proposed by S.D. Warren Company, licensee of the Eel Weir Hydroelectric Project No. 2984. Maine states that the compromise plan was agreed to by all parties at a meeting in Augusta, Maine, on August 12, 1996. Although Maine is not an intervenor in this proceeding, it has been an active participant, and its filing will be treated as an offer of settlement under Rule 602 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.602.

Comments on the proposed settlement may be filed with the Commission by no later than September 18, 1996, and replies by no later than September 30, 1996. Copies of comments and replies by parties and intervenors must be served on all other parties and intervenors, as well as Maine. Under Rule 602(f)(3), a failure to file comments constitutes a waiver of all objections to the offer of settlement.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–22733 Filed 9–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M