

Revised Volume No. 1, the below-listed tariff sheets, to be effective June 19, 1996:

Listing of Proposed Tariff Sheets

Revised title page

Original Sheet Nos. 1A and 1B

First Revised Sheet Nos. 1, 93, 94, 98B and 99

Second Revised Sheet Nos. 5A.1, 90 and 91

Third Revised Sheet Nos. 92 and 172

Fourth Revised Sheet Nos. 8 and 40

Questar states that these tariff sheets revise its tariff by incorporating certain revisions that are promulgated by Commission Order Nos. 581, 581-A, 582 and 582-A.

Questar states that a copy of this filing has been served upon its customers, the Public Service Commission of Utah and the Wyoming Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-13222 Filed 5-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-180-000]

Stingray Pipeline Company; Notice of Technical Conference

May 21, 1996.

In the Commission's order issued April 19, 1996,¹ the Commission held that the filing in the above captioned proceeding raises issues that should be addressed in a technical conference.

Take notice that the technical conference will be held on Tuesday, June 18, 1996, at 1:00 p.m., in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington D.C.

20426. All interested parties and Staff are permitted to attend.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-13223 Filed 5-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-524-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

May 21, 1996.

Take notice that on May 17, 1996, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), Post Office Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed a request with the Commission in Docket No. CP96-524-000 pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to establish a delivery point for Nashville Gas Company (Nashville) authorized in blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-413-000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tennessee proposes to install a new delivery point on Tennessee's system in Cheatham County, Tennessee which would be used for the delivery of natural gas to Nashville, a division of Piedmont Natural Gas Company. Tennessee states that it would install, own, operate and maintain two two-inch hot taps, approximately 60 feet of two-inch interconnect piping, one two-inch turbine meter with bypass, and electronic gas measurement equipment. The hot tap and interconnect pipe would be located on Tennessee's existing right-of-way. The meter station would be located on a site adjacent to Tennessee's existing right-of-way provided by Nashville. The estimated cost of the meter station would be \$102,024.00, which would be reimbursed by Nashville.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the Commission has issued this notice, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the allowed time, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an

application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-13224 Filed 5-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG94-81-000, et al.]

PSI Argentina, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

May 20, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. PSI Argentina, Inc.

[Docket No. EG94-81-000]

Take notice that on April 24, 1996, pursuant to Section 365.7 of the Commission's regulations, 18 CFR 365.7, PSI Argentina, Inc. filed notification that it surrenders its status as an exempt wholesale generator under Section 32(a)(1) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended.

2. Enron Power Marketing, Inc., Vitol Gas & Electric LLC, Texas-Ohio Power Marketing, Inc., Northwest Regional Transmission Association, Power Exchange Corporation, KN Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER94-24-012, ER94-155-013, ER94-1676-007, ER95-19-005, ER95-72-005, ER95-869-004 (not consolidated)]

Take notice that the following informational filings have been made with the Commission and are on file and available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room:

On May 1, 1996, Enron Power Marketing, Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's December 2, 1993 order in Docket No. ER94-24-000.

On May 1, 1996, Vitol Gas & Electric LLC filed certain information as required by the Commission's January 14, 1994 order in Docket No. ER94-155-000.

On May 16, 1996, Texas-Ohio Power Marketing, Inc., filed certain information as required by the Commission's October 31, 1994 order in Docket No. ER94-1676-000.

On May 13, 1996, Northwest Regional Transmission Association filed certain information as required by the Commission's June 28, 1995 order in Docket No. ER95-19-000.

On May 16, 1996, Power Exchange Corporation filed certain information as

¹ Stingray Pipeline Co., 75 FERC ¶ 61,061 (1996).

required by the Commission's February 1, 1995 order in Docket No. ER95-72-000.

On May 1, 1996 and May 13, 1996 KN Marketing, Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's May 26, 1995 order in Docket No. ER95-869-000.

3. New England Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-1004-001]

Take notice that on May 10, 1996, New England Power Company tendered for filing revised copies of its compliance filing in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: June 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Entergy Power, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-1300-000]

Take notice that on May 3, 1996, Entergy Power, Inc. tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: June 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Family Fiber Connection

[Docket No. ER96-1631-000]

Take notice that on May 15, 1996, Family Fiber Connection tendered for filing supplemental information to its April 24, 1996, filing in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: June 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Energy Tek, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-1781-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1996, Energy Tek, Inc. (Energy Tek), petitioned the Commission for acceptance of Energy Tek, Inc. Rate Schedule FERC No. 1; the granting of certain blanket approvals, including the authority to sell electricity at market-based rates, and the waiver of certain Commission regulations. Energy Tek, Inc. is a subsidiary of AGR International Consultants, Inc. based in California.

