miles northeast and 4 miles southwest of the Rochester southeast localizer course extending from the 6.7-mile radius to 17.3 miles southeast of the airport and within a 6.4 mile radius of the St. Mary's Hospital Heliport.

* * * * *

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on May 14, 1996.

Jeffrey L. Griffith,

Acting Manger, Air Traffic Division. [FR Doc. 96–13423 Filed 5–28–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 96-AGL-3]

Revision of Class E Airspace; Delta County Airport, Escanaba, MI

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to revise the Class E2 airspace to accommodate the addition of an Automatic Weather Observation System (AWOS–3) at Delta County Airport, Escanaba, MI, to operate turbo-jet charter service on a 24 hour basis.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 30, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, AGL-7, Rules Docket No. 96–AGL-3, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Federal Aviation
Administration, 2300 East Devon
Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois. An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the Air Traffic Division, Operations Branch, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John A. Clayborn, Air Traffic Division, Operations Branch, AGL–530, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018, telephone (847) 294–7568.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory

decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Airspace Docket No. 96-AGL-3." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received on or before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Rules Docket, FAA, Great Lakes Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, 2300 Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRM's

Any person may obtain a copy of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Public Affairs, Attention: Public Inquiry Center, APA–230, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267–3484. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11–2A, which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to revise the Class E2 airspace to accommodate the addition of an Automatic Weather Observation System (AWOS-3) at Delta County Airport, Escanaba, MI, to operate turbo-jet charter service on a 24 hour basis. The area would be depicted on appropriate aeronautical charts thereby enabling pilots to circumnavigate the area or otherwise comply with IFR procedures. Class E2 airspace designations for surface areas are published in paragraph 6002 of FAA Order 7400.9C dated

August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E2 airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore this, proposed regulation—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) as follows:

PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389; 14 CFR 11.69.

§71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9C, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6002 The Class E airspace areas designated as a surface area for an airport.

AGL MI E2 Escanaba, MI [Revised]

Escanaba, Delta County Airport, MI (lat. 45°43′18″N., long. 87°05′40″W.) Escanaba VORTAC

(lat. 45°43'21"N., long. 87°05'23"W.)

Within a 4.2-mile radius of the Escanaba VORTAC, and within 2.6 miles each side of the Escanaba VORTAC 007 radial, extending from the 4.2-mile radius to 7.4 miles northeast, and within 2.6 miles each side of

the Escanaba VORTAC 101 radial, extending from the 4.2-mile radius to 7.4 miles east, and within 2.6 miles each side of the Escanaba VORTAC 266 radial extending from the 4.2-mile radius to 7 miles west of the VORTAC.

* * * * *

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on May 6, 1996.

Maureen Woods,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division. [FR Doc. 96–13421 Filed 5–28–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 67

[CGD 95-052]

RIN 2115-AF15

Testing of Obstruction Lights and Fog Signals on Offshore Facilities

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of termination and withdrawal.

SUMMARY: This rulemaking project was initiated as part of the President's Regulatory Reinvention Initiative (PRRI). It was intended to improve the quality of tests performed on obstruction lights and fog signals, while reducing the administrative burden on the public, and minimizing costs borne by the Coast Guard. Comments to the rulemaking raised several substantial issues which require further study. Therefore, the Coast Guard is terminating further rulemaking under docket number 95–052.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LTJG Chad Asplund, Project Manager, Short Range Aids to navigation Division, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, (202) 267–1386.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 10, 1996, the Coast Guard published a notice requesting comments in the Federal Register (61 FR 708). The notice asked (1) whether the flash characteristics of obstruction lights should be changed from a quick-flashing rhythm to a Morse "U"; (2) whether the candlepower requirements on obstruction lighting should be adapted to the new transmissivity tables developed by the Coast Guard; and (3) whether lights and fog signals should be tested independent laboratories rather than by the Coast Guard.

On March 27, 1996, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the Federal Register (61 FR 13472). The Coast Guard proposed a new rule on only one of the three issues investigated in the notice,

specifically, that lights and fog signals would be tested by independent laboratories rather than by the Coast Guard.

The NPRM's approach was in keeping with the goals of the PRRI to make government work better and cost less by finding ways to reduce financial and regulatory burdens on industry while improving governmental efficiency. It proposed to achieve this by (1) consolidating permit applications in headquarters rather than requiring an application to each Coast Guard district; and (2) having independent laboratories test all devices and submit the results to the Coast Guard rather than requiring Coast Guard involvement in the testing of lights or fog signals. In spite of the Coast Guard's intent to reduce burdens, comments indicated that the NPRM, as proposed, was too general to accomplish either the project's goals or the goals of

Specifically, comments questioned how independent laboratories would be designated or certified by the Coast Guard, and what standards would be used by the independent laboratories in evaluating lights and fog signals. Comments also expressed concern over the timetable for implementation, with several pointing out that retrofitting all existing structures would impose a high cost on the regulated community without providing any proven reduction in risk.

The Coast Guard has determined that the concerns raised by the comments to this docket require further examination of this area before any rulemaking is undertaken. The Coast Guard seeks to promulgate rules that will improve maritime safety while accomplishing PRRI goals. Because the current rulemaking may do neither, the Coast Guard is terminating further rulemaking under docket number 95–052 but will continue to investigate the feasibility of implementing these concepts in the future.

Dated: May 17, 1996.

Rudy K. Peschel,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Chief, Office of Navigation Safety and Waterway Services. [FR Doc. 96–13419 Filed 5–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 141 and 142

[WH-FRL-5511-6]

Drinking Water; National Primary Drinking Water Regulations; Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Requirements

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Announcement of extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is announcing an extension of the public comment period for the National **Primary Drinking Water Regulations** (NPDWR) for the Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Regulations (ESWTR) (59 FR 38832, July 29, 1994). The NPDWR consists of a set of regulatory options related to treatment techniques for microbiological pathogens. The NPDWR also includes proposed monitoring, reporting, and public notification requirements for these compounds. The comment period is being extended from May 30, 1996 until August 30, 1996.

DATES: Comments should be postmarked or delivered by hand on or before August 30, 1996. Comments received after this date may not be considered.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to the ESWTR Docket Clerk, Water Docket (MC-4101); U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460, or hand deliver to the Water Docket, Room 2616, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC.

The proposed rule with supporting documents and all comments received are available for review at the Water Docket at the address above. For access to Docket materials, call (202) 260–3027 between 9 am and 3:30 pm for an appointment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: General information may be obtained from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline, telephone (800) 426–4791; Stig Regli, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (4603), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460, telephone (202) 260–7379; or Paul Berger, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (4603), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460, telephone (202) 260–3039.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 29, 1994 EPA proposed two drinking water regulations: the Disinfectant/Disinfection Byproducts (D/DBP) and