

consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and copied to Richard L. Beal, Environmental Enforcement Section, U.S. Department of Justice, 301 Howard Street, Suite 870, San Francisco, CA 94105, and should refer to *U.S. v. Mobil Oil Corp.*, DOJ No. 90-5-2-1-1994.

The proposed Mobil consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Central District of California, 1100 United States Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012; the Region IX Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105; and the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. To request a copy of the consent decree in *United States v. Mobile Oil Corp.*, please refer to that case and DOJ No. 90-5-2-1-1994 and enclose a check in the amount of \$4.25. Your check should be payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 96-14979 Filed 6-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decrees Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR § 50.7, and 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2)(B), notice is hereby given that four consent decrees were lodged in *United States v. Montrose Chemical Corporation of California*, consolidated with *Levin Metals Corp. v. Parr-Richmond Terminal Company*, Civil Action No. C 96-02103 MEJ (N.D. Cal.), on June 6, 1996, with the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The complaint in that action alleges that defendants are liable under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act for cleanup and cost recovery at the United Heckathorn National Priorities List Superfund Site in Richmond, California ("Site"). The complaint also alleges that defendants are liable for damages for injury to, destruction of, and loss of natural resources at or using the Site.

Pursuant to the consent decrees, sixteen settling parties, including two agencies of the United States, will pay approximately \$6.656 million to resolve their liability for the performance of remedial actions at the Site, and for reimbursement of costs incurred by the United States at the Site. Some of those parties will also perform the remedial actions selected by the United States Environmental Protection Agency for the Site. The actions include capping an area where a pesticide formulation facility was once located and dredging sediments from two nearby harbor areas. The four decrees also provide for the payment of \$400,000 to the federal natural resource trustees, the Department of the Interior and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, as damages for natural resource injuries and in reimbursement of damage assessment costs.

As provided in 28 CFR 50.7 and consistent with 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2)(B), the Department of Justice will, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, receive comments from persons who are not named as parties to this action relating to the proposed Consent Decrees for a period of thirty days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530. All comments should refer to *United States v. Montrose Chemical Corporation of California*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-3-598.

The proposed consent decrees may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of California, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102; the Region IX Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. Copies of the proposed consent decrees may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$154.75 (25 cents per page reproduction costs) for all four consent decrees with all exhibits, and \$56.50, for all four consent decrees without exhibits, payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 96-14981 Filed 6-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decrees Pursuant to the Clean Air Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a consent decree was lodged in *U.S. versus Ultramar Inc.*, Civil Action No. CV 96-3983-GHK (ASWx) (C.D. Cal.), on June 5, 1996, with the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The case is a civil action under Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act ("Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7413(b), for violations of provisions of the act and of the regulations for New Source Performance Standards ("NSPS") in subpart Ka of Part 60 of 40 C.F.R. that require all openings in the roofs of petroleum storage tanks that are subject to the regulations to be sealed or covered.

The violations of the NSPS regulations involved Ultramar Inc.'s ("Ultramar's") Wilmington Refinery, which is located in Wilmington, California, Los Angeles County, California. A petroleum storage tank at this facility has a "guidepole" that passes through the roof of the storage tank. The complaint alleges that the defendant's use of a "drilled" guidepole—a guidepole perforated by a series of holes along the length of the pole—violates NSPS that require all openings in the roofs of petroleum storage tanks to be sealed or covered.

The complaint seeks injunctive relief to ensure future compliance with the NSPS regulations. Under the consent decree, Ultramar will retrofit the tank with agreed upon emission control equipment. After retrofitting the tank, the defendant is required to operate the emissions control equipment specified by its consent decrees in compliance with the Clean Air Act and its consent decree.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and copied to Robert R. Klotz, Environmental Enforcement Section, U.S. Department of Justice, 301 Howard Street, Suite 870, San Francisco, CA 94105, and should refer to *United States v. Ultramar Inc.*, DOJ No. 90-5-2-1-2002.