Comment date: June 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Maine Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER96-1782-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1996, Maine Public Service Company (Maine Public), filed an executed Service Agreement with Federal Energy Sales, Inc.

Comment date: June 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Northern Indiana Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER96-1783-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1996, Northern Indiana Public Service Company, tendered for filing an executed Standard Transmission Service Agreement between Northern Indiana Public Service Company and Citizens Lehman Power Sales.

Under the Transmission Service Agreement, Northern Indiana Public Service Company will provide Point-to-Point Transmission Service to Citizens Lehman Power Sales pursuant to the Transmission Service Tariff filed by Northern Indiana Public Service Company in Docket No. ER96-399-000 and allowed to become effective by the Commission, *Northern Indiana Public Service Company*, 71 FERC ¶ 61,014 (1996). Northern Indiana Public Service Company has requested that the Service Agreement be allowed to become effective as of June 1, 1996.

Copies of this filing have been sent to the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission and the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor.

Comment date: June 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Potomac Electric Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-1784-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1996, Potomac Electric Power Company (Pepco), tendered for filing service agreements pursuant to Pepco FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, entered into between Pepco and: Public Service Electric and Gas Company, and Federal Energy Sales, Inc. An effective date of April 12, 1994, for these service agreements, with waiver of notice, is requested.

Comment date: June 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Wisconsin Public Service Corporation

[Docket No. ER96-1785-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1996, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, tendered for filing an executed service agreement with Manitowoc Public Utilities under its CS-1 Coordination Sales Tariff.

Comment date: June 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Wisconsin Electric Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-1786-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1996, Wisconsin Electric Power Company (Wisconsin Electric), tendered for filing

an Electric Service Agreement and a Transmission Service Agreement between itself and WPS Energy Services, Inc. (WPS). The Electric Service Agreement provides for service under Wisconsin Electric's Coordination Sales Tariff. The Transmission Service Agreement allows WPS to receive transmission service under Wisconsin Electric's FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 5, Rate Schedule STNF, under Docket No. ER95-1474.

Wisconsin Electric requests an effective date of sixty days from date of filing. Copies of the filing have been served on WPS, the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin and the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Comment date: June 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Florida Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER96-1787-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1996, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL), filed the Contract for Purchases and Sales of Power and Energy between FPL and Phibro Inc. FPL requests an effective date of May 20, 1996.

Comment date: June 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. Florida Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER96-1788-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1996, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL), filed the Contract for Purchases and Sales of Power and Energy between FPL and Calpine Power Service Company. FPL requests an effective date of May 20, 1996.

Comment date: June 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

14. Florida Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER96-1789-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1996, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL), filed the Contract for Purchases and Sales of Power and Energy between FPL and Delhi Energy Services, Inc. FPL requests an effective date of May 20, 1996.

Comment date: June 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. New England Power Pool

[Docket No. ER96-1790-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1996, the New England Power Pool Executive Committee, filed a signature page to the NEPOOL Agreement dated September 1, 1971, as amended, signed by Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc. (Morgan

Stanley). The New England Power Pool Agreement, as amended, has been designated NEPOOL FPC No. 2.

The Executive Committee states that acceptance of the signature page would permit Morgan Stanley to join the over 90 Participants already in the Pool. NEPOOL further states that the filed signature page does not change the NEPOOL Agreement in any manner, other than to make Morgan Stanley a Participant in the Pool. NEPOOL requests an effective date of July 1, 1996 for commencement of participation in the Pool by Morgan Stanley.

Comment date: June 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-13216 Filed 5-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

Office of Hearings and Appeals

Implementation of Special Refund Procedures

AGENCY: Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of Implementation of Special Refund Procedures.

SUMMARY: The Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) of the Department of Energy announces the procedures for disbursement of \$1,140,552.84 (plus accrued interest) in alleged or adjudicated crude oil overcharges obtained by the DOE from Gil-Mc Oil Corporation (Case No. LEF-0054), LeClair Operating Company (Case No. LEF-0054), SRG Corporation (Case No. LEF-0056), Petroleum Carrier Company (Case No. LEF-0119) and Dane Energy Company (LEF-0122). The OHA has

