

The FAA has completed its review of the noise exposure maps and related descriptions submitted by St. Louis, Missouri. The specific maps under consideration are the Existing (1994) and Future (1999) Baseline Noise Exposure Maps in the submission. The FAA has determined that these maps for Lambert-St. Louis International Airport are in compliance with applicable requirements. This determination is effective on July 15, 1996. FAA's determination on an airport operator's noise exposure maps is limited to a finding that the maps were developed in accordance with the procedures contained in appendix A of FAR Part 150. Such determination does not constitute approval of the applicant's data, information or plans, or a commitment to approve a noise compatibility program or to fund the implementation of that program.

If questions arise concerning the precise relationship of specific properties to noise exposure contours depicted on a noise exposure map submitted under section 103 of the Act, it should be noted that the FAA is not involved in any way in determining the relative locations of specific properties with regard to the depicted noise contours, or in interpreting the noise exposure maps to resolve questions concerning, for example, which properties should be covered by the provisions of section 107 of the Act. These functions are inseparable from the ultimate land use control and planning responsibilities of local government. These local responsibilities are not changed in any way under Part 150 or through FAA's review of noise exposure maps. Therefore, the responsibility for the detailed overlaying of noise exposure contours onto the map depicting properties on the surface rests exclusively with the airport operator which submitted those maps, or with those public agencies and planning agencies with which consultation is required under section 103 of the Act. The FAA has relied on the certification by the airport operator, under section 150.21 of FAR Part 150, that the statutorily required consultation has been accomplished.

The FAA has formally received the noise compatibility program for Lambert-St. Louis International Airport, also effective on July 15, 1996. Preliminary review of the submitted material indicates that it conforms to the requirements for the submittal of noise compatibility programs, but that further review will be necessary prior to approval or disapproval of the program. The formal review period, limited by law to a maximum of 180 days, will be

completed on or before January 10, 1997.

The FAA's detailed evaluation will be conducted under the provisions of 14 CFR Part 150, section 150.33. The primary considerations in the evaluation process are whether the proposed measures may reduce the level of aviation safety, create an undue burden on interstate or foreign commerce, or be reasonably consistent with obtaining the goal of reducing existing noncompatible land uses and preventing the introduction of additional noncompatible land uses.

Interested persons are invited to comment on the proposed program with specific reference to these factors. All comments, other than those properly addressed to local land use authorities, will be considered by the FAA to the extent practicable. Copies of the noise exposure maps, the FAA's evaluation of the maps, and the proposed noise compatibility program are available for examination at the following locations: Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW, Room 617, Washington, D.C. 20591. Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106. Lambert-St. Louis International Airport, Airport Planning and Development Office, 4610 N. Lindberg Boulevard, Bridgeton, MO 63044.

Questions may be directed to the individual named above under the heading, **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri on July 15, 1996.

James W. Brunskill,  
*Acting Manager, Airports Division.*

[FR Doc. 96-19358 Filed 7-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

#### **[Summary Notice No. PE-96-37]**

#### **Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions

previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

**DATES:** Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before August 20, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on any petition in triplicate to:

Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC-200), Petition Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: [nprmcmts@mail.hq.faa.gov](mailto:nprmcmts@mail.hq.faa.gov).

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC-200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-3132.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. D. Michael Smith, Office of Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-7470.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g), of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 26, 1996.

Donald P. Byrne,  
*Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.*

#### **Petitions for Exemption**

*Docket No.:* 28563.

*Petitioner:* Mercer County Community College.

*Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 141.91.

*Description of Relief Sought:* To permit Mercer County Community College to provide ground school courses over interactive television simultaneously to three institutions while notifying only one Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), instead of notifying each FSDO having jurisdiction over the individual satellite bases.

*Docket No.:* 28606.

*Petitioner:* Petroleum Helicopters, Inc.  
*Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.167(b)(3) (xvi), (xvii), (xviii), and (xix).

*Description of Relief Sought:* To permit Petroleum Helicopters, Inc., to conduct extended overwater operations in the Gulf of Mexico without carrying certain emergency equipment in the life raft on board the aircraft.

**Dispositions of Petitions**

*Docket No.:* 27787.

*Petitioner:* Ameriflight, Inc.

*Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2)

*Description of Relief Sought:*

*Disposition:* To extend Exemption No. 5949, which permits Ameriflight, Inc., to operate certain aircraft without TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponders installed.

