

	Effective	Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.
Substitute fifth revised sheet No. 865	04/01/93	Lois D. Cashell,
Sixth substitute fourth revised sheet No. 866	11/01/91	<i>Secretary.</i>
Substitute fifth revised sheet No. 866	04/01/93	[FR Doc. 96-12676 Filed 5-20-96; 8:45 am]
Sixth substitute third revised sheet No. 905	11/01/91	BILLING CODE 6717-01-M
Substitute fourth revised sheet No. 905	04/01/93	
Sixth substitute fourth revised sheet No. 906	11/01/91	[Docket No. TM96-4-25-001]
Substitute fifth revised sheet No. 906	04/01/93	Mississippi River Transmission Corporation; Notice of Compliance Filing
Fourth substitute first revised sheet No. 1008	11/01/91	May 15, 1996.
Substitute second revised sheet No. 1008	04/01/93	Take notice that on April 22, 1996, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT) submitted for filing revised worksheets reflecting the calculation of Miscellaneous Revenues in accordance with Section 18 of the General Terms and Conditions of MRT's FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1 and in compliance with the April 11, 1996 "Order Denying Request for Waiver" issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in this proceeding.
Original volume No. 3:		
7 Sub fourth revised sheet No. 2	11/01/91	MRT states that, as explained in its filing, the worksheets reflect the calculation of Miscellaneous Revenues applicable to the period November 1, 1993 through October 31, 1995 for the cashout costs related to onsystem imbalances, and the period November 1, 1993 through March 31, 1995 for the cashout costs related to offsystem imbalances.
3 Sub fifth revised sheet No. 2	01/01/92	
2 Sub sixth revised sheet No. 2	10/01/92	
2 Sub seventh revised sheet No. 2	01/01/93	
Substitute eighth revised sheet No. 2	04/01/93	
Substitute ninth revised sheet No. 2	10/01/93	
7 Sub fourth revised sheet No. 3	11/01/91	
4 Sub fifth revised sheet No. 3	01/01/92	
3 Sub alt sixth revised sheet No. 3	05/01/92	
2 Sub seventh revised sheet No. 3	10/01/92	
2 Sub eighth revised sheet No. 3	01/01/93	
Substitute ninth revised sheet No. 3	04/01/93	
Substitute tenth revised sheet No. 3	10/01/93	

Great Lakes states that, pursuant to the Commission's rehearing order, any party owing surcharge amounts must notify Great Lakes in writing no later than August 8, 1996, as to whether that party elects to make such payment in a lump sum or to amortize payment over a period of three years or less.

Great Lakes states that copies of the filing were served upon all parties to these proceedings, each of Great Lakes' customers, and the Public Service Commissions of the States of Michigan, Minnesota and Wisconsin.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 of the Commission's rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before May 22, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the

MRT states that copies of the compliance filing have been mailed to all parties on the official service list.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before May 22, 1996. All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-12669 Filed 5-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-195-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Technical Conference

May 15, 1996.

In the Commission's order issued on April 26, 1996, in the above-captioned proceeding,¹ the Commission held that the filing raises issues for which a technical conference is to be convened.

The conference has been scheduled for Wednesday, May 29, 1996, at 1:00 p.m. in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

All interested persons and Staff are permitted to attend.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-12670 Filed 5-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP94-357-004]

Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Notice of Report Filing

May 15, 1996.

Take notice that on April 30, 1996, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern) filed a report discussing its actual experience regarding the "enhanced segment rights" and the "capacity release" procedures. The report is pursuant to an order issued April 17, 1995 in Docket No. RP94-357-000.

The enhanced segmented transportation rights allow customers to receive and deliver their zone limit (MDQ adjusted for capacity release) once in each upstream market zone. These rights also give customers the right to deliver gas to Texas Eastern storage points without these deliveries being included in the calculation of gas delivered in excess of customer's contract MDQ.

