

and Sales Agreement between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and TransCanada Power Corp. pursuant to LG&E's Rate Schedule GSS.

*Comment date:* June 26, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 23. Louisville Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96-2003-000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company tendered for filing copies of a Purchase and Sales Agreement between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Sonat Power Marketing, Inc., pursuant to LG&E's Rate Schedule GSS.

*Comment date:* June 26, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 24. Louisville Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96-2004-000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, tendered for filing copies of a Purchase and Sales Agreement between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kimball Power Company pursuant to LG&E's Rate Schedule GSS.

*Comment date:* June 26, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 25. MidAmerican Energy Company

[Docket No. ER96-2005-000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1996, MidAmerican Energy Company (MidAmerican), 106 East Second Street, Davenport, Iowa 52801, filed with the Commission Service Agreements with QST Energy Trading, Inc. (QST) dated May 15, 1996, and VTEC Energy, Inc. (VTEC) dated May 30, 1996, entered into pursuant to MidAmerican's Rate Schedule for Power Sales, FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 5.

MidAmerican requests an effective date of May 15, 1996 for the Agreement with QST, and May 30, 1996 for the Agreement with VTEC, and accordingly seeks a waiver of the Commission's notice requirement. MidAmerican has served a copy of the filing on QST, VTRC, the Iowa Utilities Board, the Illinois Commerce Commission and the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission.

*Comment date:* June 26, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 26. The Dayton Power and Light Company

[Docket No. ER96-2006-000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1996, The Dayton Power and Light Company (Dayton), tendered for filing an executed Master Power Sales Agreement between Dayton and Wisconsin Power and Light Company (Wisconsin).

Pursuant to the rate schedules attached as Exhibit B to the Agreement, Dayton will provide to Wisconsin power and/or energy for resale.

*Comment date:* June 26, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 27. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. ER96-2007-000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1996, Southern California Edison Company (Edison), tendered for filing the following Supplemental Agreement (Supplemental Agreement) to the 1990 Integrated Operations Agreement between the City of Azusa (Azusa) and Edison, FERC Rate Schedule No. 247:

Supplemental Agreement for the Integration of Non-Firm Energy From a Portion of Azusa's Entitlement in San Juan Unit 3 Between Southern California Edison Company and City of Azusa

The Supplemental Agreement sets forth the terms and conditions by which Edison will integrate Azusa's remaining entitlement in San Juan Unit 3 is integrated as a City Capacity Resource in accordance with the terms of the 1990 IOA. Edison is requesting waiver of the 60-day prior notice requirement, and requests that the Commission assign to the Supplemental Agreement an effective date of June 4, 1996.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California and all interested parties.

*Comment date:* June 26, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 28. New England Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-2008-000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1996, New England Power Company filed a Service Agreement and Certificate of Concurrence with PECO Energy Company under NEP's FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 5.

*Comment date:* June 26, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 29. New England Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-2009-000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1996, New England Power Company filed a Service

Agreement and Certificate of Concurrence with Reading Municipal Light Department under NEP's FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 5.

*Comment date:* June 26, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 30. Lowell Cogeneration Company, L.P.

[Docket No. QF86-435-003]

On June 5, 1996, Lowell Cogeneration Company, L.P., of 282 Western Avenue, Lowell, Massachusetts 01851, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for recertification of a facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility pursuant to Section 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

The cogeneration facility, which is located in Lowell, Massachusetts, was previously certified as a qualifying cogeneration facility, *Consolidated Power Company*, 35 FERC ¶ 62,139 (1986). The instant request for recertification reflects the revised dispatching of the facility.

The electric utility which will purchase the electric output of the facility is Commonwealth Electric Company (Commonwealth), or, subject to Commonwealth's approval, such other utility that may enter into purchase agreements at market base rates.

