*NASA Case No. ARC-14,060-1LE:* Blind Pointer;

NASA Case No. ARC-14,051-1SB:
Molded Structural Thermal Protection;
NASA Case No. ARC-14,052-1SB:
Integrated System Advanced
Attachment Concept for Thermal
Protection;

*NASA Case No. ARC-14,053-1LE:* System and Method for Finite Element Simulation and Helicopter Turbulence.

Dated: July 8, 1996. Edward A. Frankle, General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 96-17969 Filed 7-15-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

#### [Notice 96-073]

NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Space Science Advisory Committee (SScAC), Solar System Exploration Advisory Subcommittee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92–463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a meeting of the NASA Advisory Council, Space Science Advisory Committee, Solar System Exploration Advisory Subcommittee.

**DATES:** Monday, August 19, 1996, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.; and Tuesday, August 20, 1996, 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: National Aeronautics and Space Administration, MIC Room 7H46, 300 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20546.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jurgen Rahe, Code SA, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358–2150.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be open to the public up to the capacity of the room. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- —Office of Space Science Activities
- —Board of Directors Overview
- Research Program Management Overview
- —Advanced Technology and Mission Studies Overview
- Mission and Payload Development, Overview
- -Roadmap to the Solar System
- —Future Activities

It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Visitors will be requested to sign a visitor's register. Dated: July 9, 1996.

Leslie M. Nolan,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-17968 Filed 7-15-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

# NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

# Appointments of Individuals to Serve as Members of Performance Review Boards

5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4) requires that the appointments of individuals to serve as members of performance review boards be published in the Federal Register. Therefore, in compliance with this requirement, notice is hereby given that the individuals whose names and position titles appear below have been appointed to serve as members of performance review boards in the National Labor Relations Board for the rating year beginning October 1, 1994 and ending September 30, 1995.

Name and Title

Richard L. Ahearn—Regional Director, Region 9

Frank V. Battle—Deputy Director of Administration

B. Allan Benson—Acting Associate General Counsel, Operations— Management

Mary Joyce Carlson—Deputy General Counsel

Harold J. Datz—Chief Counsel to Board Member

Robert A. Giannasi—Chief Administrative Law Judge

Wayne R. Gold—Acting Director, Office of Representation Appeals

Peter B. Hoffman—Regional Director, Region 34

Susan Holik—Chief Counsel to Board Member

Gloria Joseph—Director of Administration

Barry J. Kearney—Associate General Counsel, Advice

Linda R. Sher—Associate General Counsel, Enforcement Litigation

William R. Stewart—Chief Counsel to the Chairman

Elinor H. Stillman—Chief Counsel to Board Member

John J. Toner—Executive Secretary Dennis P. Walsh—Chief Counsel to Board Member

Jeffrey D. Wedekind—Acting Solicitor Dated: Washington, D.C., July 10, 1996. By Direction of the Board.

John J. Toner,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–18028 Filed 7–15–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7545-01-M

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

- 1. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions".
- 2. Current OMB approval number: 3150–0021.
- 3. How often the collection is required: On occasion. Upon submittal of an application for a construction permit, operating license, operating license renewal, early site review, design certification review, decommissioning or termination review, manufacturing license, materials license, or upon submittal of a petition for rulemaking.
- 4. Who is required or asked to report: Licensees and applicants requesting approvals for actions proposed in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR Parts 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 39, 40, 50, 52, 54, 60, 61, 70 and 72.
- 5. The number of annual respondents: 24.
- 6. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 38,410.
- 7. Abstract: 10 CFR Part 51 of the NRC's regulations specifies information and data to be provided by applicants and licensees so that the NRC can make determinations necessary to adhere to the policies, regulations, and public laws of the United States, which are to be interpreted and administered in accordance with the policies set forth in the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended.

Submit, by September 16, 1996, comments that address the following questions:

- 1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
  - 2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
- 3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
- 4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (lower level), Washington, DC. Members of the public who are in the Washington, DC, area can access this document via modem on the Public Document Room Bulletin Board (NRC's Advanced Copy Document Library), NRC subsystem at FedWorld, 703–321–3339. Members of the public who are located outside of the Washington, DC, area can dial FedWorld, 1-800-303-9672, or use the FedWorld Internet address: fedworld.gov (Telnet). The document will be available on the bulletin board for 30 days after the signature date of this notice. If assistance is needed in accessing the document, please contact the FedWorld help desk at 703-487-4608. Additional assistance in locating the document is available from the NRC Public Document Room, nationally at 1-800-397-4209, or within the Washington, DC, area at 202-634-3273.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T–6 F33, Washington, DC, 20555–0001, by telephone at (301) 415–7233, or by Internet electronic mail at BJS1@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of July, 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Gerald F. Cranford, Designated Senior, Official for Information Resources Management. [FR Doc. 96–18005 Filed 7–15–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P [Docket No. 50-390 (10 CFR 2.206)]

### Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) (Watts Bar Nuclear Plant); Final Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

### I. Introduction

On February 14, 1996, Ms. Faith Young (Petitioner) of Dixon Springs, Tennessee, submitted a letter requesting that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), among other things, rescind the operating license of Watts Bar Nuclear Plant (WBNP). The Petitioner's concern, as stated in her February 14 letter, is as follows:

Watts Bar lake water which cools Watts Bar nuclear plant's radioactive core holds sediment contaminated by radioactive material. Over a lifetime of Watts Bar nuclear plant operation uncontrolled access to this lake will disturb its sediment, in turn contaminating water drawn into the nuclear cooling system. This heightened radioactive contamination of nuclear plant emission has not been previously addressed. No action is being considered to restrict lake use or to remove radioactive material. This "record of decision" by Department of Energy, Environmental Protection Agency, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, state of Tennessee and Tennessee Valley Authority appears in an interagency document dated September, 1995.

Since the document referred to by Ms. Young ("Record of Decision for the Lower Watts Bar Reservoir," DOE/OR/02–1373&D3, dated September 1995, hereinafter, the "Department of Energy (DOE) report") clearly addresses Lower Watts Bar Reservoir (LWBR), the staff has assumed, for purposes of this Decision, that the "Watts Bar lake" in Ms. Young's letter refers to the Lower Watts Bar Reservoir. On March 27, 1996, the staff formally notified Ms. Young that her Petition was being evaluated pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206.

#### II. Discussion

The DOE report presents the selected remedial action being used to address the contamination of the LWBR "Operable Unit (OU)." The report attributes LWBR contamination to past activities at the DOE's Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR) and other non-DOE sources. The boundaries of the LWBR, as defined in the DOE report, extend from the Watts Bar Dam at Tennessee River Mile (TRM) 529.9 on the Tennessee River, upstream to TRM 567.5 at the confluence of the Clinch and Tennessee Rivers. The DOE report, on page 2-2, discusses the selection of the Watts Bar Dam as the downstream boundary as follows:

The downstream boundary of the ORR was placed at Watts Bar Dam because earlier

studies had shown that the vast majority of sediment-associated contaminants released from ORR had collected in lower Watts Bar Reservoir. Consequently, concentrations of sediment-associated contaminants released from ORR are much lower in reservoirs downstream of Watts Bar Dam. The level of Oak Ridge-derived contaminants detected in past studies in the Tennessee River system below the Watts Bar Dam were well below the concentrations determined to be of human health concerns by the baseline risk assessment within the Watts Bar Reservoir.

WBNP is located approximately 1.9 river miles downstream from the Watts Bar Dam on the west bank of the Chickamauga Lake, Chickamauga Lake is the next lake downstream from the LWBR and is bounded by the Chickamauga Dam approximately 57 miles downstream from WBNP. The intake and discharge for cooling water to WBNP are located 1.9 or more river miles downstream from the Watts Bar Dam. Accordingly, it must be noted that WBNP is located outside and below the boundary of the area considered by the DOE report. Therefore, since WBNP does not draw cooling water from within the boundary of the LWBR and does not discharge cooling water into the boundary of the LWBR, the operation of WBNP will have no effect on the sediment in the LWBR and, accordingly, will not cause contaminated sediment to be drawn into WBNP.

The Petitioner's understanding that the LWBR holds sediment contaminated by radioactive material is consistent with the DOE report (see page 2-2) and with information in the NRC staff's "Final Environmental Statement Related to the Operation of Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2," (FES) NUREG-0498, Supplement 1, Section 2.5, April 1995. The NRC staff stated therein that "Operations at the Oak Ridge Reservation have historically resulted in the release of radionuclides to the aquatic environment \* \* \*. Most of the releases occurred during the 1950s and have declined since." The NRC staff concluded in the FES, Supplement 1, that there are no significant changes in environmental impacts as a result of changes in plant design, procedures or proposed methods of plant operation, or changes in the environment.

By contrast, the Petitioner's claim that "no action is being considered to restrict lake use or to remove radioactive material" is not consistent with the DOE report. The DOE report's "Statement of Basis and Purpose" (page 2–2) states that the report "presents the selected remedial action for the LWBR OU." The "Description of Selected Remedy" (page 2–2) and "The Selected Remedy" (page 2–10) describe the selected remedy as