Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP96-714-000]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

August 21, 1996.

Take notice that on August 14, 1996. East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP96-714-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to establish a new delivery point in Roane County, Tennessee under East Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-412-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

East Tennessee proposes to install a new delivery point to be located at approximate mile post 3110–1+11.83 on East Tennessee's system in Roane County, Tennessee, to provide additional firm transportation service of 3,700 dekatherms per day to the Powell-Clinch Utility District (Powell-Clinch), an existing customer of East Tennessee.

East Tennessee states that it will install a four-inch hot-tap assembly, approximately 50 feet of four-inch interconnecting pipe, a four-inch turbine meter, electronic gas measurement (EGM) and communications equipment. East Tennessee states that it will own, operate and maintain the measurement facilities, the hot-tap assembly and interconnecting pipe, and will maintain the EGM and communications equipment.

East Tennessee states that the total quantities to be delivered to Powell-Clinch after the delivery point is installed will not exceed the total quantities authorized. East Tennessee asserts that the installation of the proposed delivery point is not prohibited by East Tennessee's tariff, and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries at the proposed new delivery point without detriment or disadvantage to any of East Tennessee's other customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section

157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–21752 Filed 8–26–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP96-709-000]

Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company; Notice of Application

August 21, 1996.

Take notice that on August 13, 1996, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251-1642, filed an application with the Commission in Docket No. CP96-709-000 pursuant to Sections 7(b) and 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for permission and approval to abandon by sale to Consumer Power Company (Consumers) of the North Line Lateral facilities ¹ in various Michigan counties and to construct and operate a new interconnection between Consumers and Panhandle, all as more fully set forth in the application which is open to the public for inspection.

Panhandle states that its proposed abandonment in place of the North Line Lateral facilities to Consumers would enable Consumers to integrate the operation of its pipeline and distribution systems facilities. Panhandle states that it would abandon the North Line Lateral facilities to Consumers at their fully depreciated net book value of zero dollars.

Panhandle also proposes to construct and operate a new interconnection point with Consumers in Washtenaw County by relocating the existing South Lyon metering facilities at the interconnection between Consumers' affiliate Michigan Gas Storage Company (MGS) and Panhandle's North Line in Oakland County. Panhandle states that Consumers would reimburse Panhandle for the estimated \$30,000 construction cost for the new interconnection.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before September 11, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the NGA and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Panhandle to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–21751 Filed 8–26–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. GT96-91-000]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Refund Report

August 21, 1996.

Take notice that on July 30, 1996,
Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line
Corporation (Transco) tendered for
filing with the Federal Energy
Regulatory Commission its Report of
Refunds in accordance with section
8.01(i) of Transco's NIPPs–SE Rate
Schedules x–315, x–316, x–318, and x–
324, and Section 4 of Rate Schedules
LSS and SS–2. The report shows that
Transco refunds made to its customers

¹The North Line Lateral facilities consist of approximately 137 miles of pipeline between 3-inches and 18-inches in diameter and include the Clawson lateral, the Howell Field to Gate 6 lateral, and related facilities located in Genesee, Livingston, Oakland, Saginaw, and Washtenaw Counties, Michigan.

resulting from the Commission's order issued February 16, 1996, in National Fuel's Docket Nos. RP94–367 and RP95–31–000, *et al.*

Transco states that on July 25, 1996, it refunded \$289,869.35 including interest to its NIPPs–SE, and a net of \$187,612.80 including interest to its LSS and SS–2 customers resulting from the referenced National Fuel refund for the period June 1, 1995 to March 31, 1996.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before August 29, 1996. Protests will

be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

 $[FR\ Doc.\ 96\text{--}21753\ Filed\ 8\text{--}26\text{--}96;\ 8\text{:}45\ am]$

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Office of Hearings and Appeals

Cases Filed; Week of May 13 Through May 17, 1996

During the week of May 13 through May 17, 1996, the appeals, applications,

petitions or other requests listed in this Notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. Submissions inadvertently omitted from earlier lists have also been included.

Any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of publication of this Notice or the date of receipt of actual notice, whichever occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585–0107.

Dated: August 19, 1996. George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS [Week of May 13 through May 17, 1996]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
2/27/96	West Virginia, Charleston, West Virginia	RM251-296	Request for Modification/Rescission in the Amoco II Second Stage Refund Proceeding. If granted: The January 7, 1987 Decision and Order, Case Number RQ251–339, would be modified regarding the state's application for refund submitted in the Amoco II Second Stage Refund Proceeding.
3/12/96	Belridge/Rhode Island Providence, Rhode Island.	RQ8-608	Application for Second Stage Belridge Refund. If granted: The second stage refund application submitted by the State of Rhode Island in the Belridge Refund Proceeding would be granted.
3/12/96	Amoco II/Rhode Island, Providence, Rhode Island.	RQ251-609	Application for Second Stage Amoco II Refund. If granted: The second stage refund application submitted by the State of Rhode Island in the Amoco II Refund Proceed- ing would be granted.
5/13/96	Headquarters, Washington, DC	VSA-0075	Request for Review of Opinion under 10 C.F.R. Part 710. If granted: The Opinion of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Case No. VSO–0075, would be reviewed at the request of an individual employed at Headquarters.
5/13/96	Oil Products, Inc., Mount Angel, Oregon	VEE-0023	Exception to the Reporting Requirements. If granted: Oil Products, Inc. would not be required to file Form EIA-782B Resellers'/Retailers' Monthly Petroleum Product Sales Report.
5/14/96	Golden Cat Division/Ralston Purina, Washington, DC.	RJ272–12	Reconsideration of a Supplemental Crude Oil Denial. If granted: The January 16, 1996 Decision and Order, Case No. RK272–319, issued to Golden Cat Division, Ralston Purina would be modified regarding the firm's application for refund submitted in the Crude Oil Supplemental Refund Proceeding.
5/15/96	Southard Oil Company, Inc., West Frankfort, Illinois.	VEE-0024	Exception to the Reporting Requirements. If granted: Southard Oil Company, Inc. would not be required to file Form EIA-782B, "Resellers'/Retailers' Monthly Petroleum Product Sales Report."
5/15/96	Williams Gulf, Memphis, Tennessee	RR300-283	Request for Modification/Rescission in the Gulf Refund Proceeding. If granted: The January 30, 1996 Dismissal Letter, Case Number RF300–18405, would be modified regarding the firm's application for refund submitted in the Gulf Refund Proceeding.
5/16/96	FOIA Group, Inc., Alexandria, Virginia	VFA-0165	Appeal of an Information Denial. If granted: The May 7, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by Savannah River Operations would be rescinded, and FOIA Group, Inc. would receive access to certain DOE information.