Texas Eastern states that the report shows that 56 percent of enhanced segment rights transactions that occurred during the period October 1994 through February 1996 involved the use of capacity under released contracts. Texas Eastern also states that the report shows that the use of capacity release on its system has increased significantly. The report reflects that for the 12 months ended February 29, 1996, as compared to the 12 months ended February 28, 1995, capacity release delivered volumes increased by 61 percent, reservation charge credit backs increased by 66 percent, and total deals increased by 95 percent.

¹ 75 FERC ¶ 61,102 (1996).

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before May 22, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-12675 Filed 5-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. RP96-173-002 and RP89-183-062]

Williams Natural Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

May 15, 1996.

Take notice that on May 9, 1996, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, Substitute First Revised Sheet Nos. 8A and 8B and Original Sheet Nos. 8C and 8D, with the proposed effective date of April 13, 1996, and Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 6A, with the proposed effective date of June 1, 1996.

WNG states that on March 13, 1996, it filed in Docket Nos. RP96-173-000 and RP89-183-000 to recover approximately \$6.0 million of additional contract reformation and gas supply realignment costs pursuant to the November 24, 1992 Stipulation and Agreement (November 24 S & A) in Docket No. RP89-183, *et al.* and Article 14 of its FERC Gas Tariff. On April 9, 1996, the Commission issued an Order Accepting and Suspending Tariff Sheets Subject to Refund and Conditions (April 9 order). WNG was directed to file "revised tariff sheets reflecting the allocation of 10 percent of the GSR costs above \$50 million to IT customers, to be recovered through a volumetric surcharge and reflecting a 90 percent allocation of the remaining GSR costs to the firm transportation customers, to be recovered through a reservation surcharge." WNG states that the instant filing is made in compliance with the April 9 order.

WNG states that a copy of its filing were served on all participants listed on the service lists maintained by the Commission in the dockets referenced

above and on all of WNG's jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-12672 Filed 5-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-145-001]

Williams Natural Gas Company; Notice of Cash-Out Report

May 15, 1996.

Take notice that on May 10, 1996, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG) tendered for filing, pursuant to Article 9.7(d) of the General Terms and Conditions of its FERC Gas Tariff, a revised report of net revenue received from cash-outs.

WNG states that it submitted its original report of net revenue received from cash-outs for the period October 1, 1994 through September 30, 1995 on February 16, 1996 pursuant to Article 9.7(d) of the General Terms and Conditions of its FERC Gas Tariff. By letter order issued April 10, 1996, the Commission accepted WNG's cash-out report pursuant to WNG increasing the principal refund amount by \$73,233.95, plus the appropriate amount of interest. WNG states that the instant filing is being made to reflect this increase in refunds.

WNG states that a copy of its filing was served on all participants listed on the service list maintained by the Commission in the docket referenced above and on all jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before May 22, 1996. Protests

will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-12673 Filed 5-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 10805-002, WI]

Midwest Hydraulic Company; Notice of Site Visit and Scoping Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

May 15, 1996.

On April 23, 1993, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) issued a letter accepting the Midwest Hydraulic Company's application for license for the Hatfield Project, located on the Black River in Jackson and Clark Counties, Wisconsin.

The purpose of this notice is to: (1) advise all parties as to the proposed scope of the staff's environmental analysis, including cumulative effects, and to seek additional information pertinent to this analysis; and (2) advise all parties of their opportunity for comment.

Scoping Process

The Commission's scoping objectives are to:

- Identify significant environmental issues;
- Determine the depth of analysis appropriate to each issue;
- Identify the resource issues not requiring detailed analysis; and
- Identify reasonable project alternatives.

The purpose of the scoping process is to identify significant issues related to the proposed action and to determine what issues should be covered in the environmental document pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). The document entitled "Scoping Document I" (SDI) will be circulated shortly to enable appropriate federal, state, and local resource agencies, developers, Indian tribes, nongovernmental organizations (NGO's), and other interested parties to effectively participate in and contribute to the scoping process. SDI provides a brief description of the proposed action, project alternatives, the geographic and temporal scope of a cumulative effects analysis, and a list of preliminary issues identified by staff.