Observations of the Individual

In instances in which the adjudicator has observed the individual, the adjudicator is not free to accept or reject the individual's complaints solely on the basis of such personal observations, but should consider any personal observations in the overall evaluation of the credibility of the individual's statements.

In evaluating the credibility of the individual's statements, the adjudicator must also consider any observations recorded by SSA personnel who previously interviewed the individual, whether in person or by telephone.

Consideration of Findings by State Agency and Other Program Physicians and Psychologists at the Administrative Law Judge and Appeals Council Levels of Administrative Review

Under 20 CFR 404.1527(f) and 416.927(f), administrative law judges and the Appeals Council are required to consider findings of fact by State agency medical and psychological consultants and other program physicians and psychologists about the existence and severity of an individual's impairment(s), including the existence and severity of any symptoms, as opinions of nonexamining physicians and psychologists. Administrative law judges and the Appeals Council are not bound by any State agency findings, but they may not ignore these opinions and must explain the weight given to the opinions in their decisions. Therefore, if the case record includes a finding by a State agency medical or psychological consultant or other program physician or psychologist on the credibility of the individual's statements about limitations or restrictions due to symptoms, the adjudicator at the administrative law judge or Appeals Council level of administrative review must consider and weigh this opinion of a nonexamining source under the applicable rules in 20 CFR 404.1527 and 416.927 and must explain the weight given to the opinion in the decision. (See SSR 96–6p, "Titles II and XVI: Consideration of Administrative Findings of Fact by State Agency Medical and Psychological Consultants and Other Program Physicians and Psychologists at the Administrative Law Judge and Appeals Council Levels of Administrative Review; Medical Equivalence.")

Effective Date: This Ruling is effective on July 2, 1996.

Cross-References: SSR 96–3p, "Titles II and XVI: Considering Allegations of Pain and Other Symptoms in Determining Whether a Medically

Determinable Impairment is Severe," SSR 96–8p, "Titles II and XVI: Assessing Residual Functional Capacity in Initial Claims," SSR 96–6p, "Titles II and XVI: Consideration of Administrative Findings of Fact by State Agency Medical and Psychological Consultants and Other Program Physicians and Psychologists at the Administrative Law Judge and Appeals Council Levels of Administrative Review; Medical Equivalence;" and Program Operations Manual System, sections DI 24515.061 and DI 24515.064.B.3.

[FR Doc. 96–16690 Filed 7–1–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4190–29–P

Social Security Ruling (SSR) 96–4p. Titles II and XVI: Symptoms, Medically Determinable Physical and Mental Impairments, and Exertional and Nonexertional Limitations

AGENCY: Social Security Administration. **ACTION:** Notice of Social Security Ruling.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 20 CFR 422.406(b)(1), the Commissioner of Social Security gives notice of Social Security Ruling 96–4p. This Ruling clarifies the Social Security Administration's longstanding policy on the evaluation of symptoms in the adjudication of claims for disability benefits under Title II, Federal Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance Benefits, and Title XVI, Supplemental Security Income for the Aged, Blind, and Disabled, of the Social Security Act.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 2, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joanne K. Castello, Division of Regulations and Rulings, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235, (410) 965–1711.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Although we are not required to do so pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1) and (a)(2), we are publishing this Social Security Ruling in accordance with 20 CFR 422.406(b)(1).

Social Security Rulings make available to the public precedential decisions relating to the Federal old-age, survivors, disability, supplemental security income, and black lung benefits programs. Social Security Rulings may be based on case decisions made at all administrative levels of adjudication, Federal court decisions, Commissioner's decisions, opinions of the Office of the General Counsel, and other policy interpretations of the law and regulations.

Although Social Security Rulings do not have the force and effect of the law or regulations, they are binding on all components of the Social Security Administration, in accordance with 20 CFR 422.406(b)(1), and are to be relied upon as precedents in adjudicating cases.

If this Social Security Ruling is later superseded, modified, or rescinded, we will publish a notice in the Federal Register to that effect.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance, Programs 96.001 Social Security—Disability Insurance; 96.002 Social Security— Retirement Insurance; 96.004 Social Security-Survivors Insurance; 96.005 Special Benefits for Disabled Coal Miners; 96.006 Supplemental Security Income)

Dated: June 7, 1996. Shirley S. Chater,

Commissioner of Social Security.

Policy Interpretation Ruling—Titles II and XVI: Symptoms, Medically Determinable Physical and Mental Impairments, and Exertional and Nonexertional Limitations

Purpose: The purpose of this Ruling is to clarify longstanding policy of the Social Security Administration on the evaluation of symptoms in the adjudication of claims for disability benefits under title II and title XVI of the Social Security Act (the Act). In particular, this Ruling emphasizes that:

1. A "symptom" is not a "medically determinable physical or mental impairment" and no symptom by itself can establish the existence of such an impairment.

2. In the absence of a showing that there is a "medically determinable physical or mental impairment," an individual must be found not disabled at step 2 of the sequential evaluation process. No symptom or combination of symptoms can be the basis for a finding of disability, no matter how genuine the individual's complaints may appear to be, unless there are medical signs and laboratory findings demonstrating the existence of a medically determinable physical or mental impairment.

3. The terms "exertional" and "nonexertional" in the regulations describe types of functional limitations or restrictions resulting from a medically determinable physical or mental impairment; i.e., exertional limitations affect an individual's ability to meet the strength demands of jobs, and nonexertional limitations or restrictions affect an individual's ability to meet the nonstrength demands of jobs. Therefore, a symptom in itself is neither exertional nor nonexertional. Rather, it is the nature of the functional limitations or restrictions caused by an

impairment-related symptom that determines whether the impact of the symptom is exertional, nonexertional, or both.

