FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael P. Miller may be reached by telephone at (202) 208–1415, by fax at (202) 273–0873, and by e-mail at

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

mmiller@ferc.fed.us.

Abstract: The information collected under the requirements of FERC–519 "Disposition of Facilities, Mergers and Acquisitions of Securities" (OMB No. 1902–0082) is used by the Commission to implement the statutory provisions of Section 203 of the Federal Power Act

(FPA), 16 U.S.C. Section 203 authorizes the Commission to grant approval for transactions in which a public utility disposes of jurisdictional facilities, merges such facilities with the facilities owned by another person, or acquires the securities of another public utility. Under the statute, the Commission must find that the proposed transaction will be consistent with the public interest. Section 318 of the FPA exempts certain persons from the requirements of Section 203 which would otherwise

concurrently apply under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935. The Commission implements these filing requirements in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) under 18 CFR Part 33.

Action: The Commission is requesting a three-year extension of the current expiration date, with no changes to the existing collection of data.

Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this collection is estimated as:

Number of respondents annually (1)	Number of responses per re- spondent (2)	Average burden hours per response (3)	Total annual burden hours (1)×(2)×(3)
30	1	80 hours	2,400 hours.

Estimated cost burden to respondents: $2,400 \text{ hours}/2,087 \text{ hours per year} \times \$102,000 \text{ per year} = \$117,298.$

The reporting burden includes the total time, effort, or financial resources expended to generate, maintain, retain, disclose, or provide the information including: (1) Reviewing instructions; (2) developing, acquiring, installing, and utilizing technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, verifying, processing, maintaining, disclosing and providing information; (3) adjusting the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; (4) training personnel to respond to a collection of information; (5) searching data sources; (6) completing and reviewing the collection of information; and (7) transmitting, or otherwise disclosing the information.

The estimate of cost for respondents is based upon salaries for professional and clerical support, as well as direct and indirect overhead costs. Direct costs include all costs directly attributable to providing this information, such as administrative costs and the cost for information technology. Indirect or overhead costs are costs incurred by an organization in support of its mission. These costs apply to activities which benefit the whole organization rather than any one particular function or activity.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-13353 Filed 5-28-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-118-001]

Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

May 22, 1996.

Take notice that on May 20, 1996 Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company (ESNG) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, certain revised tariff sheets in the above captioned docket, with proposed effective date of November 13, 1995.

ESNG states that the purpose of the instant filing is to supplement ESNG's January 19, 1996 Compliance Filing in Docket No. RP96–118–000 in order to reflect further revisions in ESNG's Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment (PGA) Clause required by the Commission order dated February 15, 1996.

On January 19, 1996, ESNG filed revised PGA tariff sheets (as directed by Commission order dated December 29, 1995 in Docket No. TQ96-2-23-000) to remove all references to the PGA regulations in effect prior to the issuance of Commission Order No. 582 in ESNG's currently effective PGA clause. Upon review of ESNG's January 19, 1996 filing the Commission found that ESNG had failed to remove references to PGA regulations on Original Sheet Nos. 250 and 253. In addition the Commission further required ESNG to incorporate relevant definitions formerly contained in previously effective Section 154.302 into its PGA provisions, adjusting the regulatory references as appropriate.

ESNG states that copies of the filing have been served upon its jurisdictional customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR Section 325.211). All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13345 Filed 5–28–96; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER96-1356-000]

Entergy Power, Inc.; Notice of filing

May 22, 1996.

Take notice that on May 15, 1996, Entergy Power, Inc. tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before June 3, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to

become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13346 Filed 5–28–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. ER96-1357-000]

Entergy Power, Inc.; Notice of Filing

May 22, 1996.

Take notice that on May 6, 1996, Entergy Power, Inc. tendered for filing a Certificate of Concurrence in the abovereferenced docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before June 3, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13347 Filed 5–28–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP95-755-000]

Missouri Gas Energy, a Division of Southern Union Company, et al.; Notice of Order To Show Cause

May 22, 1996.

Take notice that on May 16, 1996, the Commission issued an order under section 5 of the Natural Gas Act for Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) to show cause why, in light of its earlier agreement to interconnect with Stahl Specialty Company (Stahl) to provide interruptible service, it should not be required to provide an interconnect for Missouri Gas Energy (Missouri Gas) as well. In doing so, the Commission required that Panhandle explain, in particular, why it does not view Missouri Gas as "similarly situated" to Stahl. Additionally, the Commission required that Panhandle explain why other previously constructed interconnects for interruptible customers qualified for

construction under its expressed policy while Missouri Gas does not, or explain why it changed its policy since it constructed, or decided to construct, those interconnects. The Commission required that Panhandle's response contain information concerning: (1) Other requests to construct facilities for interruptible service that Panhandle denied, including any requests for an interconnect for interruptible service that the customer subsequently converted to a request for firm service when the initial request was denied; and (2) specific operational and system integrity information concerning each requested interconnect which Panhandle considered when it denied the requests.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make a protest with reference the order to show cause should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed within 20 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding must file a motion to intervene. 1 Copies of the order to show cause are available on the Commission Issuance Posting System.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13348 Filed 5–28–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP96-240-000]

Northwest Alaskan Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

May 22, 1996.

Take notice that on May 17, 1996, Northwest Alaskan Pipeline Company (Northwest Alaskan), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2, Thirty-Eighth Revised Sheet No. 5, with an effective date of July 1, 1996.

Northwest Alaskan states that it is submitting Thirty-Eighth Revised Sheet No. 5 reflecting a decrease in total demand charges for Canadian gas purchased by Northwest Alaskan from Pan-Alberta Gas Ltd. ("Pan-Alberta") and resold to Pan-Alberta Gas (U.S.), Inc. ("PAG-US") under Rate Schedules X–1, X–2 and X–3, and an increase in total demand charges for Canadian gas resold to Pacific Interstate Transmission Company ("PIT") under Rate Schedule X–4.

Northwest Alaskan states that it is submitting Thirty-Eighth Revised Sheet No. 5 pursuant to the provisions of the amended purchase agreements between Northwest Alaskan and PAG–US and PIT, and pursuant to Rate Schedules X–1, X–2, X–3 and X–4, which provide for Northwest Alaskan to file 45 days prior to the commencement of the next demand charge period (July 1, 1996 through December 31, 1996) the demand charges and demand charge adjustments which Northwest Alaskan will charge during the period.

Northwest Alaskan states that a copy of this filing has been served on Northwest Alaskan's customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington D.C. 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding.

Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13349 Filed 5–28–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. ER96-1742-000]

Southern California Edison Company; Notice of Filing

May 22, 1996.

Take notice that on May 7, 1996, Southern California Edison Company tendered for filing a Notice of Cancellation of Rate Schedule FERC No. 338 and all supplements thereto.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888

¹ We note that persons who filed a motion to intervene in the complaint filed by Missouri Gas in this proceeding already are parties to the proceeding and do not have to file another motion to intervene.