*Grant, June 27, 1996, Exemption No. 5949A.*

*Docket No.:* 28487.

*Petitioner:* Custom Products, Inc.

*Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 25.853(a).

*Description of Relief Sought:*

*Disposition:* To permit Custom Products, Inc., to be exempt from vertical burn test requirements for its seat cushions assembled with currently available, non-compliant water-based adhesives.

*Partial Grant, July 9, 1996, Exemption No. 6477.*

*Docket No.:* 28550.

*Petitioner:* United Parcel Service.

*Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 25.1415(b).

*Description of Relief Sought:*

*Disposition:* To permit operation of four Boeing Model 767-200 freighter airplanes, with TSO C70A Type II liferafts.

*Grant, April 22, 1996, Exemption No. 6427.*

**Good Cause**

*Docket No.:* 15903.

*Petitioner:* Department of Treasury, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms.

*Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 91.111(a), 91.159(a) (1) and (2), and 91.209 (a) and (d).

*Description of Relief Sought:* To extend and amend Exemption No. 2327, which permits the ATF to conduct surveillance operations to enforce Federal laws pertaining to firearms, liquor, explosives, and wagering. The amendment limits the need for relief from certain sections of the CFR in the original exemption.

*Docket No.:* 22872.

*Petitioner:* Air Transport Association of America.

*Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 61.157(a); Item I(b) of appendix A to part 61; 121.424(a), (b), and (d)(1); Item I(a) of appendix E of part 121; and Item I(b) of appendix F to part 121.

*Description of Relief Sought:* To extend Exemption No. 4416, as amended, which allows ATA members airlines and other qualifying part 121 certificate holders to continue to conduct training and checking of pilots of airplanes requiring only tow flight crewmembers on the required preflight inspection, both interior and exterior, using approved pictorial means.

*Docket No.:* 28546.

*Petitioner:* The Ranch Parachute Club, Ltd.

*Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 105.43(a).

*Description of Relief Sought:* To permit nonstudent parachutists who are foreign nationals (foreign parachutists) to participate in parachute-jumping events sponsored by The Ranch Parachute Club, Ltd., (The Ranch) at The Ranch's facilities, using parachutes that have not been approved by the FAA, but have been accepted and/or approved for use by the proper authorities in the foreign parachutist's own country.

*Docket No.:* 28580.

*Petitioner:* Department of the Air Force.

*Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 65.71(a)(2).

*Description of Relief Sought:* To permit Mr. Alfred Flores, Mr. Ronald Miller, and Mr. Ronald Mora, who are hearing impaired, to be eligible for certification as airframe and powerplant mechanics.

*Docket No.:* 28590.

*Petitioner:* Human Flight, Inc.

*Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 105.43(a).

*Description of Relief Sought:* To allow Human Flight, Inc., employees, representatives, and volunteer test jumpers to make tandem parachute jumps while wearing a dual-harness, dual-parachute pack, having at least one main parachute and one approved auxiliary parachute packed in accordance with § 105.43(a). This exemption, if granted, also would permit a pilot in command of an aircraft to allow such persons to make these parachute jumps.

[FR Doc. 96-19505 Filed 7-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

**RTCA, Inc.; Government/Industry Free Flight Steering Committee**

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463, 5 U.S.C., Appendix 2), notice is hereby given for an RTCA Government/Industry Free Flight Steering Committee meeting to be held August 15, 1996,

starting at 8:30 a.m. The meeting will be held at the Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, in Conference Room 9ABC (9th floor).

The agenda will be as follows: (1) Welcome/Opening Remarks; (2) Review Summary of the Previous Meeting; (3) Overview and Disposition of Steering Committee Comments on the Draft Government/Industry Free Flight Action Plan; (4) FAA Presentation on Budget Outlook and Priorities; (5) Steering Committee Action on the Free Flight Action Plan; (6) Other Business; (7) Date and Location of Next Meeting.

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the RTCA Secretariat, 1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Suite 1020, Washington, DC, 20036; (202) 833-9339 (phone) or (202) 833-9434 (fax). Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 24, 1996.

Janice L. Peters,

*Designated Official.*

[FR Doc. 96-19502 Filed 7-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-13-M

**Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport, DFW Airport, TX**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before August 30, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate copies to the FAA at the following address: Mr. Ben Guttery, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Planning and Programming Branch,