

Management Service, 949 East 36th Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99508-4302, attention: Public Information, telephone (907) 271-6070 or (800) 764-2627. For availability of the final EIS along the Pacific Coast, contact: Regional Director, Pacific Region, Minerals Management Service, 770 Paseo, Camarillo, California 93010, attention: Public Information, telephone (805) 389-7520 or (800) 672-2627. For availability of the final EIS along the Gulf of Mexico Coast and Atlantic Coast, contact Regional Director, Gulf of Mexico Region, Minerals Management Service, 1201 Elmwood Park Boulevard, New Orleans, Louisiana 70123-2394, attention: Public Information, telephone (504) 736-2519 or (800) 200-GULF. Information on the availability of the final EIS can be obtained from Chief, Environmental Projects Coordination Branch, Minerals Management Service, 381 Elden Street, Herndon, Virginia 20170-4817, telephone (703) 787-1674.

Copies of the final EIS will be available for review in public libraries located throughout the coastal States. Information regarding the locations of libraries where copies of the final EIS will be available may be obtained from the offices listed above.

Lucy R. Querques,
Acting Associate Director for Offshore
Minerals Management.

Approved: August 27, 1996.

Willie R. Taylor,
Director, Office of Environmental Policy and
Compliance.

[FR Doc. 96-22338 Filed 8-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-M

National Park Service

Notice of Request for Reinstatement, With Change, of a Previously Approved Information Collection

AGENCY: National Park Service, DOI.

ACTION: Notice and request for
comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the National Park Service's (NPS') intention to request a reinstatement of, and revisions to, a previously approved information collection for certain activities related to 36 CFR 61, *Procedures for State, Tribal, and Local Historic Preservation Programs*. The proposed revisions are based on program changes made since 1989.

DATES: Comments to this notice must be received by November 4, 1996 to be assured of consideration.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS: Contact John W. Renaud, Project Coordinator, Branch of State, Tribal, and Local Programs, Heritage Preservation Services Division, National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, P.O. Box 37127, Washington D.C. 20013-7127, (202) 343-1059.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: 36 CFR 61, *Procedures for State, Tribal, and Local Government Historic Preservation Programs*.

OMB Number: 1024-0038.

Type of Request: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

Abstract: This information collection has an impact on State and local governments that wish to participate formally in the national historic preservation program and who wish to apply for Historic Preservation Fund grant assistance. The National Park Service uses the information to ensure compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act and government-wide grant requirements.

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 14.06 hours per response.

Respondents: State and Local Governments.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 363. This is the gross number of respondents for all of the documents included in this information collection. The net number of States and local governments participating in this information collection annually is 119.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.07.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 5,384 hours.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Mr. John W. Renaud, Project Coordinator, at (202) 343-1059).

Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate, ways to minimize the burden, including through the use of automated collection or other forms of information technology, or any other aspect of this collection of information to:

Mr. John W. Renaud, Project Coordinator, Branch of State, Tribal, and Local Programs, Heritage Preservation Services, National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, DC 20013-7127.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request

for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Terry N. Tesar,

Information Collection Coordinator.

[FR Doc. 96-22329 Filed 8-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

Draft General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement, Independence National Historical Park, PA; Notice of Public Meeting

Pursuant to Council on Environmental Quality regulations and National Park Service policy, the National Park Service (NPS) announces two public meetings to gather public comments on a September, 1996 Supplement to the draft Independence National Historical Park General Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement and newsletter.

In accordance with section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the National Park Service has prepared a general management plan/environmental impact statement for Independence National Historical Park which was on public review during September, October, and November, 1995. A Supplement has been produced and will be distributed to cooperating agencies, interested groups, individuals, and institutions. The Supplement, as well as the GMP/EIS, are available by telephoning 215-597-1841 and also will be available at the Independence National Historical Park Visitor Center, Third and Chestnut Streets during public meetings.

Further written public comments will be accepted on the GMP/EIS and Supplement up to October 18, 1996 and oral comments will be accepted at the public meetings to be held in the Independence National Historical Park Visitor Center between the hours of 2:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m. on September 24, 1996 and again on September 25, 1996 between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m. The Visitor Center is located at Third and Chestnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

The GMP/EIS analyzes the issues, needs, and concerns of Independence National Historical Park and attempts to address them. Examples of such items include preservation and use of historic structures, visitor orientation and circulation, facilities use and development, park interpretation, and the proposed redevelopment of the Independence Mall. Six alternatives for addressing these issues, needs and concerns are outlined within the document. The Supplement addresses revisions to the preferred alternative

being considered as a result of public comment on the plan.

Written comments may be sent to Independence National Historical Park, 313 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106.

Dated: August 20, 1996.

Warren D. Beach,

Associate Field Director, Northeast Field Area.

[FR Doc. 96-22330 Filed 8-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Submission for OMB Emergency Review; Comment Request

U.S. Agency for International Development has submitted the following information collection (ICR), utilizing emergency review procedures, to the Office of Management Budget (OMB) for review and clearance accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (P.L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). OMB approval has been requested by September 20, 1996. A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling Mary Ann Ball, M/AS/ISS, (202) 736-4743 or via email MABall@USAID.GOV.

Written comments and questions about ICR listed below should be forwarded to Victoria Wassmer, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments which: (a) Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Financial Status Report.

OMB Number: None.

Type of Review: New Collection.

Description: USAID for Eastern Europe and Newly Independent States (ENI), requests a class deviation from 22 CFR 226.52 concerning the use of

standard forms 269-269A and 272/272A for financial reporting. 22 CFR 226.52(b)(1) states that "when additional information is needed to comply with legislative requirements, USAID shall issue instructions to require recipients to submit such information in the "remarks" section that is not legislatively required and, therefore seeks a class deviation to the statute from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in accordance with 22 CFR 2276.4. The ENI Bureau wants to require that grant and cooperative agreement recipients working in multiple countries submit expenditure reports by country.

ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN:

Number of Respondents: 80.

Total Annual Responses: 640.

Total Annual Hours requested: 320.

Dated: August 13, 1996.

Genease E. Pettigrew,

Chief, Information Support Services Division, Office of Administrative Services, Bureau of Management.

[FR Doc. 96-22389 Filed 8-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6116-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Universal Shippers Association, Inc.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia in *United States v. Universal Shippers Association, Inc.*, Civil No. 96-1154-A as to Universal Shippers Association, Inc.

The Complaint alleges that the defendant and Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc. entered into a contract containing an "automatic rate differential clause," which required Lykes to charge competing shippers of wine and spirits from Europe to the United States rates for ocean transportation services that were at least 5% higher than Universal's for any lesser volume of cargo. This clause required maintenance of a 5% differential in favor of Universal at all times, thereby placing shippers who compete with Universal at a competitive disadvantage.

The proposed Final Judgment enjoins the defendant from maintaining, agreeing to, or enforcing an automatic

rate differential clause in any of its contracts, and also requires defendant to establish an antitrust compliance program.

Public comment on the proposed Final Judgment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the Federal Register and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Roger W. Fones, Chief, Transportation, Energy and Agriculture Section, Suite 500, U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 325 Seventh Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530 (telephone: 202/307-6351).

Rebecca P. Dick,

Deputy Director, Office of Operations, Antitrust Division.

Stipulation

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys that:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties thereto, and venue of this action is proper in the Eastern District of Virginia;

2. The parties consent that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that Plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on Defendants and by filing that notice with the Court;

3. In the event Plaintiff withdraws its consent or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of no effect whatsoever, and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or in any other proceeding.

This 22nd day of August, 1996.