

Phase	Commencing	Incremental phase quantity (Dth/d)	Maximum lease quantity(Dth/d)
4	Nov. 1, 2000	19,000	64,000

Texas Eastern also seeks pregranted abandonment authorization for the proposed leased pipeline capacity upon termination of the Capacity Lease Agreement with CNG.

Comment date: August 28, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. Mississippi River Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-682-000]

Take notice that on July 30, 1996, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT), 1600 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP96-682-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon a transportation service provided under MRT's Rate Schedule X-24 for KN Energy, Inc. (KN), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

MRT states that it was authorized by Commission order issued June 18, 1986, in Docket No. CP86-138-000 to transport up to 1,000 Mcf of natural gas per day on an interruptible basis for KN. According to MRT, gas was delivered at the inlet side of its wellhead metering facilities located in Roger Mills County, Oklahoma. MRT states that it redelivered equivalent amounts of natural gas to KN at an existing point of interconnection in the North Reydon Field, Roger Mills County, Oklahoma.

MRT states that the transportation service is no longer required and has been terminated by mutual agreement in a letter dated May 15, 1996. MRT states that no facilities are proposed to be abandoned in connection with the requested authorization.

Comment date: August 28, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

5. Interenergy Sheffield Processing Company

[Docket No. CP96-684-000]

Take notice that on July 30, 1996, Interenergy Sheffield Processing Company (Interenergy Sheffield), 1700 Broadway, Suite 700, Denver, Colorado 80290, filed an application in Docket

No. CP96-684-000, for a Presidential Permit and for authority under Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act to construct, connect, maintain and operate certain natural gas facilities at the border of the United States and Canada for the purpose of importing up to 3300 Mcf per day of solution gas (a mixture of natural gas and natural gas liquids), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. Interenergy Sheffield also requests a waiver of the filing requirements of Section 153.8 of the Commission's Regulations.

To effectuate the import, Interenergy Sheffield proposes to construct 1.2 miles of 8-inch pipeline which would connect its existing gathering system in Burke County, North Dakota, with facilities at the Canadian border. Specifically, at the border the proposed pipeline would connect with a new 8-inch pipeline to be constructed in Canada by Interenergy Sheffield Processing Company (Canada) Ltd., and extend 4.5 miles into the Province of Saskatchewan where it would connect with an existing 8-inch gathering line owned and operated by Amoco Canada Resources Ltd. (Amoco), upstream of Amoco's Steelman Gas Processing Plant.

Comment date: August 28, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene

in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-20578 Filed 8-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

Office of Hearings and Appeals

Notice of Cases Filed During the Week of May 6 Through May 10, 1996

During the Week of May 6 through May 10, 1996, the appeals, applications, petitions or other requests listed in this Notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy.

Any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of publication of this Notice or the date of receipt of actual notice, whichever occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585-0107.

Dated: August 2, 1996.

George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS
[Week of May 6 through May 10, 1996]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
May 6, 1996	Howard T. Uhal, Aiken, SC	VFA-0160	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. If Granted: The April 2, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Albuquerque Operations Office would be rescinded and Howard T. Uhal would receive access to certain Department of Energy information.
May 8, 1996	Association of Public Agency Customers, Portland, OR.	VFA-0162	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. If Granted: The February 27, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Bonneville Power Administration would be rescinded, and Association of Public Agency Customers would receive access to certain Department of Energy Information.
May 8, 1996	Gilberte R. Brashear, Albuquerque, NM.	VFA-161	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. If Granted: The April 8, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Albuquerque Operations Office would be rescinded and Gilberte R. Brashear would receive access to certain Department of Energy information.
May 9, 1996	C. Lawrence Cornett/META, Inc., Vienna, VA.	VWA-0007 & VWA-0008	Request for Hearing under DOE Contractor Employee Protection Program. If Granted: A hearing under 10 C.F.R. Part 708 would be held on the complaint of C. Lawrence Cornett that reprisals were taken against him by the management officials of META, Inc. as a consequence of his having disclosed safety/health concerns to DOE.
May 9, 1996	Dorothy M. Bell, Amarillo, TX	VFA-0163	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. If Granted: The April 3, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by Albuquerque Operations Office would be rescinded, and Dorothy M. Bell would receive access to certain DOE information.
May 9, 1996	Todd M. Clark, Bowie, Maryland	VFA-0164	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. If Granted: The April 8, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Office of Environmental Management would be rescinded, and Todd M. Clark would receive access to certain DOE information.

[FR Doc. 96-20571 Filed 8-12-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Office of Hearing and Appeals

Notice of Cases Filed During the Week of April 29 Through May 3, 1996

During the Week of April 29 through May 3, 1996, the appeals, applications,

petitions or other requests listed in this Notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy.

Any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in any of these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of publication of this Notice or the date of receipt of actual notice, whichever

occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585-0107.

Dated: August 2, 1996.

George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS
[Week of April 29 through May 3, 1996]

Date	Name and Location of Applicant	Case No.	Type of Submission
4/29/96	Albuquerque Operations Office Albuquerque, New Mexico.	VSO-0095	Request for Hearing under 10 C.F.R. Part 710. IF GRANTED: An individual employed at Albuquerque Operations Office would receive a hearing under 10 C.F.R. Part 710.
4/29/96	Larson Associated, Inc. Richland, Washington.	VFA-0155	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. IF GRANTED: The April 16, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by Oak Ridge Operations Office would be rescinded, and Larson Associated, Inc. would receive access to certain DOE information.
4/29/96	Oak Ridge Operations Office Oak Ridge, Tennessee.	VSO-0096	Request for Hearing under 10 C.F.R. Part 710 IF GRANTED: An individual employed at Oak Ridge Operations Office would receive a hearing under 10 C.F.R. Part 710.
4/30/96	Arlene Jolles Lotman Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.	VFA-0156	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. IF GRANTED: The April 1, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by Albuquerque Operations Office would be rescinded, and Arlene Jolles Lotman would receive access to certain DOE information.