*Comment date:* On or before July 5, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-15651 Filed 6-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

**[Docket No. CP88-171-031, et al.]****Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings**

June 12, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP88-171-031]

Take notice that on June 5, 1996, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), 1010 Milam Street, Houston, Texas 77252, filed an abbreviated application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act to amend its certificate of public convenience and necessity previously issued in this proceeding to change the primary receipt point authorized for Tennessee's firm transportation service provided to Flagg Energy Development Corporation (Flagg Energy).

Tennessee states that on May 2, 1990, as amended on May 14, 1992, the Commission issued Tennessee a certificate of public convenience and necessity under Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations authorizing Tennessee to, among other things, provide a firm transportation service of up to 4,140 dekatherms per day on behalf of Flagg Energy. Tennessee states that Flagg Energy has requested a change in its primary receipt point to the existing Stingray-Johnson Bayou receipt point in Cameron Parish, Louisiana, due to a change in Flagg Energy's gas supply portfolio.

Tennessee states that it has sufficient primary firm capacity at this existing receipt point to accommodate Flagg Energy's request without adversely affecting service to other firm customers and without construction of new facilities. Accordingly, Tennessee states that there is no environmental impact associated with the request.

*Comment date:* July 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

2. Young Gas Storage Company, Ltd.

[Docket No. CP93-541-007]

Take notice that on June 4, 1996, Young Gas Storage Company, Ltd. (Young), Post Office Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP93-541-007, a petition to amend the authorizations issued on June 22, 1994 and October 5, 1995 in Docket Nos. CP93-541-000 *et al.*, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), and Part 157 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, to drill and operate two new injection/withdrawal

wells, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Young states that upon further study and data gained in the development of the storage field, certain changes to well requirements are needed to provide for the continued development of the storage field so that service may be provided at certificated levels. Specifically, Young seeks authorization to drill and operate two injection/withdrawal wells, well nos. 23 and 37, for the 1996/1997 withdrawal season. Young avers that these two wells will result in 19 injection/withdrawal wells which is the same number as originally certificated by the Commission.

*Comment date:* July 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

3. Pacific Interstate Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP96-544-000]

Take notice that on May 24, 1996, Pacific Interstate Transmission Company (PITCO), 633 West 5th Street, Suite 5300, Los Angeles, California 90071, filed in Docket No. CP96-544-000, an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Section 9 of the Alaskan Natural Gas Transportation Act (ANGTA), for a Part 284 blanket certificate authorizing PITCO to operate as an open access pipeline in compliance with Order No. 636, *et al.*, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, PITCO requests: (1) authority to credit revenues received from releases of capacity by PITCO as a Part 284 shipper on the Pacific Gas Transmission Company (PGT) and Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest) systems that is excess to the requirements of its sole customer, Southern California Gas Company (SoCal); (2) a finding that, as a result of the restructuring of its gas purchase obligation in 1994, conversion of its transportation rights on PGT and Northwest to Part 284 service, together with this filing, PITCO is in compliance, to the extent applicable, with Order No. 636, *et al.*; and (3) a Part 284 Subpart J blanket certificate authorizing PITCO to provide self implementing unbundled sales service in addition to its bundled service to SoCal.

PITCO filed pro-forma tariff sheets to effectuate the restructuring of its operations.

*Comment date:* July 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. CNG Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-558-000]

Take notice that on June 7, 1996, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301, filed in Docket No. CP96-558-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Regulations for permission and approval to abandon in place 67.07 miles of 14-inch pipeline known as Line 14, located in Potter County, Pennsylvania and Livingston, Allegany, and Wyoming Counties, New York, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

CNG desires to retire Line 14 because of its age and condition. CNG states that the pipeline was originally constructed and placed in service in 1937 by G.L. Cabot. CNG notes that the abandonment will not have an affect on its existing services because the markets served by Line 14 have declined and its existing parallel Lines 24 and 554 have sufficient capacity to maintain services to the markets served by this part of CNG's systems. CNG proposes to leave two sections of Line 14, one section between Barber Road and Randall and the other section between Donovan and State Line Production, in service. Additionally, CNG is planning to utilize certain segments of Line 14, after it has been abandoned in place, to provide additional cathodic protection to parallel Line 24. CNG states that the work to enhance cathodic protection of Line 24 will be an auxiliary installation authorized under Section 2.55 of the Commission's Regulations.

