concentration will not exceed 150 μg/m^3 more than once per year in any location in the area. Similarly, the demonstration shows that, in the attainment year, the annual PM–10 concentration will not exceed the annual PM–10 NAAQS of 50 μg/m^3. The analysis is also sufficient to demonstrate that the PM–10 NAAQS will be maintained in future years because the population the area not increasing. The analysis was performed in a manner that is consistent with the Guideline on Air Quality Models (40 CFR 51 Appendix W). For more details regarding the attainment demonstration, see the Technical Support Document.

These revisions correct the deficiencies that resulted in EPA's limited disapproval of the attainment demonstration and emissions inventory.

II. Today's Proposal

Today, EPA is proposing to approve West Virginia's November 22, 1995 additions to its attainment demonstration and to approve the demonstration as meeting the requirements of section 189(a)(1)(B) for an attainment demonstration and the 172(c)(3) requirement for an accurate emissions inventory. By separate notice today, EPA is making an interim final determination that the revised demonstration remedies the deficiencies identified in the rulemaking of July 25, 1994. As a result, the sanctions which could have resulted from the July 1994 rulemaking shall not apply.

Nothing in this action should be construed as permitting or allowing or establishing a precedent for any future request for revision to any state implementation plan. Each request for revision to the state implementation plan shall be considered separately in light of specific technical, economic, and environmental factors and in relation to relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.

SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not impose any new requirements, the Administrator certifies that it does not have a significant impact on any small entities affected. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the CAA, preparation of a flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co.* v. *U.S. EPA*, 427 U.S. 246, 255–66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 600 *et seq.*, EPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. Alternatively, EPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000.

Under Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (''Unfunded Mandates Act''), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to the private sector, of $100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action proposed/promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of $100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new Federal requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

This action has been classified as a Table 3 action for signature by the Regional Administrator under the procedures published in the Federal Register on January 19, 1989 (54 FR 2214–2225), as revised by a July 10, 1995 memorandum from Mary Nichols, Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from E.O. 12866 review.

The Administrator's decision to approve or disapprove West Virginia's PM–10 attainment demonstration and emissions inventory for the Follansbee area will be based on whether it meets the requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A)-(K) and part D of the Clean Air Act, as amended, and EPA regulations in 40 CFR Part 51.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Particulate matter.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

Dated: January 25, 1996.

W. Michael McCabe,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 96–2250 Filed 2–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

40 CFR Part 70

[AD–FRL–5417–4]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri;

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of extension of the public comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA is giving notice that the public comment period for a notice of proposed rulemaking published December 15, 1995 (60 FR 64404), has been extended 30 days. The December 15, 1995, notice proposed interim approval of the operating permits program and delegation 112(l) authority for the state of Missouri. EPA is extending the comment period based on an extension request by a Missouri industry. The request is based on the fact that EPA was unavailable, during the government shutdown, to provide necessary information to the public.

DATES: Comments are now due on or before February 13, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Joshua A. Tapp, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joshua Tapp at (913) 551–7606 or at the aforementioned address.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

Dated: January 29, 1996.

Dennis Grams,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96–2354 Filed 2–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

42 CFR Part 100

RIN 0906–AA36

National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program: Revisions and Additions to the Vaccine Injury Table—II

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of public hearing.

SUMMARY: This document announces a public hearing to receive information and views on the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) entitled ''National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program: Revisions and Additions to the Vaccine Injury Table—II.''

DATES: The public hearing will be held on February 29, 1996, from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The public hearing will be held in Conference Room D in the Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Thomas E. Balbier, Jr., Director, Division of Vaccine Injury Compensation, at (301) 443–6593.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act (Public Law 99–660, as amended, Title XXI of the Act) provides a system of no-fault compensation for certain individuals who have been injured by specific childhood vaccines: namely, diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, polio, measles, mumps or rubella vaccines. Section 2114 of the Act contains a Vaccine Injury Table (the Table) which lists these vaccines and the time periods in which certain adverse events, e.g., injuries, disabilities, illnesses, or death, must occur in order for claimants to be entitled to a presumption that the event was vaccine-related. The Table was amended by regulation pursuant to Section 312 of the Act in the Final Rule published in the Federal Register on February 8, 1995 (60 FR 7678). The Secretary has proposed further revisions of the Vaccine Injury Table and accompanying Qualifications and Aids to Interpretation based on the findings of an Institute of Medicine report, which was released in late 1993, and the recommendations made by two advisory bodies, the National Vaccine Advisory Committee and the Advisory Commission on Childhood Vaccines. Among other changes, this proposed rule will add vaccines against Hepatitis B and hemophilus influenzae type b to the Table. The NPRM was published in the Federal Register, November 8, 1995: Vol. 60, No. 216, Pages 56289–56300. The public comment period closes May 6, 1996.

In view of the importance of the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program and the effect of the NPRM, the Secretary has determined that, in addition to the 180-day period for written comments on the NPRM, an informal public hearing will be held. This hearing is to provide an open forum for the presentation of information and views concerning all aspects of the NPRM by interested persons.

In preparing a final regulation, the Secretary will consider the administrative record of this hearing along with all other written comments received during the comment period specified in the NPRM. Individuals or representatives of interested organizations are invited to participate in the public hearing in accord with the schedule and procedures set forth below.

The hearing will be held on February 29, 1996, beginning at 1:00 p.m., in Conference D in the Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857. The hearing will be held following the noon adjournment of the February 28–29 meeting of the Advisory Commission on Childhood Vaccines.

The presiding officer representing the Secretary, HHS, will be Mr. Thomas E. Balbier, Jr., Director, Division of Vaccine Injury Compensation, Bureau of Health Professions (BHPr), Health Resources and Services Administration.

Persons who wish to participate are requested to file a notice of participation with the Department on or before February 15, 1996. The notice should be mailed to Division of Vaccine Injury Compensation, BHPr, Room 8A–35, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857. To ensure timely handling any outer envelope should be clearly marked ''NPRM Hearing.'' The notice of participation should contain the interested person's name, address, telephone number, any business or organizational affiliation of the person desiring to make a presentation, a brief summary of the presentation, and the approximate time requested for the presentation. Groups that have similar interests should consolidate their comments as part of one presentation. Time available for the hearing will be allocated among the persons who properly file notices of participation. If time permits, interested parties attending the hearing who did not submit a notice of participation in advance will be allowed to make an oral presentation at the conclusion of the hearing.

Persons who find that there is insufficient time to submit the required information in writing may give oral notice of participation by calling Mr. Thomas E. Balbier, Jr., Director, Division of Vaccine Injury Compensation, at (301) 443–6593 no later than February 15, 1996. Those persons who give oral notice of participation should also submit written notice containing the information described above to the Department by the close of business February 22, 1996.

After reviewing the notices of participation and accompanying information, the Department will schedule each appearance and notify each participant by mail or telephone of the time allotted to the person(s) and the approximate time the person's oral presentation is scheduled to begin.

Written comments and transcripts of the hearing will be made available for public inspection as soon as they have been prepared, on weekdays (Federal holidays excepted) between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at the Division of Vaccine Injury Compensation, Room 8A–35, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

Dated: January 30, 1996.

Ciro V. Sumaya,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96–2322 Filed 2–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Parts 525, 541, 555, 571, and 581

[Docket No. 95–95, Notice 1]

Exemptions From Average Fuel Economy Standards; Federal Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard; Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Bumper Standard

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a public meeting at which NHTSA will seek information from small volume manufacturers and the public on regulatory problems of such manufacturers. Previously, NHTSA announced that it is interested in developing a legislative package tailored to reduce the burden of its requirements