The proposed Ultramar consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Central District of California, 1100 United States Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, or at the

Region IX Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy of the consent decree in *United States versus Ultramar Inc.*, please refer to that case and DOJ No. 90-5-2-1-2002 and enclose a check in the amount of \$4.50 (25 cents per page reproduction costs). Your check should be payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*
[FR Doc. 96-14980 Filed 6-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decrees Pursuant to the Clean Air Act

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a consent decree was lodged in *U.S. v. Union Oil Company of California*, Civil Action No. CV 96-3980-WMB (RMCx) (C.D. Cal.), on June 5, 1996, with the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The case is a civil action under Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act ("Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7413(b), for violations of provisions of the Act and of the regulations for New Source Performance Standards ("NSPS") in subparts Ka and Kb of Part 60 of 40 C.F.R. that require all openings in the roofs of petroleum storage tanks that are subject to the regulations to be sealed or covered.

The violations of the NSPS regulations involved Union Oil Company of California's (Unocal's) Los Angeles Refinery, located in Los Angeles County, California, and Unocal's Santa Maria Refinery, located in the San Luis Obispo County, California. Petroleum storage tanks at these facilities have "guidepoles" that pass through the roofs of the storage tanks. The complaint alleges that the defendant's use of "slotted" guidepoles—guidepoles perforated by a series of slots along the length of the pole—violate NSPS that require all openings in the roofs of petroleum storage tanks to be sealed or covered. The complaint seeks injunctive relief to ensure future compliance with the NSPS regulations. Under the consent decree, Unocal will retrofit a total of 7 tanks with agreed upon emission

control equipment. After retrofitting the specified tanks, the defendant is required to operate the emissions control equipment specified by its consent decree in compliance with the Clean Air Act and its consent decree.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and copied to Robert R. Klotz, Environmental Enforcement Section, U.S. Department of Justice, 301 Howard Street, Suite 870, San Francisco, CA 94105, and should refer to *U.S. v. Union Oil Company of California*, DOJ No. 90-5-2-1-2017.

The proposed Unocal consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Central District of California, 1100 United States Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012; at the Region IX Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. To request a copy of the consent decree in *United States v. Union Oil Company of California*, please refer to that case and DOJ No. 90-5-2-1-2017 and enclose a check in the amount of \$4.25. Your check should be payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*
[FR Doc. 96-14977 Filed 6-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Embedded Mass Formed Passives Consortium—USAF Wright Laboratory

Notice is hereby given that, on May 7, 1996, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), the Embedded Mass Formed Passives Consortium has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing

(1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are: Motorola, Inc., Schaumburg, IL; the Boeing Company, Seattle, WA; Georgia Tech Research Corporation, Atlanta, GA; North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC; and PolyMore Circuit Technologies, L.P., Maryville, TN.

The objective of the Consortium is to develop low cost passive components which can be integrated into electronic packages, and to demonstrate this technology for both military and commercial applications.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 96-14974 Filed 6-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993; National Industrial Information Infrastructure Protocols Solutions for Manufacturing—Adaptable Replacable Technology

Notice is hereby given that, on May 1, 1996, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), the National Industrial Information Infrastructure Protocols Solutions for Manufacturing-Adaptable Replicable Technology ("NIIP-SMART") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the act, the identities of the parties are: IBM-Manufacturing Industry Solutions, Charlotte, NC; IBM Software Solutions Division, Somers, NY; General Motors Corporation, Warren, MI; STEP TOOLS, Inc., Troy, NY; UES Inc., Dublin, OH; University of Florida, Gainesville, FL; AMP incorporated, Harrisburg, PA; International TechnoGroup Inc., Milford, OH; Mesa International, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA; Applied Automation Techniques, Inc., Miami Lakes, FL; Consilium, Mountain View, CA; Industrial Computer Corporation, Atlanta, GA; FACT, Inc., Norcross, GA; FASTech Integrations,