CNG states that the public convenience and necessity will be served if the Commission authorizes this abandonment because it will enable CNG to retire a deteriorated pipeline, thereby protecting the integrity and enhancing the safe operation of CNG's system and it will lower the long-term costs on the system. CNG states that cost savings will consist of a reduction in operating and maintenance costs, fuel loss, and capital expenditures for replacing segments of existing pipelines.

CNG's proposed accounting treatment for the cost of property provides a debit Account 108 (accumulated provision for depreciation of gas plant in service) and credit Account 101 (gas plant in service 367-transmission lines) by \$1,959,685. CNG asserts that the abandonment of Line 14 in place will have no significant environmental impact.

*Comment date:* July 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

5. ANR Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP96-560-000]

Take notice that on June 7, 1996, ANR Pipeline Company, 500 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243, filed in Docket No. CP96-560-000 an abbreviated application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, and Sections 157.7 and 157.18 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Regulations thereunder, for permission and approval to abandon a natural gas storage and transportation service, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

ANR states that it proposes to abandon a storage and transportation service for Wisconsin Electric Power Company (WEPCO). ANR further states that the service for which it now seeks abandonment authorization was originally authorized by Commission order in Docket No. CP72-184 and performed under ANR's Rate Schedule X-24. It is asserted that ANR is presently authorized to accept from WEPCO each year a daily volume of up to 2,000 Mcf and an annual volume of up to 400,000 Mcf for storage and redelivery to WEPCO at a daily rate of 6,000 Mcf during the period commencing November 1 to the next succeeding March 1. It is further asserted that it is the mutual consent of the parties to replace the existing certificated service being performed under Rate Schedule X-24 with agreements for transportation and storage service under Rate Schedules ETS, FSS, and NNS of ANR's FERC Gas Tariff. For ease of administration, ANR requests that the abandonment of Rate Schedule X-24 be made effective on the last day of the calendar month in which the Commission grants the abandonment.

*Comment date:* July 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

6. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-561-000]

Take notice that on June 7, 1996, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314-1599, filed in Docket No. CP96-561-000, a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 (18 CFR Sections 157.205 and 157.211) of the Commission's Regulations under the

Natural Gas Act, and Columbia's authorization in Docket No. CP83-76-000,<sup>1</sup> to construct and operate a new point of delivery to National Gas and Oil Corporation (NGO), Licking County, Ohio, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia requests authorization to construct and operate a new delivery point for transportation service and would provide the service pursuant to Columbia's Blanket Certificate issued in Docket No. CP86-240-000 under existing authorized rate schedules and within Columbia's certificated entitlements.<sup>2</sup> Columbia states that the estimated daily and annual volumes of natural gas to be delivered would be 700 Dth and 64,000, respectively, and would be transported under Columbia's Rate Schedule GTS.

Columbia states that the construction and operation of the new point of delivery has been requested by NGO for firm transportation service for residential use. It is further stated that NGO has not requested an increase in its total firm entitlements in conjunction with this request to establish this new point of delivery. Columbia states that NGO has agreed to reimburse Columbia 100% of the total actual cost to construct the new point of delivery which is estimated to cost \$71,831, including tax gross-up.

Columbia states that it would comply with all of the environmental requirements of Sections 157.206(d) of the Commission's Regulations prior to the construction of any facilities.

*Comment date:* July 29, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing

<sup>1</sup> *Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.*, 22 FERC Paragraph 62,029 (1983)

<sup>2</sup> *Texas Eastern Transmission Corp.*, 62 FERC Paragraph 61,196 at p. 62,390-391 (1993).

to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-15650 Filed 6-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

---

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-5523-3]

**Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review; Standards of Performance for Petroleum Refineries OMB No. 2060-0067, EPA No. 0983.05**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

---