4. The application of the medical-vocational rules in appendix 2 of subpart P of Regulations No. 4 depends on the nature of the limitations and restrictions imposed by an individual's medically determinable physical or mental impairment(s), and any related symptoms.

Citations (Authority): Sections 216(i), 223(d) and 1614(a)(3) of the Social Security Act, as amended; Regulations No. 4, sections 404.1505, 404.1508, 404.1520, 404.1528(a), 404.1529, 404.1569a and subpart P, appendix 2; and Regulations No. 16, sections 416.905, 416.908, 416.920, 416.924, 416.928(a), 416.929 and 416.969a.

Policy Interpretation

Need To Establish the Existence of a Medically Determinable Physical or Mental Impairment

The Act defines disability as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment that can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 1 An 'impairment' must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities that can be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. Although the regulations provide that the existence of a medically determinable physical or mental impairment must be established by medical evidence consisting of signs, symptoms,² and laboratory findings, the regulations further provide that under

no circumstances may the existence of an impairment be established on the basis of symptoms alone. Thus, regardless of how many symptoms an individual alleges, or how genuine the individual's complaints may appear to be, the existence of a medically determinable physical or mental impairment cannot be established in the absence of objective medical abnormalities; i.e., medical signs and laboratory findings.

No symptom or combination of symptoms by itself can constitute a medically determinable impairment. In claims in which there are no medical signs or laboratory findings to substantiate the existence of a medically determinable physical or mental impairment, the individual must be found not disabled at step 2 of the sequential evaluation process set out in 20 CFR 404.1520 and 416.920 (or, for an individual under age 18 claiming disability benefits under title XVI, 20 CFR 416.924).

In addition, 20 CFR 404.1529 and 416.929 provide that an individual's symptoms, such as pain, fatigue, shortness of breath, weakness, or nervousness, will not be found to affect the individual's ability to do basic work activities (or, for an individual under age 18 claiming disability benefits under title XVI, to function independently, appropriately, and effectively in an ageappropriate manner) unless medical signs and laboratory findings show that there is a medically determinable physical or mental impairment(s) that could reasonably be expected to produce the symptom(s) alleged.

Exertional and Nonexertional Limitations

Once the existence of a medically determinable physical or mental impairment(s) that could reasonably be expected to produce the pain or other symptoms alleged has been established on the basis of medical signs and laboratory findings, allegations about the intensity and persistence of the symptoms must be considered with the objective medical abnormalities, and all other evidence in the case record, in evaluating the functionally limiting effects of the impairment(s). In addition, for determinations or decisions at step 5 of the sequential evaluation process for individuals claiming disability benefits under title II and individuals age 18 or older claiming disability benefits under title XVI, 20 CFR 404.1569a and 416.969a explain that an individual's impairment(s) and related symptoms, such as pain, may cause limitations of function or restrictions that limit an individual's ability to meet

certain demands of jobs. These sections divide limitations or restrictions into three classifications: Exertional, nonexertional, and combined exertional and nonexertional. Exertional limitations or restrictions affect an individual's ability to meet the seven strength demands of jobs (sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, and pulling), while nonexertional limitations or restrictions affect an individual's ability to meet the nonstrength demands of jobs (all physical limitations and restrictions that are not reflected in the seven strength demands, and mental limitations and restrictions). The nature of the limitations or restrictions affects whether the rules in appendix 2 to subpart P of Regulations No. 4 may be used to direct a decision or must be used as a framework for decisionmaking.

Likewise, under the regulations, symptoms in themselves are neither exertional nor nonexertional. An individual's symptoms, however, can cause limitations or restrictions that are classified as exertional, nonexertional. or a combination of both. For example, pain can result in an exertional limitation if it limits the ability to perform one of the strength activities (e.g., lifting), or a nonexertional limitation if it limits the ability to perform a nonstrength activity (e.g., fingering or concentrating). It is the nature of the limitations or restrictions resulting from the symptom (i.e., exertional, nonexertional, or both) that will determine whether the medicalvocational rules in appendix 2 may be used to direct a decision or must be used as a framework for decisionmaking. For additional discussion of this longstanding policy, see SSR 96-8p, "Titles II and XVI: Assessing Residual Functional Capacity in Initial Claims.'

Effective Date: This Ruling is effective on July 2, 1996.

Cross-References: SSR 96–3p, "Titles II and XVI: Considering Allegations of Pain and Other Symptoms in Determining Whether a Medically Determinable Impairment is Severe," SSR 96–7p, "Titles II and XVI: Evaluation of Symptoms in Disability Claims: Assessing the Credibility of an Individual's Statements," and SSR 96–8p, "Titles II and XVI: Assessing Residual Functional Capacity in Initial Claims;" and Program Operations Manual System, sections DI 24501.020, DI 24515.061, and DI 24515.063.

[FR Doc. 96–16687 Filed 7–1–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4190–29–P

¹This definition of disability applies to individuals claiming disability benefits under title II and individuals age 18 or older claiming disability benefits under title XVI. For title XVI, an individual under age 18 will be considered disabled if he or she is suffering from a medically determinable physical or mental impairment of comparable severity to an impairment that would disable an adult.

² 20 CFR 404.1528, 404.1529, 416.928, and 416.929 provide that symptoms, such as pain, fatigue, shortness of breath, weakness or nervousness, are an individual's own perception or description of the impact of his or her physical or mental impairment(s). (20 CFR 416.928 further provides that, for an individual under age 18 who is unable to adequately describe his or her symptom(s), the adjudicator will accept as a statement of this symptom(s) the description given by the person most familiar with the individual, such as a parent, other relative, or guardian.) However, when any of these manifestations is an anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormality that can be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques, it represents a medical "sign" rather than a 'svmptom.