determined that the funds obtained from these firms, plus accrued interest, will be distributed in accordance with the DOE's Modified Statement of Restitutionary Policy in Crude Oil Cases, 51 Fed. Reg. 27899 (August 4, 1986).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard T. Tedrow, Deputy Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals, Washington, DC 20585, (202) 426-1562.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with 10 CFR 205.282(c), notice is hereby given of the issuance of the Decision and Order set forth below. The Decision and Order sets for the procedures that the DOE has formulated to distribute a total of \$1,140,553, plus accrued interest, remitted to the DOE by Gil-Mc Oil Corporation, LeClair Operating Company, SRG Corporation, Petroleum Carrier Company, and Dane Energy Company. The DOE is currently holding these funds in interest bearing escrow accounts pending distribution. The OHA will distribute these funds in accordance with the DOE's Modified Statement of Restitutionary Policy in Crude Oil Cases, 51 FR 27899 (August 4, 1986) (the MSRP). Under the MSRP, crude oil overcharge monies are divided among the federal government, the states, and injured purchasers of refined petroleum products. Refunds to the states will be distributed in proportion to each state's consumption of petroleum products during the price control period. Refunds to eligible purchasers will be based on the volume of petroleum products that they purchased and the extent to which they can demonstrate injury. Because the June 30, 1995, deadline for the crude oil refund applications has passed, no new applications from purchasers of refined petroleum products will be accepted for the 20 percent of these funds allocated to individual claimants. Instead, that share of the funds will be added to the general crude oil overcharge pool used for direct restitution.

Dated: May 16, 1996.

George B. Breznay,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Decision and Order of the Department of Energy

Implementation of Special Refund Procedures

Names of Firms: Gil-Mc Oil Corporation, LeClair Operating Company, SRG Corporation, Petroleum Carrier Company, Dane Energy Company.

Dates of Filings: July 20, 1993, December 7, 1993, April 8, 1994.

Case Numbers: LEF-0054, LEF-0055, LEF-0056, LEF-0119, LEF-0122.

The Economic Regulatory Administration (ERA) of the Department of Energy filed five

Petitions for the Implementation of Special Refund Procedures with the Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA), to distribute funds remitted to the DOE pursuant to settlements between Gil-Mc Oil Corporation (Gil-Mc), LeClair Operating Company (LeClair), SRG Corporation (SRG), Petroleum Carrier Company, (Petroleum Carrier), and Dane Energy Company (Dane). A total of \$1,140,553, plus interest, is available for restitution. All of these funds are now being held in an interest-bearing account pending a determination regarding their proper disposition.

In accordance with the procedural regulations codified at 10 C.F.R. Part 205, Subpart V, the ERA requests in its Petitions that the OHA establish special refund procedures to remedy the effects of any regulatory violations which were resolved by these settlements. This Decision and Order sets forth the OHA's final plan to distribute these funds. For a more detailed discussion of Subpart V and the authority of the OHA to fashion procedures to distribute refunds, see Petroleum Overcharge Distribution and Restitution Act of 1986, 15 U.S.C. §§ 4501-07 (PODRA), *Office of Enforcement*, 9 DOE ¶ 82,508 (1981), and *Office of Enforcement*, 8 DOE ¶ 82,597 (1981).

I. Background

On June 16, 1982, the DOE issued a Proposed Remedial Order (PRO) to Gil-Mc which alleged that certain first sales of crude oil by Gil-Mc had been in excess of applicable ceiling prices during the period August 19, 1973 through January 27, 1981. The DOE and Gil-Mc entered into a Consent Order on March 29, 1983, which satisfied the DOE's claim against Gil-Mc. There is a total of \$10,273, plus interest, available from Gil-Mc for restitution.

On June 3, 1982, the DOE issued a PRO to LeClair which alleged that certain first sales of crude oil by LeClair had been in excess of applicable ceiling prices during the period August 19, 1973 through January 27, 1981. The DOE and LeClair entered into a Consent Order on November 5, 1982, which satisfied the DOE's claim against LeClair. There is a total of \$70,386, plus interest, available from LeClair for restitution.

On July 23, 1982, the DOE entered into a Consent Order with SRG which resolved DOE's claims against SRG. Specifically, the DOE alleged that during the period of August 19, 1973 through January 27, 1981, crude oil was sold from certain properties operated by SRG in excess of the applicable lawful ceiling prices. There is a total of \$171,041, plus interest, available from SRG for restitution.

On June 26, 1987, the DOE issued a Remedial Order to Petroleum Carrier for violations of the crude oil pricing regulations during the period from June 1974 through December 1977. The DOE collected a total of \$18,853 from Petroleum Carrier pursuant to the Remedial Order. That amount, plus interest, is available for restitution.

On December 10, 1992, the DOE issued a Remedial Order to Dane for violations of the crude oil pricing regulations during the period December 1978 through December 1980. The DOE and Dane entered into a Consent Order on December 16, 1993, which